# **NANOBIOTECHNOLOGY** PRINCIPLES AND APPLICATIONS

Editors: Juhi Saxena Abhijeet Singh Anupam Jyoti

# **Bentham Books**

## Edited by

## Juhi Saxena

Department of Biotechnology University Institute of Biotechnology Chandigarh University Mohali, Punjab, India

## **Abhijeet Singh**

Department of Biosciences School of Basic Sciences Manipal University Jaipur Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

## &

## Anupam Jyoti

Department of Biotechnology University Institute of Biotechnology Chandigarh University Mohali, Punjab, India

Editors: Juhi Saxena, Abhijeet Singh and Anupam Jyoti

ISBN (Online): 978-981-5123-55-5

ISBN (Print): 978-981-5123-56-2

ISBN (Paperback): 978-981-5123-57-9

© 2023, Bentham Books imprint.

Published by Bentham Science Publishers Pte. Ltd. Singapore. All Rights Reserved.

First published in 2023.

#### BENTHAM SCIENCE PUBLISHERS LTD.

#### End User License Agreement (for non-institutional, personal use)

This is an agreement between you and Bentham Science Publishers Ltd. Please read this License Agreement carefully before using the book/echapter/ejournal (**"Work"**). Your use of the Work constitutes your agreement to the terms and conditions set forth in this License Agreement. If you do not agree to these terms and conditions then you should not use the Work.

Bentham Science Publishers agrees to grant you a non-exclusive, non-transferable limited license to use the Work subject to and in accordance with the following terms and conditions. This License Agreement is for non-library, personal use only. For a library / institutional / multi user license in respect of the Work, please contact: permission@benthamscience.net.

#### **Usage Rules:**

- 1. All rights reserved: The Work is the subject of copyright and Bentham Science Publishers either owns the Work (and the copyright in it) or is licensed to distribute the Work. You shall not copy, reproduce, modify, remove, delete, augment, add to, publish, transmit, sell, resell, create derivative works from, or in any way exploit the Work or make the Work available for others to do any of the same, in any form or by any means, in whole or in part, in each case without the prior written permission of Bentham Science Publishers, unless stated otherwise in this License Agreement.
- 2. You may download a copy of the Work on one occasion to one personal computer (including tablet, laptop, desktop, or other such devices). You may make one back-up copy of the Work to avoid losing it.
- 3. The unauthorised use or distribution of copyrighted or other proprietary content is illegal and could subject you to liability for substantial money damages. You will be liable for any damage resulting from your misuse of the Work or any violation of this License Agreement, including any infringement by you of copyrights or proprietary rights.

#### **Disclaimer:**

Bentham Science Publishers does not guarantee that the information in the Work is error-free, or warrant that it will meet your requirements or that access to the Work will be uninterrupted or error-free. The Work is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either express or implied or statutory, including, without limitation, implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. The entire risk as to the results and performance of the Work is assumed by you. No responsibility is assumed by Bentham Science Publishers, its staff, editors and/or authors for any injury and/or damage to persons or property as a matter of products liability, negligence or otherwise, or from any use or operation of any methods, products instruction, advertisements or ideas contained in the Work.

#### Limitation of Liability:

In no event will Bentham Science Publishers, its staff, editors and/or authors, be liable for any damages, including, without limitation, special, incidental and/or consequential damages and/or damages for lost data and/or profits arising out of (whether directly or indirectly) the use or inability to use the Work. The entire liability of Bentham Science Publishers shall be limited to the amount actually paid by you for the Work.

#### General:

2. Your rights under this License Agreement will automatically terminate without notice and without the

<sup>1.</sup> Any dispute or claim arising out of or in connection with this License Agreement or the Work (including non-contractual disputes or claims) will be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of Singapore. Each party agrees that the courts of the state of Singapore shall have exclusive jurisdiction to settle any dispute or claim arising out of or in connection with this License Agreement or the Work (including non-contractual disputes or claims).

need for a court order if at any point you breach any terms of this License Agreement. In no event will any delay or failure by Bentham Science Publishers in enforcing your compliance with this License Agreement constitute a waiver of any of its rights.

3. You acknowledge that you have read this License Agreement, and agree to be bound by its terms and conditions. To the extent that any other terms and conditions presented on any website of Bentham Science Publishers conflict with, or are inconsistent with, the terms and conditions set out in this License Agreement, you acknowledge that the terms and conditions set out in this License Agreement shall prevail.

Bentham Science Publishers Pte. Ltd. 80 Robinson Road #02-00 Singapore 068898 Singapore Email: subscriptions@benthamscience.net



### CONTENTS

FOREWORD	i
PREFACE	ii
LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS	iii
CHAPTER 1 THE ROLES OF NANOPARTICLES IN OVARIAN CANCER TREATMENT	
AND DIAGNOSIS	1
Bitupon Gogoi, Devendra Jain, Madan Mohan Sharma, Rajeev Mishra and Abhijeet Singh	
INTRODUCTION	2
NANOTECHNOLOGY APPLICATION	3
Nanocarriers	3
Liposomes	4
Dendrimers	4
Micelles	4
Carbon Nanotube	5
DIAGNOSIS AND IMAGING	5
Targeted Imaging Agents	5
Nano-Liposomal Imaging Agents	6
FLUORESCENT IMAGES AND GUIDED SURGERY	6
NANOPARTICLE THERAPEUTICS (ANTI-CANCER)	6
Size of the Nanoparticle	7
Nanoparticle Surface	8
CONCLUSION	8
CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION	8
CONFLICT OF INTEREST	8
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	8
REFERENCES	8
CHAPTER 2 ADVANCES IN NANO-REMEDIATION OF TEXTILE DYES IN TEXTILE	
INDUSTRY EFFLUENTS: CURRENT DEVELOPMENTS AND FUTURE PROSPECTS	11
Baby Sharma, Nilima Kumari, Shruti Mathur and Vinay Sharma	
INTRODUCTION	11
NANO REMEDIATION: DEFINITIONS AND AGENTS	12
Carbon-based Nanomaterial	13
Inorganic Nanomaterials	14
Metal and Metal Oxide Based Nanomaterial	14
Silica Nanomaterial	15
Polymer-based Nano-materials	16
NANO-REMEDIATION OF TEXTILE DYES: CURRENT DEVELOPMENTS	17
Agents and their Efficiency	17
Mechanism	19
SCALE UP OF NANOREMEDIATION: CHALLENGES & FUTURE PROSPECTS	21
Lack of Synchronisation between Stability, Activity and Selectivity	21
Toxicity of Nanoparticles	21
Lack of Optimised Scale up Efforts	21
CONCLUSION	22
CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION	22
CONFLICT OF INTEREST	23
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	23

REFERENCES	23
CHAPTER 3 INTERACTION BETWEEN METAL OXIDE NANOPARTICLES AND	
TERRESTRIAL PLANTS: AN OVERVIEW OF THE MODE OF ACTION AND FUTURE	
PERSPECTIVES	36
Poonam Patel, Prerna Dhingra, S. L. Kothari, Rohit Jain and Sumita Kachhwaha	
INTRODUCTION	36
Titanium Dioxide NPs (TiO <sub>2</sub> NPs)	37
Copper Oxide NPs (CuO NPs)	37
Zinc Oxide NPs (ZnO NPs)	38
UPTAKE AND TRANSLOCATION OF MO NPS IN PLANT SYSTEM	38
TiO <sub>2</sub> NPs	38
CuO NPs	41
ZnO NPs	42
MODE OF ACTION OF MO NPS	42
TiO <sub>2</sub> NPs	43
CuO NPs	44
ZnO NPs	44
IMPACT OF MO NPS ON PLANT SYSTEM	45
FUTURE PROSPECTS	76
CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION	78
CONFLICT OF INTEREST	78
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	78
REFERENCES	78
CHAPTER 4 ROLE OF NANOFERTILIZERS IN AGRICULTURE-FUTURISTIC	
APPROACH	88
Ali Asger Bhojiya, Abubakar Yahaya Muhammad, Aliyu Ahmad Mahmud, Umar	
Salisu Ahmad, Monica Sen and Devendra Jain	
INTRODUCTION	88
CONVENTIONAL FERTILIZERS	90
Challenges of Fertilizers In Present-Day Agriculture Practices	90
Solutions to the Use of Fertilizer	90
NANO FERTILIZERS (NF)	91
Effects of Nanofertilizers on Fertilizers	92
Advantages of Nanofertilizers	93
Limitations of Nanofertilizers	94
Comparative Analysis of Nanofertilizers over Conventional Chemical Fertilizers	95
BIOSYNTHESIS OF NANO-FERTILIZERS: GENERAL APPROACH	96
Approaches for the Synthesis of Nano Based Fertilizers	97
Methods of Biosynthesis of Nano-fertilizers	99
Physical Methods	99
Chemical Methods	100
Aerosol Route For Nanoparticle Synthesis	102
Biological Methods	102
GREEN SYNTHESIS OF NANO-BASED FERTILIZERS	102
Micro-Organisms Assisted Biogenesis	103
BIO-Template Assisted Biogenesis	103
Plant Extract Assisted Biogenesis	104
Green synthesis of Iron (Fe) Nanoparticles	104
Green Synthesis of Silver (Ag) Nanoparticles	105
Green Synthesis of Zinc (Zn) Nanoparticles	105

CONCLUSION	
CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION	
CONFLICT OF INTEREST	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	
REFERENCES	
APTER 5 NANOBIOTICS FOR THE TREATMENT OF MDR INFECTIONS	
Mrinalini Roy, Surbhi Mewara, Prashant Sharma, Anupam Jyoti, Vijay Kumar	
Srivastava and Sanket Kaushik	
INTRODUCTION	
Nanobiotics	
The Amalgamation of Nanoparticles with Antimicrobials	
Multidrug Resistant ESKAPE Pathogens	
Nano Biotics-the Perfect Solution For MDR- broad-Spectrum Activity	
TYPES OF NANOPARTICLES	
Inorganic Nanoparticles	
Metal Nanoparticles	
Gold Nanoparticles	
Iron Oxides Nanoparticles	
Silver Nanoparticles	
Nanostructures	
Organic Nanoparticles	
Polymeric Nanoparticles (NPs)	
Carbon Nanotubes	
Liposomes	
Biomimetic Nanoparticles	
Leukocyte membrane-coated nanoparticles	
Red Blood Cell Membrane-coated Nanoparticles	
Cancer Cell Membrane-coated Nanoparticles (CCNPs)	
Platelet Membrane-coated Nanoparticles	
CHALLENGES IN NANOBIOTICS	
Allergic Responses	
Assembly of NPs	
Pharmacokinetics of NPs	
Application of Nanobiotics in Treatment of MDR Infections	
Metallic and Inorganic Nanobiotics	
Liposomal Nanobiotics	
Carbon based Nanobiotics	
Polymeric Nanobiotics	
Biomimetic Nanobiotics	
The Synergy Between Antibiotics and Nanoparticles	
Application of Nanobiotics in Diagnostics	
CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION	
CONFLICT OF INTEREST	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	
REFERENCES	
DTED 6 METALLIC NANODADTICLES AS ANTIDACTEDIAL ACENTS	
ITTER U METALLIC NANOFACTICLES AS ANTIDACTERIAL AGENTS	
Summuni Shurma, Monika, Dong Wen-Liung, Shuvkaijon Azizov and Deepak	
DEVISION CHEMICAL DONDEDTIES OF METAL NANODADTICLES	
THISTOG CHERNICAL I NOT ENTRE OF METAL NANOTANTICLES	

	Size of Nanoparticles	135
	Shape of Nanoparticles	136
	Charge of Nanoparticles	136
	Acidic Conditions	136
	Concentration of Nanoparticles	137
	MODE OF ACTION AGAINST BACTERIA	137
	General Structure of Bacteria	137
	Nanoparticles' Antibacterial Mechanism	138
	Oxidative Stress	139
	Metal Ion Release	139
	Non-oxidative Mechanism	140
	SYNTHESIS OF NANOPARTICLES AND ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY	140
	Top-down Methods	140
	Bottom-up Methods	141
	Chemical Methods	141
	Biological Methods	144
	CONCLUSION	147
	FUTURE PERSPECTIVE	147
	CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION	147
	CONFLICT OF INTEREST	147
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	148
	REFERENCES	148
L	Anurag Jyoti, Neha Shrivastava, Vikas Shrivastava and Rajesh Singh Tomar INTRODUCTION Electrochemical-based Biosensors Voltametric Biosensors Amperometric-based Biosensors CONCLUSION CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION CONFLICT OF INTEREST ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	157 157 158 159 160 160 160 160
	REFERENCES	160
C	CHAPTER 8 BREAKING THE BARRIERS OF NANOTOXICOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT	'S:
T	HE IMPORTANCE OF AVAILABLE MODELS AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES	163
	Abhinoy Kishore, Indranil De, Prashant Sharma and Manish Singh	
	INTRODUCTION	164
	NANOTOXICITY CAUSES AND MECHANISM	165
	IN VITRO SCREENING METHODS AND ASSAYS FOR NANOPARTICLE	
	СҮТОТОХІСІТҮ	167
	MODELS	168
	FUTURE PERSPECTIVES	176
	CONCLUSION	177
	CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION	178
	CONFLICT OF INTEREST	178
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	179
	REFERENCES	179
	SUBJECT INDEX	2.7
	SUDJECT HIDEA	

## FOREWORD

Research in nanobiotechnology is gaining prime attention as it is directly affecting many socio-economic sectors including medical, agriculture, food, textile, and other industries. Biological, chemical and physical sciences are the backbone of nanobiotechnology. In recent years, nanobiotechnology research has provided solutions for several problems including human health because of its integrated approach involving various disciplines. Lately, it has been integrated rapidly with new emerging branches like molecular biology, pharmaceutical chemistry, animal cell science and drug development and discovery for output-oriented research.

This eBook 'Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications' presents a broad overview of the principles and applications of nanotechnology in the diverse areas of biotechnology. The expert group of authors exhibit distinguished expertise and will belong to the academic world, creating a broad perspective. This volume covers the basics and applications of nanotechnology in drug delivery, combating pathogens, nanobiosensors, improving plant health by fertilizers, bioremediation, disease sensing, and diagnosis.

As a biotechnology scientist, I am happy to recommend this eBook to the students of universities as a text and reference book both. The theory, concepts and technique's part will be used as textbook and the application part as a standard reference. This eBook has been written in a way so that it is student-friendly with clean diagrams and protocols of specific techniques. I sincerely hope that the eBook has been prepared with scientific skills and will serve as a useful document for graduate and undergraduate students.

> Rahul K. Verma Institute of Nanoscience and Technology S.A.S Nagar, Mohali, Punjab India

## PREFACE

This eBook titled 'Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications' will provide insight into the principles and practices of nanotechnology in biological fields. Nanobiotechnology, an amalgamation of nanotechnology and biotechnology has gained attention due to its diverse applications. It utilizes the power of nanotechnology to solve the problems of various aspects of biotechnology like agriculture, medicine, industry and many more. In view of this, there is an unmet need to compile different horizons of Nanobiotechnology. Additionally, the biological toxicity to nanomaterials needs attention.

We strongly believe this book is a reader's delight and will help in dealing with the fundamental principles, and applications of nanobiotechnology. This will help students to understand the importance of nano techniques in all domains of biotechnology which will set a benchmark for further research. This eBook will cover topics like nano drug delivery, nano fertilizers, nano bioremediation, nanotoxicology, and nano biosensors to be written by authors who have quality publications in their proposed chapter area. We sincerely hope our efforts will be embraced by students with appreciation and enthusiasm for learning.

Juhi Saxena Department of Biotechnology University Institute of Biotechnology Chandigarh University Mohali, Punjab, India

> Abhijeet Singh Department of Biosciences School of Basic Sciences Manipal University Jaipur Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

#### &

Anupam Jyoti Department of Biotechnology University Institute of Biotechnology Chandigarh University Mohali, Punjab, India

## **List of Contributors**

Anupam Jyoti	Department of Biotechnology, University Institute of Biotechnology, Chandigarh University, Mohali, Punjab, India		
Anurag Jyoti	Amity Institute of Biotechnology, Amity University Madhya Pradesh, Maharajpura Dang, Gwalior, M.P., India		
Abhinoy Kishore	Institute of Nano Science and Technology, Mohali, India		
Abhijeet Singh	Department of Biosciences, Manipal University Jaipur, Dehmi Kalan, Near JVK Toll Plaza, Jaipur-Ajmeer Expressway, Jaipur-303007, Rajasthan, India		
Ali Asger Bhojiya	Faculty of Science, U.S. Ostwal Science, Arts and Commerce College, Mangalwad, Chittorgarh, Rajasthan, India		
Abubabkar Y Mahmud	Faculty of Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences, Mewar University, Gangrar, Chittorgarh, Rajasthan, India		
Aliyu Ahmad Mahmud	Faculty of Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences, Mewar University, Gangrar, Chittorgarh, Rajasthan, India		
Bitupon Gogoi	Department of Biosciences, Manipal University Jaipur, Dehmi Kalan, Near JVK Toll Plaza, Jaipur-Ajmeer Expressway, Jaipur-303007, Rajasthan, India		
Baby Sharma	Amity Institute of Biotechnology, Amity University Rajstahan, RIICO Industrial Area, Jaipur, Rajasthan 303007, India		
Deepak Kumar	Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Shoolini University, Solan Himachal Pradesh, India		
Dong Wen-Liang	School of Pharmacy, Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Jinan 250355, People's Republic of China		
Indranil De	Institute of Nano Science and Technology, Mohali, India		
Madan Mohan Sharma	Department of Biosciences, Manipal University Jaipur, Dehmi Kalan, Near JVK Toll Plaza, Jaipur-Ajmeer Expressway, Jaipur-303007, Rajasthan, India		
Monica Sen	Department of Biotechnology, Mohanlal Sukhadia University, Udaipur-313001, Rajasthan, India		
Mrinalini Roy	Amity Institute of Biotechnology, Amity University Rajasthan, Jaipur-303002, India		
Monika	Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Shoolini University, Solan-173229, India		
Manish Singh	Institute of Nano Science and Technology, Mohali, India		
Nilima Kumari	Dept. of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Banasthali Vidyapith, Rajasthan- 304022, India		
Neha Shrivastava	Amity Institute of Biotechnology, Amity University Madhya Pradesh, Maharajpura Dang, Gwalior, M.P., India		
Poonam Patel	Department of Agriculture, Vivekananda Global University, Jaipur-303012, India		
Prerna Dhingra	Department of Botany, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur-302004, India		

Prashant Sharma	Amity Institute of Biotechnology, Amity University Rajasthan, Jaipur-303002, India	
Prashant Sharma	Institute of Nano Science and Technology, Mohali, India	
Rajeev Mishra	Department of Life Science, Chhatrapati Shahu Ji Maharaj University, Kanpur- UP 208024, India	
Rohit Jain	Department of Biosciences, Manipal University Jaipur, Rajasthan-303007, India	
Rajesh Singh Tomar	Amity Institute of Biotechnology, Amity University Madhya Pradesh, Maharajpura Dang, Gwalior, M.P., India	
Shruti Mathur	Amity Institute of Biotechnology, Amity University Rajstahan, SP-1 Kant Kalwar, NH11C, RIICO Industrial Area, Jaipur, Rajasthan 303007, India	
S.L. Kothari	Amity University Rajasthan, Jaipur-302001, India	
Sumita Kachhwaha	Department of Botany, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur-302004, India	
Surbhi Mewara	Amity Institute of Biotechnology, Amity University Rajasthan, Jaipur-303002, India	
Sanket Kaushik	Amity Institute of Biotechnology, Amity University Rajasthan, Jaipur-303002, India	
Samridhi Sharma	Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Shoolini University, Solan-173229, India	
Shavkatjon Azizov	Laboratory of Biological Active Macromolecular Systems, Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry, Academy of Sciences Uzbekistan, Tashkent-100125, Uzbekistan Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Tashkent Pharmaceutical Institute, Tashkent-100015, Uzbekistan	
Umar Salisu Ahmad	Faculty of Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences, Mewar University, Gangrar, Chittorgarh, Rajasthan, India	
Vijay Kumar Srivastava	Amity Institute of Biotechnology, Amity University Rajasthan, Jaipur-303002, India	
Vinay Sharma	Amity Institute of Biotechnology, Amity University Rajstahan, SP-1 Kant Kalwar, NH11C, RIICO Industrial Area, Jaipur, Rajasthan 303007, India	
Vikas Shrivastava	Amity Institute of Biotechnology, Amity University Madhya Pradesh, Maharajpura Dang, Gwalior, M.P., India	

iv

**CHAPTER 1** 

## The Roles of Nanoparticles in Ovarian Cancer Treatment and Diagnosis

Bitupon Gogoi<sup>1</sup>, Devendra Jain<sup>2</sup>, Madan Mohan Sharma<sup>1</sup>, Rajeev Mishra<sup>3,\*</sup> and Abhijeet Singh<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biosciences, Manipal University Jaipur, Dehmi Kalan, Near JVK Toll Plaza, Jaipur-Ajmeer Expressway, Jaipur-303007, Rajasthan, India

<sup>2</sup> Department of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, Maharana Pratap University of Agriculture and Technology, Udaipur-313001, Rajasthan, India

<sup>3</sup> Department of Life Science, Chhatrapati Shahu Ji Maharaj University, Kanpur- UP 208024, India

Abstract: Ovarian cancer, an aggressive epithelial cancer, remains a major cause of cancer mortality worldwide among women, but it can be diagnosed at an early stage also. Surgical removal of ovarian tumour is a good option for the initial treatment, but this is suitable only at the early stage of cancer. Surgery and other therapies like chemotherapy, hormone role therapy and immunotherapy alone are insufficient for the treatment of today's advanced ovarian cancer. The aim of this book chapter is to review the use of nano-particles in the treatment of ovarian cancer, along with surgery. It is believed that nano therapies have lots of advantages like they stabilize drugs in our body, deliver and penetrate the drugs to tumour-specific cells and can profile the toxicity of chemotherapy. This book chapter also covers the development of nanotherapies, types of nanocarriers and their role in ovarian cancer diagnosis and treatment.

**Keywords:** Apoptosis, Biomarker, Chemotherapy, Detoxification, Drug cargo, DNA repair, Drug resistance, Graft rejection, Gynaecological cancer, Heterogeneous nature, Hydrophilic corona, Intracellular delivery, M alignancy, Metastatic tumour, Nanocarriers, Nanomaterial, Nanotechnology, Nano transmitter, Photodynamic therapy, Prophylactic, Photo thermal therapy, Renal clearance, Silent Killer, Systematic toxicity.

Juhi Saxena, Abhijeet Singh and Anupam Jyoti (Eds.) All rights reserved-© 2023 Bentham Science Publishers

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors Abhijeet Singh and Rajeev Mishra: Department of Biosciences, Manipal University Jaipur, Dehmi Kalan, Near JVK Toll Plaza, Jaipur-Ajmeer Expressway, Jaipur-303007, Rajasthan, India and Department of Life Science, Chhatrapati Shahu Ji Maharaj University, Kanpur- UP 208024, India; E-mails: abhijeetdhaliwal@gmail.com and rajeev.csjmu@gmail.com

#### INTRODUCTION

The most deadliest female reproductive cancer is ovarian cancer [1]. It is the sixth most common malignancy of females worldwide and the second most common malignancy of the female reproductive system. Ovarian cancer is responsible for 4% of all types of malignancies in women and 5% of cancer deaths [2]. Annual incidence rates vary from less than 5 per 1,00,000 in underdeveloped countries like Brazil, India, Thailand etc. to greater than 13 per 1,00,000 in developed countries like the United States, Germany, Denmark, Norway etc. It is the most common type of gynaecological cancer, ranking the third behind uterine and cervical cancers, and has the greatest incidence of mortality rates. Ovarian tumour pathology is one of the most challenging areas of gynaecology since the ovary produces a wider range and types of tumours than any other organ however; it is a high-grade serous subtype that is frequently misdiagnosed as a systemic disease. Because 75 per cent of Ovarian Cancer is found at an advanced stage, such as stage III or IV, it is also regarded as the "Silent Killer" [3]. The reason of high death rate is due to the fact that tumour grows secretly, and there is lack of appropriate examination to detect the certain stages. It is generally believed that the fatality rate from this type of cancer will surge very high in the following 20 years [4].

Because of the heterogeneous nature of ovarian cancer, prophylactic and early detection strategies have not yet shown effective result. Identifying risk factors and creating protective factors were the main prevention methods of ovarian cancer in the past [5]. But unfortunately these strategies did not greatly reduce the disease's occurrence. Although, surgery is the initial and effective treatment but most of the time, the disease re-occurs due to the aggressive nature of the tumours [6]. And most of the time it is seen that metastatic tumour of the ovary develops a very strong resistance to conventional systemic therapies (like Chemotherapy, targeted therapy and hormone therapy *etc.*). The resistance of cancer cells is caused by a variety of processes, including decreased absorption, increased excretion, drug inactivation and detoxification, and the loss of DNA repair power.

Currently, although many novel ways have been created to increase drug delivery to cancerous cells, nanotechnology has been identified as one of the best therapy methods for overcoming the barriers in advanced cancer treatment [7]. Nanoparticles have the ability to cope up very easily with molecular imaging, carrying drugs to the specific site, treatment, and tumour cell specific destruction. Conventional chemotherapies show very poor systematic toxicity and toxicological effects towards normal and tumour cells. However, nano therapy can be used to manage the cytotoxic effects of healthy cells while also lowering the toxicity of chemotherapeutics [8]. So, there is a hope for an effective treatment

#### **Roles of Nanoparticles**

of ovarian cancer with the efficient use of nanocarriers as a solution along with multiple chemotherapeutic drugs.

#### NANOTECHNOLOGY APPLICATION

Through the knowledge and control of matter at nanometre range, mostly 1 to 100 nm, novel functionalities and qualities of matter can be seen. Employed for a broad array of applications, nanotechnology creates Nano composites, sensors, and processes.

In biology, this technology is called nano biotechnology and in the medical field as nano medicine. The primary goal of nanotechnology in medicine is to improve the efficacy of cancer diagnosis and treatment procedures.

#### Nanocarriers

Nanocarriers are multifunctional nanomaterials and can be used for the treatment and diagnosis of cancer. Their surface can absorb different types of compounds, such as pharmaceuticals, are absorbed by physical absorption and antibodies by chemical conjugation interactions (Fig. 1) [9]. Nanocarriers can be classified into several types like micelle, dendrimer, carbon nanotube, liposome, *etc*.



Fig. (1). Examples of some Nanocarriers.

As compared to conventional chemotherapies, Nanocarriers have lots of advantages like delivery of poorly soluble drugs, ability to reduce systematic side effects of chemical treatments, drug stability maintenance by extending their time in bloodstream, and reduced drug resistance by targeting cancer cells [10].

Nanocarriers have the ability to surround the poorly soluble drugs within the hydrophobic interface and can act as carriers for them in blood.

The mechanism behind the regulation of stability of drugs is by prolonging their presence in the bloodstream, protecting them against destabilization, and lowering renal clearance by the Nanocarriers [11].

#### Liposomes

Liposomes can be distinguished by the existence of two components: an inward hydrophilic component and also an outward hydrophobic component, as well as the presence of a lipid bilayer, which allows them to show multiple properties. Furthermore, they have the ability to change the polarity of these molecules.

Such a structure helps them to grab different types of hydrophobic and hydrophilic medications in liposomes and equip them with different pharmaceuticals.

Their main function is to deliver molecules that can tremendously increase the effectiveness of drugs, even though liposomes are molecules that try to conceal easily again from immune response and can stimulate the cell membrane. This increases the chances of retention of a drug concentration in its desired location for a prolonged period of time, allowing to solubilize poorly soluble therapeutics, and thus helping to mitigate risks of side complexity [12].

#### Dendrimers

Dendrimers are molecules that are radially symmetric. They have a very wellknown morphology, which is a uniform and narrow size distribution structure in the form of tree arms or branches and looks like hyper branched macromolecules with carefully tailored architecture.

They are associated with a high number of functional groups and a molecular structure that is compact [13].

The end knob like structure of the dendrimers can be functionalized and ultimately can change their physicochemical and biological properties and that's why they have gained a vast range of applications in chemistry, particularly in host-guest reactions and self-assembly processes.

#### Micelles

This type of Nano composite is very important in diagnosis and treatment of tumours. Generally they are spherical in shape with a diameter of 10 and 100 nm. In an aqueous medium, self-assembled amphiphilic block co-polymers of micelles consist of a hydrophobic core and a hydrophilic corona.

#### **Roles of Nanoparticles**

Nowadays polymeric micelles are getting a lot of popularity due to their role in drug delivery system. They not only increase the solubility of a particular drug but also enhance the stability of the drug cargo [14].

#### Carbon Nanotube

Carbon nanotubes are considered a unique type of Nano transmitter because of their structure and property. In comparison to other Nanocarriers, they possess a huge surface area, a big aspect ratio, nonmetric size stability, and numerous chemical functionalities.

These are extensively used to deliver anti-cancer drugs, as well as proteins and DNA, among other things [15].

Carbon nanotubes can be employed as a carrier for both photodynamic therapy as well as photo thermal therapy to destroy cancer cells directly.

#### **DIAGNOSIS AND IMAGING**

In recent years, there have been several enhancements and major developments in diagnosis and imaging due to nanotechnology because of integration of technologies like biosensors and updated and improved imaging technologies as well as amalgamation of bioinformatics together with multiplexed assays.

Nowadays by applying diagnostic biomarker in nanoparticle platforms, we can get better contrasting images in devices like X-RAY, magnetic resonance imaging machine, position emission tomography machine, *etc* [16].

#### **Targeted Imaging Agents**

Non-invasive techniques cannot image molecules since they are too small. Therefore desired contrasting agents are applied in the desired type of tissue or cellular receptors for better imaging. A site-targeted agent has the ability to give direction to a particular biomarker so that they can differentiate the tissues.

The targeted desired contrasting agent must have the following properties like prolonged duration of their life in blood, highly site specific binding nature, acceptable toxicity profile, also promise for adjunctive therapeutic delivery, *etc* [17].

The core of vertebrate annexin is made up of four identical motifs containing roughly 70 amino acids, forming somewhat a curved circle around a central hydrophilic pore. The use of technetium-labelled annexin to membrane phosphatidyl serine epitopes revealed during apoptosis can be used to detect

cellular apoptosis. Liposomes are often used to identify sclerotic constituents as well as to visualise graft rejection; minute bubbles are used in magnetic resonance imaging and sonography.

#### Nano-Liposomal Imaging Agents

Liposome has the ability to encapsulate the biomolecules that are hydrophilic in nature, and can increase solubility through lipid bilayers of the cells.

Cholesterol can improve permanence by altering the permeability of the bilayer membrane, inhibiting phospholipid acyl chains from precipitation and causing steric barrier to their movement. Because of the high eliminating agents and poor systemic retention, as well as the rapid removal process from the body, it is important to add a molecule that boosts the imaging efficiency. And these problems can be solved by taking advantage of the EPR (Enhanced permeability and retention) phenomenon seen in tumours by encapsulating the imaging agent in a liposome [18].

#### FLUORESCENT IMAGES AND GUIDED SURGERY

There is indeed a need to have novel materials to improve the responsiveness, effectiveness, and durability of such imaging systems utilised during surgical treatment. That's why, there were also numerous fluorescent nanoparticles created, analysed, and adapted for image-assisted surgical treatment. Two great examples are -

CF800 liposomes are commonly applied to encase the iohexol contrasting dye.

Magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles: These are targeting ligand nanoparticles that can be combined with optical magnetic resonance imaging.

#### NANOPARTICLE THERAPEUTICS (ANTI-CANCER)

Nanoparticles have a direct and target specific anticancer effect as compared to conventional treatments. They are more target specific and active intracellular delivery, but up to a certain extent, these two factors also depend upon the nanoparticles' structure and surface texture (Fig. 2) [19].

Therapeutic lines like small-molecule drugs, proteins, peptides, nucleic acids and the chemicals that generate nanoparticle are the main components of nanoparticle therapy.



Fig. (2). Some of the most frequently applied nanoparticles in clinical testing (a) Nanoparticles containing medicinal ingredients. (b) Nanoparticles made of a polymer or a medicine. (c) Liposome-containing nanoparticle.

#### Size of the Nanoparticle

Anticancer nanoparticles are typically 10 to 100 nanometres in size. The glomerular sieving rates of the capillaries of the kidneys are used to calculate this dimension of the nanoparticles. For renal excretion, a threshold size of minimum 10 nm is utmost. On the other hand, vessels in tumour are subject to leak macromolecules, as a result, nanoparticles are unable to circulate in the blood for

long periods of durations and have a high possibility of reaching the blood through malignant tissue blood vessels. Here the size of nanoparticles is higher than six to twelve nanometres; which is also the diameter of the sieve in healthy tissues blood vessels and is blocked from entering and thus not being able to affect the normal tissues.

Cancer cells being specifically targeted by nanoparticles can be filtered through the kidney.

#### Nanoparticle Surface

Compared to the size of the nanoparticles, they have a very large surface area, and this design appropriately allows them to have easy contact with the molecule and its surroundings.

The nanoparticle's surface area as well as mixing components is exclusively responsible for deciding the nanoparticle's ultimate fate inside the body by regulating the degree of the nanoparticle's contact with its environment.

Surface properties of the nanoparticles also play a significant role. Nanoparticle's surface having surface charges that are mildly negative or mildly positive, has much less self-self and self-non-self-interactions [20].

#### CONCLUSION

Nano therapies are far more effective than any other traditional chemotherapy in the detection and treatment of ovarian cancer. They are quite effective because of their potentiality to target a specific tissue and also to examine the living body of animals for adequate durations of time.

#### **CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION**

Not applicable.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The author declares no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are appreciative to Manipal University Jaipur for providing all or most of the required to complete this effort.

#### REFERENCES

[1] Hassan AA, Artemenko M, Tang MKS, Wong AST. Selectins: An important family of glycan-binding

#### **Roles of Nanoparticles**

#### Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications 9

cell adhesion molecules in ovarian cancer. Cancers (Basel) 2020; 12(8): 2238. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/cancers12082238] [PMID: 32785160]

- [2] NCCN. Ovarian cancer Version 1.2021. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2021; 6736.
- [3] Al-Alem L F, Southard C R, Kilgore M W, Curry T E. Abstract 1723: Thiazolinediones (TZDs) mediate proliferation and cell cycle kinetics in ovarian cancer cells in a PPARγ-dependent and independent manner. Cancer Research 2010;70(8 Supplement):1723-1723. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1538-7445.AM10-1723]
- [4] Lu Z. Abstract IA08: Kinase-mediated modulation of paclitaxel sensitivity in ovarian cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2018. 24 (15\_Supplement): IA08. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1557-3265.OVCA17-IA08]
- [5] Walker JL, Powell CB, Chen L, et al. Society of Gynecologic Oncology recommendations for the prevention of ovarian cancer. Cancer 2015; 121(13): 2108-20. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cncr.29321] [PMID: 25820366]
- [6] Gao Q, Yang Z, Xu S, et al. Heterotypic CAF-tumor spheroids promote early peritoneal metastasis of ovarian cancer. J Exp Med 2019; 216(3): 688-703. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1084/jem.20180765] [PMID: 30710055]
- [7] Rhoda K, Choonara YE, Kumar P, Bijukumar D, du Toit LC, Pillay V. Potential nanotechnologies and molecular targets in the quest for efficient chemotherapy in ovarian cancer. Expert Opin Drug Deliv 2015; 12(4): 613-34.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1517/17425247.2015.970162] [PMID: 25300775]
- [8] Li Y, Gao Y, Zhang X, Guo H, Gao H. Nanoparticles in precision medicine for ovarian cancer: From chemotherapy to immunotherapy. Int J Pharm 2020; 591: 119986. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2020.119986] [PMID: 33069895]
- [9] Hossen S, Hossain MK, Basher MK, Mia MNH, Rahman MT, Uddin MJ. Smart nanocarrier-based drug delivery systems for cancer therapy and toxicity studies: A review. J Adv Res 2019; 15: 1-18. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jare.2018.06.005] [PMID: 30581608]
- [10] Allahverdiyev AM, Parlar E, Dinparvar S, Bagirova M, Abamor EŞ. Current aspects in treatment of breast cancer based of nanodrug delivery systems and future prospects. Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol 2018;46(sup3):S755-S762. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/21691401.2018.1511573]
- [11] Maiolo D, Pigliacelli C, Sánchez Moreno P, *et al.* Bioreducible Hydrophobin-Stabilized Supraparticles for Selective Intracellular Release. ACS Nano 2017; 11(9): 9413-23. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.7b04979] [PMID: 28806871]
- [12] van Rooijen N, Bakker J, Sanders N. Transient suppression of macrophage functions by liposomeencapsulated drugs. Trends Biotechnol 1997; 15(5): 178-85. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7799(97)01019-6] [PMID: 9161052]
- [13] Roy B, Guha P, Nahak P, et al. Biophysical Correlates on the Composition, Functionality, and Structure of Dendrimer–Liposome Aggregates. ACS Omega 2018; 3(9): 12235-45. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.8b01187] [PMID: 31459298]
- [14] Ghezzi M, Pescina S, Padula C, *et al.* Polymeric micelles in drug delivery: An insight of the techniques for their characterization and assessment in biorelevant conditions. J Control Release 2021; 332: 312-36.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2021.02.031] [PMID: 33652113]
- [15] Seyfoori A, Sarfarazijami S, Seyyed Ebrahimi SA. pH-responsive carbon nanotube-based hybrid nanogels as the smart anticancer drug carrier. Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol 2019; 47(1): 1437-43. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/21691401.2019.1596939] [PMID: 30991848]
- [16] Tagit O, Hildebrandt N. Fluorescence Sensing of Circulating Diagnostic Biomarkers Using Molecular Probes and Nanoparticles. ACS Sens 2017; 2(1): 31-45.

#### Gogoi et al.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acssensors.6b00625] [PMID: 28722447]

- [17] Chen WC, Liu SY, Lin AH, Liu X. Transferrin-labeled magnetolipsomes: Preparation and magnetic resonance imaging *in vitro*. Chinese J Tissue Eng Res 2017; 21: 6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.2095-4344.2017.06.018]
- [18] Maruyama K. Intracellular targeting delivery of liposomal drugs to solid tumors based on EPR effects. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2011; 63(3): 161-9.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2010.09.003] [PMID: 20869415]
- [19] Davis ME, Chen Z, Shin DM. Nanoparticle therapeutics: an emerging treatment modality for cancer. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2008; 7(9): 771-82.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrd2614] [PMID: 18758474]
- [20] Singh R, Lillard JW Jr. Nanoparticle-based targeted drug delivery. Exp Mol Pathol 2009; 86(3): 215-23.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.yexmp.2008.12.004] [PMID: 19186176]

## Advances in Nano-remediation of Textile Dyes in Textile Industry Effluents: Current Developments and Future Prospects

Baby Sharma<sup>1</sup>, Nilima Kumari<sup>2</sup>, Shruti Mathur<sup>3,\*</sup> and Vinay Sharma<sup>4,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Amity Institute of Biotechnology, Amity University Rajstahan, SP-1 Kant Kalwar, NH11C, RIICO Industrial Area, Jaipur, Rajasthan303007, India

<sup>2</sup> Department of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Banasthali Vidyapith, Rajasthan- 304022, India

<sup>3</sup> Amity Institute of Biotechnology, Amity University Rajstahan, SP-1 Kant Kalwar, NH11C, RIICO Industrial Area, Jaipur, Rajasthan 303007, India

<sup>4</sup> Amity Institute of Biotechnology; Dean Research, Amity University Rajstahan, SP-1 Kant Kalwar, NH11C, RIICO Industrial Area, Jaipur, Rajasthan 303007, India

**Abstract:** Environmental clean-up for the removal of recalcitrant pollutants is a global concern, especially in the terms of industrial waste. Research over the years has led to the development of various conventional physicochemical and biological methods for the decontamination of numerous pollutants. These methods however are reported to be extremely expensive and with limited success. Nano-remediation has been reported as an effective alternative in this regard. The chapter outlines the use of various nanoparticles as an innovative and cutting-edge technology for the clean-up of environmental pollutants. It describes the use of fabricated nanoparticles to remove pollutants. The chapter offers an overview of current research developments in the emerging field of nano-remediation with special emphasis on textile dyes, elucidating the mechanisms involved.

Keywords: Adsorption, Environment, Nano-remediation, Textile dyes.

#### INTRODUCTION

Human activities have been constantly affecting the quality of air, water and soil. Constant inclusion of heavy metals, pesticides, particulate matter, oil spills, toxic gases, fertilizers, dyes and other organic compounds into the environment has become a major threat to the environment [1, 2] leading to the development of

Juhi Saxena, Abhijeet Singh and Anupam Jyoti (Eds.) All rights reserved-© 2023 Bentham Science Publishers

<sup>\*</sup> **Corresponding authors Shruti Mathur and Vinay Sharma**: Amity Institute of Biotechnology, Amity University Rajstahan, SP-1 Kant Kalwar, NH11C, RIICO Industrial Area, Jaipur, Rajasthan 303007, India and Amity Institute of Biotechnology; Dean Research, Amity University Rajstahan, SP-1 Kant Kalwar, NH11C, RIICO Industrial Area, Jaipur, Rajasthan 303007, India; E-mails: smathur1@jpr.amity.edu and vsharma4@jpr.amity.edu

nanomaterial based remedial technologies for mitigation of toxic effects of these environmental pollutants through various clean-up mechanism [3 - 5].

Owing to the unique properties of the nano-sized materials, nanotechnologies have achieved immense attention during the last decades. Environmental remediation technologies have utilised the property of higher surface-to-volume ratio for nanomaterials in order to bring efficiency to the remediation processes [4, 6]. Apart from this, nanoremediation has also leveraged the surface chemistry of nanomaterials for trapping target-specific pollutant molecules [7]. Apart from surface chemistry, other tuneable physical parameters of nanomaterials such as size, porosity, morphology along with their unique chemical composition aid the process of remediation confirming additional advantages [8, 9]. The aforementioned advantages have therefore popularised the use of nanomaterials for the mitigation of environmental pollutants, especially from aqueous sources.

Furthermore, it is important to note that matrices utilised for the purpose of environmental remediation are not pollutants by themselves. In this connection, different biodegradable materials having desired properties along with nano-sized materials are considered more advantageous then using single nano platforms [10]. Such approaches of using nano-composites have been utilised for scaling up the nano-remediation technology by making it more acceptable amongst the consumers due to its greener and safer nature. Moreover, it also enhances the stability and specificity of the clean-up process by eliminating, off-targeting and promoting target-specific removal of contaminants from the wastewater [11]. Therefore, studies have focused on utilising the core principle of nanotechnology by combining physicochemical surface modifications for nano-composites or functional nano-materials for specific removal of a variety of pollutants from aqueous medium.

#### NANO REMEDIATION: DEFINITIONS AND AGENTS

Nano-remediation has been defined by various authors in different contexts. For instance, Ganie [9] defines Nanoremediationas "an innovative approach for safe and sustainable remediation of persistent organic compounds such as pesticides, chlorinated solvents, brominated or halogenated chemicals, perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS), and heavy metals". Similarly, Grieger [12] defines it as "nano-remediation is the term used to describe various techniques and methods to clean up contaminated sites using engineered nano-materials". Nanoremediation has also been defined as "Tiny Objects Solving Huge Environmental Problems" in simpler terms [13]. From the perspective of functionality, Zhang [14] simplies nanotechnology as "the use of small size, high specific surface area, reactivity and versatility of engineered nanomaterials to

potentiate them for the removal of recalcitrant contaminants and achieve selectivity of target contaminants in complex environmental media". Nano remediation has also been defined as "the practice of using various types of nanoparticles such as  $TiO_2$  based NPs, dendrimers, Fe based NPs, Silica and carbon nanomaterials, Graphene based NPs, nanotubes, polymers, micelles, nanomembranes, *etc.* to diminish environmental hazards" [15]. Thus, leveraging the characteristics of nanoparticles such as high surface area to mass ratio, sensitivity, catalytic behaviour and electronic properties for removal/degradation of pollutants is termed nano-remediation.

Numerous nano-sized materials have been developed using different modes of synthesis for the purpose of environmental remediation. However, there seems no such classification of nanomaterial types utilised for nano-remediation. The chapter, therefore, classifies agents of nanoremediation into three major categories namely, polymer-based nanomaterials, inorganic nanomaterials and carbon-based nanomaterials on the basis of literature review.

#### **Carbon-based Nanomaterial**

Carbon-based nanomaterials are known for their unique physicochemical and electronic properties. The mutable hybridization property of carbonaceous materials helps to yield different structural configurations of these nanomaterials such as single-walled nanotubes (SWCNTs), multi-walled nanotubes (MWCNTs), etc. Earlier investigations have shown the utility of graphene and carbon nanotubes for environmental remediation applications. It has also been reported that surface treatment of these carbon materials helps in improving the efficacy of these materials as they are otherwise ineffective for remediation purposes. The literature demarcates the dominance of single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNT) and multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNT) owing to their absorption properties for the removal of a variety of pollutants from air as well as large-scale aqueous medium [16 - 19]. In order to enhance the adsorption properties further, researchers have been working on opening the close ends of pristine carbon nanotubes (CNTs) [16, 20]. It has been stated that the open-ended CNTs can typically absorb pollutants in four different available sites based on their adsorption energy. Apart from this, other modifications that have been proposed for improving absorption efficacy is by oxidation of CNT. For instance, post oxidation nitric acid treated CNTs proved to improve their heavy metal adsorption capabilities [21]. Furthermore, physical properties such as temperature, molecular weight, pH, and electric dipole moment also have a huge impact on the adsorption phenomenon by CNTs [20]. Thus, tuning physical parameters has also been employed as a strategy to activate carbonaceous nano-materials.

Sharma et al.

Apart from this, photocatalytic approaches have also been utilised to remediate contaminants using carbon-based nanoparticles [22]. In this context, graphene has been popularly utilised for fabrication of photolytic nano-composites [23 - 26]. These graphene composites have been amalgamated with  $TiO_2$  for enhancing the photolytic activity of the composites by increasing the conductivity [23]. Table 1 demonstrates the use of carbon-based nano-material for the mitigation of various environmental pollutants.

Table 1.	Remediation	potential	of graphene	nanomaterials.
Table 1.	Remeulation	potentiai	or graphene	nanomater lais.

Graphene Nanomaterial	Remediation Potential	References
Graphene oxide nanoparticle	Effective adsorption of H <sub>2</sub> S, SOx, NH <sub>3</sub> , heavy metals, pharmaceuticals, volatile organic compounds and pesticides	[27, 28 - 31]
CdS-graphene/ZnO-graphene nanocomposites	Photo catalytic degradation of heavy metal like hexavalent chromium	[24]
Pristine graphene nanocomposites	Fluoride Adsorption	[32]
Multiwall carbon nanotube (MWCTs)	Adsorption of zinc	[33]
TiO <sub>2</sub> -graphene nanocomposite	Photocatalytic degradation of benzene	[23, 26]
Carbon nanotubes/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> nanocomposite	Adsorption of Fluoride	[34]

#### **Inorganic Nanomaterials**

Inorganic nanomaterials used for environmental remediation can conveniently be classified into metal and metal oxide based nanomaterials and silica-based nanomaterials.

#### Metal and Metal Oxide Based Nanomaterial

Majority of studies indicate the use of metal and metal oxide based nanomaterials for the removal of various contaminants. These nanomaterials are extensively utilised for their adsorption capacity owing to their fast kinetics [35]. These materials are widely used for environmental applications because of their flexibility in both *ex-situ* and *in-situ* applications. Studies have focused on exploring efficient methods of synthesis for attaining shape-controlled, monodispersed, stable metal-based nano particles using physical, chemical and biological approaches [36, 37]. In this context, silver nanoparticles are extremely popular because of their water disinfectant properties owing to their antimicrobial nature [38, 39]. Moreover, silver nanoparticles conjugated with other scaffold materials have also been utilised for improving remediation efficacy [40]. Furthermore, titanium oxide nanoparticles are another popular remediation agent due to their non-toxic, energy converting, semi-conducting, cost-effective, photo-

#### Advances in Nano-remediation

#### Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications 15

catalytic properties [41, 42]. They are widely utilised for both air purification and water treatment. Apart from this, iron oxide nanoparticles have been extensively studied for the removal of various contaminants such as heavy metals, dyes, and chlorinated organic solvents [43 - 54]. Some major applications of metal-based nanoparticles in the environmental remediation of contaminants have been stated in Table 2 below.

Metal and Metal Oxide Based Nanomaterial	Remediation Potential	References
Ag-doped TiO nanoparticles	Adsorption of 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	[55]
Cu/Fe/Ag-doped TiO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles	Photocatalytic reduction of nitrate	[56]
Titanate nanotubes	Catalytic reduction of nitric oxide	[57]
Iron/Iron-oxide nanoparticles	Adsorption of dyes, heavy metals, other organic pollutants	[58 - 64]
Nanosilver-decorated titanium dioxide nanofibers	Photo-degradation of Methylene blue dye	[65]
TiO <sub>2</sub> Nanoparticles	Adsorption of aromatic dyes, phenanthrene, hydrocarbons	[66 - 70]
Bimetallic Nanoparticles	Removal of brominated and chlorinated contaminants from soil and water	[71 - 80]

#### Table 2. Remediation potential of metal and metal oxide based nanomaterials.

#### Silica Nanomaterial

The versatility and porosity of silica material have made it a highly explored option for adsorption applications. Silicanano-materials have been extensively reported for remediation of contaminants in the gaseous phase owing to their large pore volume, high surface area, adjustable surface modifications and pore size [11]. In this context, hydroxyl groups have been extensively explored for surface modification in the silica nano-materials to enhance gas absorption [4]. Apart from this, silica materials with amine groups on their surface have been reported to attribute to higher adsorption of pollutants [81 - 92]. For example, modified silicon nano-materials are popularly used for capturing carbon dioxide from impure air. Table **3** below demonstrates the application of silica nano-materials in the process of environmental remediation.

Silica Nanomaterial	<b>Remediation Potential</b>	References
Amino-functionalized mesoporous silica nanoparticle	Adsorption of heavy metals.	[93 - 98]
Amine-modified xerogelsnanoparticles	Adsorption of gaseous pollutants like hydrogen sulphide and carbon dioxide.	[83]
Amino-functionalized mesoporous silica nanoparticles	Removal of heavy metals from wastewater through adsorption.	[93 - 98]
Carboxylic acid-functionalized mesoporous silica nanoparticle	Adsorptive removal of heavy metals and dyes.	[11, 99, 100]
Thiol-functionalized mesoporous silica nanoparticle	Adsorptive removal of heavy metals from wastewater.	[101 - 104]

#### **Polymer-based Nano-materials**

Aggregation of nano-materials has been reported as one of the major concerns for their application in environmental remediation. Aggregation consecutively leads to low stability and non-specificity which ultimately causes a lack of functionality. To address this issue, polymer-based nano-materials constituting a matrix or support holding the nano-materials have been utilised. The polymeric hosts which are often used for this purpose are emulsifiers, surface functionalised ligands, surfactants or stabilising agents [31, 105]. These hosts material not only enhance the stability but also enhance durability, mechanical strength and recyclability of the nano-material. Table 4 below shows various polymer-based nano-materials and their applications for environmental remediation purposes.

It is quite evident that nanoparticles are emerging as a potent tool for removal of a wide range of pollutants, textile dye being one of them. The following section thus focuses on the current developments in nano-remediation of textile dyes with special emphasis on the various studies on varying ranges of NPs and their remedial mechanisms.

Polymer-based Nanomaterial	<b>Remediation Capacity</b>	References
Amine-modified PDLLA-PEG nanoparticles	Target-specific adsorption of gaseous volatile organic contaminants (VOCs).	[4, 106]
Amphiphilic polyurethane nanoparticles	Adsorption of Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons from contaminated soil.	[107]
Polyamine-modified Cellulose nanoparticles	Target specific capture of gaseous VOCs	[10]

Table 4. Remediation potential of polymer -based nano-materials.

Advances in Nano-remediation

(Table 4) cont						
Polymer-based Nanomaterial	<b>Remediation Capacity</b>	References				
PAMAM dendrimer nanoparticles	Enhanced ultra-filtration of heavy metal ion of Cu(II) from wastewater.	[108]				
Polymer nano-composites	Removal of metal ions like Cr <sup>6+,</sup> Cd <sup>2+</sup> , Zn <sup>2+</sup> , Pb <sup>2+</sup> , Cu <sup>2</sup> and adsorption of dyes.	[109 - 112]				

# NANO-REMEDIATION OF TEXTILE DYES: CURRENT DEVELOPMENTS

The simultaneous demand for clothing along with the growing population has imposed a huge pressure on the textile industries to produce more and more. This has led to the extensive application of textile dyes which in turn creates a huge demand for large-scale dye production. These dyes are mostly disposed of without treatment into the water bodies creating a serious threat to the environment. It is a well-known fact that, textile industry is a water extensive industry and the dyes used in the textile fibres do not get completely utilised and therefore most of the dyes are washed away during the washing process. This calls for efficient onsite treatment of textile effluents to remove dyes before their discharge into the environment. Many techniques have been explored for the treatment of textile wastewater such as membrane filtration, chemical treatments, etc. These methods however require a very high operation and maintenance cost leading to their failure at large scale. In this context, nano-materials are giving promising results both in terms of efficacy and cost-effectiveness. The unique properties of nanoparticles such as high aspect ratio, ordered structure, high surface area, thermal and electrical conductivity, high mechanical strength, and ultralightweight feature have led to the superiority of nano-remediation above the conventional physicochemical or biological treatment methods.

#### Agents and their Efficiency

Researchers have been actively exploring numerous nano-sized particles for their efficacy in the removal of a varying range of dyes. Some of these studies are enlisted in Table 5 below.

S.No.	Nanoparticles	Name of Dye	Removal Efficacy	Mechanism	References
1	Carbon Nanotube (CNT)	Reactive Blue 4	309.2 mg/g	Adsorption	[113]
2	Carbon Nanotube (CNT)	Direct Blue 53	116.4 mg/g	Adsorption	[114]
3	Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanoprticles	Procion red	30.5 mg/g	Adsorption	[115]

Table 5. Efficacy of fabricated nanoparticles and associated mechanisms.

**18** Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications (Table 5) cont.....

Sharma et al.

S.No.	Nanoparticles	Name of Dye	Removal Efficacy	Mechanism	References
4	Silver nanoparticles	Methylene blue	95%	Photocatalytic degradation	[116]
5	γ-FeOOH nanoparticles	Reactive orange 29	36.30%	Adsorption	[117]
6	TiO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles	Malachite Green	65%	Photocatalytic degradation	[118]
7	Zinc oxide nanoparticles	Methyl orange dye	84%	Sonophotocatalytic degradation	[119]
8	Carbon Nanotube (CNT)	Methylene Blue	6.96 mg/g	Adsorption	[120]
9	MgO nanoparticles	Acid Red 73	100%	Photocatalytic degradation	[121]
10	Carbon Nanotube (CNT)	Blue 116	34.4 mg/g	Adsorption	[122]
11	Carbon Nanotube (CNT)	Yellow 81	35.1 mg/g	Adsorption	[122]
12	Graphene and Carbon nanotubes	Basic Red 46	60 mg/L	Adsorption	[123]
13	Amorphous iron nanoparticles	Congo Red	1735 mg g-1	Adsorption	[124]
14	Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes (MWCNT)	Alizarin yellow R	884.80 mg/g	Adsorption	[125]
15	Titanium dioxide nanoparticles	Malachite Green	0.6 g/L	Photocatalyticdegradation	[126]
16	Chitosan-Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanoparticle	Evans Blue	99%	Adsorption	[127]
17	Activated Carbon with loaded Ca-Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanoparticles	Methylene Blue	138 mg/g	Adsorption	[128]
18	Polyethyleneimine functionalized magnetic carbon nanotubes	Alizarin Red S	196.08 mg/g	Adsorption	[129]
19	Silver nanoparticles	tartrazine	28%	Reduction	
		carmoisine	45%	Reduction	[130]
		brilliant blue FCF	38%	Reduction	
20	Zero-valent iron nanoparticles	reactive black 5	99.60%	Reduction	[131]
21	Magnetic cobalt oxide nanoparticles	Malachite Green	238.10 mg/g	Adsoption	[132]
22	Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes (MWCNT)	Ismate Violet 2R	76.92 mg/g	Adsorption	[133]

Advances in Nano-remediation

Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications 19

Tuble 5) C	oni				1
S.No.	Nanoparticles	Name of Dye	Removal Efficacy	Mechanism	References
23	Graphene oxide nanoparticle	Methylene blue	276.5 mg/g	Adsorption	[134]
		Methyl orange	423.15 mg/g	Adsorption	
24	Silver nano-composites	Organic dye	93.23%	Photocatalytic degradation	[135]
25	Cu-Ni Bimetallic Nanoparticles	Methylene Blue	0.086 mM	Reduction	[136]
26	CuO nanoparticle	Methylene Blue	100%	Reduction	[137]
27	CeO <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles	rose bengal dye	96%	Photocatalytic degradation	[138]
28	Magnetic Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanoparticles	Acid Green 25	0.5 mg/mL	adsorption & oxidative degradation	[139]
29	Graphene Oxide/Titanium Dioxide Nanoparticles	FD&C Red 40	55.23 mg/l	Adsorption	[140]
30	Zero-Valent Copper Nanoparticles	Mixture of Rhodamine B, Bromocresol Green, Methyl Orange, and Eriochrome Black T	90%	Adsorption	[141]

#### Mechanism

The core of nanotechnology application is based on downsizing the particles into nano-scale in order to enhance the surface-to-volume ratio which in turn improves magnetic, catalytic, optical electrical as well as chemical properties. The particle size is the major attribute for functionality of the nanoparticles [142]. Even in case of remediation, studies have shown that reduction of particle size to nano-scale influences characteristics like surface free energy, latent symmetry, stress and many other physiochemical parameters [143]. In this context, metal nanoparticles show unique absorptive capabilities due to their physic-chemical properties attributed by their edge surface size and highly dense corners. The small particle size leads to an increment in the number of surfaces which in turn causes interface atoms to create stress or strain. This leads to thermodynamic changes, which ultimately influence the structural attribute of nanoparticles. The diverse group of metallic oxide nanoparticles have also been popularly explored for nanoremediation purposes because of their highly tuneable surfaces that ultimately lead to higher absorption capacity. Apart from this, metal oxide nanoparticles are cost-effective as well as more efficient than core metallic nanoparticles in the adsorption of [151] pollutants. Many studies have reported the use of iron-oxide

nanomaterials for the absorption of dyes from aqueous phase [144 - 149]. Thus, metal and metal oxide nanoparticles act as effective nanosorbents for the removal of dyes. Similarly, carbon-based nanomaterials also are known to have adsorption sites on their surfaces. Major interactions of dyes with carbon-based nanomaterials are reported to be electrostatic interactions [150], van derwall forces [151],  $\pi$  - $\pi$  staking interactions [152], hydrophobic interactions, *etc.* 

Reduction has also been explored as a mechanism in the nano-remediation processes. Magnetic nanoparticles are preferred due to their low cost, magnetic susceptibility, low toxicity, high coerciveness and low curie temperature [153 - 155]. In this context, many methods for the fabrication of magnetic nano particles have been explored such as water in oil micro emulsion, co-precipitation, hydrothermal methods, *etc* [143]. It is worth noting that, different methods produce particles with different size distribution, shapes, dispersibility, and magnetic properties [24, 156]. These nanoparticles act as reducing agents to reduce recalcitrant dye structures. Gold nanoparticles are also effective reducing agents. However, the catalytic activity of gold nanoparticles depends largely on the charge, shape, particle size and distribution [157]. Many researchers have shown enhancement in the absorptive capacity of gold nanoparticles by using adjuvant like activated carbon as it acts as a redox mediator [158].

Furthermore, photo catalysis has also been explored to accelerate dye degradation on exposure to light. Metallic oxide nanoparticles such as zinc oxide and titanium oxide nanoparticles show such activities in the presence of light above its band gap which leads to the production of electron-hole pairs. They further react with an aqueous solution of dye to produce oxidising and reducing radicals such as superoxides. These radicals in turn accelerate some secondary reactions which ultimately disrupt the dyes. As these semiconductors are nano-structured, they have a high surface area which leads to high photocatalytic activity [159]. Many researchers have reported the photocatalytic activity of carbon nanotubes as a potential new edge technology for the degradation of recalcitrant azo dyes [160, 161]. Apart from this, zinc oxide and iron oxide nanoparticles have also been reported to be an effective photodegradation agent for textile dyes [162 - 166]. Other than this, copper-based nanoparticles have also been reported to drive thermodynamically favourable electron transfer reactions in the presence of light. Copper sulfide nanocomposites and zero-valent copper nanoparticles are effective photodegradation agents for a range of azo dyes [167 - 169]. Similarly, cadmium sulphide nanoparticle also acts as a photocatalytic agent for the treatment of dyerich industrial wastewater when subjected to light above its bandgap [170 - 172].

# SCALE UP OF NANOREMEDIATION: CHALLENGES & FUTURE PROSPECTS

Despite numerous advantages of the nanoremediation process, there have been ample gaps from synthesis to application of nanoparticles for environmental clean-up at a larger scale [35]. The chapter consolidates various gaps in the scale-up process and categorizes it into three major challenges.

#### Lack of Synchronisation between Stability, Activity and Selectivity

Most of the studies that have reported a higher absorptive or catalytic potential of nanoparticles have also simultaneously reported stability and selectivity issues. This is in line with the fact that, highly reactive nanoparticles have extremely vulnerable surface configuration and microstructure. This makes the nanoparticles become unstable when applied in harsh environmental conditions. The stability is thus an instrumental driver for the feasibility of nanoparticles for their use in practical situations [173, 174]. Many studies have thereby focused on integrating rational designs for optimization of nanoparticle synthesis in order to obtain extremely stabilised nanoparticles with a synchronisation between stability, activity and selectivity [149, 175 - 177]. This also calls for further integration of chemical engineering efforts in order to bring stringent control.

#### **Toxicity of Nanoparticles**

The toxicity of nanoparticles remains another challenge in the scaling up of nanoremediation technique. Nano-materials are often reported to interact with biological surfaces through bio interfaces leading to the production of various byproducts which are more toxic in nature. The fate of nanoparticles in the environment is often described through various interactions such as dissolution, sulphidation, biosorption, sedimentation/deposition, and persistence [178 - 181]. Most of these interactions pose an inherent threat to nature by causing passive toxicity due to aggregation and agglomeration caused by electrostatic and steric stabilization [182]. For instance, sulfidation increases the toxicity of nanoparticles against microorganisms [176]. Similarly, biosorption also leads to the adsorption of nanoparticles and their aggregation on soil colloid which ultimately leads to its entry into plants [183]. Furthermore, absorption, sedimentation and aggregation of nanoparticles in the environment. This, therefore, calls for toxicity checks before using nanoparticles/composites for large-scale nano-remediation purposes.

#### Lack of Optimised Scale up Efforts

Despite lab scale remediation studies, very fewer efforts have been made to scale

up nano-remediation to field scale. Optimisation remains the priority in scale-up. Most of the studies depict the optimisation of process parameters only in the aqueous medium and not field samples. However, variation in effluent samples due to varying sub-surface conditions like pH, temperature or the hydrogeology of the water body highly impacts the remediation conditions. Also, the variation in the chemical constituents in the effluent due to the inclusion of other chemicals like fixatives and mordants also influence the efficiency of the nano-remediation agent in real field conditions. Thus, there is an urgent requirement to scale up lab proven nano-remediation agents to pilot scale applications for field scale success.

#### CONCLUSION

Nano-remediation is an emerging technology used for the removal of recalcitrant chemicals from the environment. Many nano-remediation agents have been fabricated using physical, chemical and green pathways to remediate numerous pollutants like heavy metals, dyes, hydrocarbons, and gaseous pollutants. These agents could be broadly bifurcated into polymer-based nanomaterials, inorganic nanomaterials and carbon-based nanomaterials. Remediation of dyes using these agents has been widely explored. Many azo and non-azo dyes have been successfully removed from aqueous medium using a varying range of nanoparticles and nano-composites. Moreover, engineered nanoparticles with surface modifications, size, shape and nano-structure variations have been explored for enhancing the dye removal efficacy of the nanoparticles. Adsorption, and reduction are the dominant mechanisms behind photocatalysis. nanoremediation which have been optimised for improving remedial abilities further.

At the same time, there are a number of issues prevailing with large-scale usage of nanomaterials for environmental remediation. It is quite evident from the literature that nano-remediation is scarcely tested at the field scale. This thereby points towards the utility of the method for its application in contaminated sites. Pilot scale studies are required. In-depth knowledge of the physicochemical properties of the nanomaterials such as size, shape, charge, bulk composition, surface chemistry and toxicological studies of the nanoparticles is also essential to understand and use nano-remediation as a scalable method for clean-up of environmental pollution of textile dyes in effluents.

#### **CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION**

Not applicable.

Advances in Nano-remediation

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The author declares no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none.

#### REFERENCES

 Vaseashta A, Vaclavikova M, Vaseashta S, Gallios G, Roy P, Pummakarnchana O. Nanostructures in environmental pollution detection, monitoring, and remediation. Sci Technol Adv Mater 2007; 8(1-2): 47-59.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stam.2006.11.003]

- [2] Khan FI, Ghoshal AK. Removal of volatile organic compounds from polluted air. J Loss Prev Process Ind 2000; 13(6): 527-45. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0950-4230(00)00007-3]
- [3] Tratnyek PG, Johnson RL. Nanotechnologies for environmental cleanup. Nano Today 2006; 1(2): 44-8.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1748-0132(06)70048-2]

- [4] Guerra FD, Smith GD Jr, Alexis F, Whitehead DC. A survey of VOC emissions from rendering plants. Aerosol Air Qual Res 2017; 17(1): 209-17.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2016.09.0391]
- [5] Del Prado-Audelo ML, García Kerdan I, Escutia-Guadarrama L, Reyna-González JM, Magaña JJ, Leyva-Gómez G. Nanoremediation: Nanomaterials and nanotechnologies for environmental cleanup. Front Environ Sci 2021; 9: 793765. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2021.793765]
- [6] Ojea-Jiménez I, López X, Arbiol J, Puntes V. Citrate-coated gold nanoparticles as smart scavengers for mercury(II) removal from polluted waters. ACS Nano 2012; 6(3): 2253-60. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nn204313a] [PMID: 22332645]
- [7] Kamat PV, Meisel D. Nanoscience opportunities in environmental remediation. C R Chim 2003; 6(8-10): 999-1007.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.crci.2003.06.005]
- [8] Roberto S-CC, Hermes P-H, Patricio T-GA, Gul A-AK, Fabián F-L. Current perspectives of soil nanoremediation. Nanomaterials for Soil Remediation 2021; pp. 521-50.
- [9] Ganie AS, Bano S, Khan N, et al. Nanoremediation technologies for sustainable remediation of contaminated environments: Recent advances and challenges. Chemosphere 2021; 275: 130065. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.130065] [PMID: 33652279]
- [10] Guerra FD, Campbell ML, Attia MF, Whitehead DC, Alexis F. Capture of aldehyde vocs using a series of amine-functionalized cellulose nanocrystals. ChemistrySelect 2018; 3(20): 5495-501. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/slct.201703149]
- [11] Tsai CH, Chang WC, Saikia D, Wu CE, Kao HM. Functionalization of cubic mesoporous silica SBA-16 with carboxylic acid *via* one-pot synthesis route for effective removal of cationic dyes. J Hazard Mater 2016; 309: 236-48. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2015.08.051] [PMID: 26906434]
- [12] Kaur K, Kumar V. Saruchi Nanocomposites materials as environmental cleaning. Environmental Remediation Through Carbon Based Nano Composites 2020; pp. 135-55.
- [13] Pal DB, Giri DD. Remediation of industrial organic waste pollutants. Sustainable Environmental
Clean-up 2021; pp. 295-314.

- [14] Zhang T, Lowry GV, Capiro NL, et al. In situ remediation of subsurface contamination: opportunities and challenges for nanotechnology and advanced materials. Environ Sci Nano 2019; 6(5): 1283-302. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C9EN00143C]
- [15] Neethu S, Midhun SJ, Radhakrishnan EK, Jyothis M. Microbially synthesized nanomaterials for remediation of contaminated soil and water environment. Microbe Mediated Remediation of Environmental Contaminants 2021; pp. 157-76. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-821199-1.00015-8]
- [16] Ren X, Chen C, Nagatsu M, Wang X. Carbon nanotubes as adsorbents in environmental pollution management: A review. Chem Eng J 2011; 170(2-3): 395-410. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2010.08.045]
- Theron J, Walker JA, Cloete TE. Nanotechnology and water treatment: applications and emerging opportunities. Crit Rev Microbiol 2008; 34(1): 43-69.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10408410701710442] [PMID: 18259980]
- [18] Di Paola A, García-López E, Marcì G, Palmisano L. A survey of photocatalytic materials for environmental remediation. J Hazard Mater 2012; 211-212: 3-29. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.11.050] [PMID: 22169148]
- Kharisov BI, Dias HVR, Kharissova OV. Nanotechnology-based remediation of petroleum impurities from water. J Petrol Sci Eng 2014; 122: 705-18.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2014.09.013]
- [20] Lithoxoos GP, Labropoulos A, Peristeras LD, Kanellopoulos N, Samios J, Economou IG. Adsorption of N2, CH4, CO and CO2 gases in single walled carbon nanotubes: A combined experimental and Monte Carlo molecular simulation study. J Supercrit Fluids 2010; 55(2): 510-23. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.supflu.2010.09.017]
- [21] Khin MM, Nair AS, Babu VJ, Murugan R, Ramakrishna S. A review on nanomaterials for environmental remediation. Energy & amp. Environ Sci 2012; 5(8): 8075.
- [22] Guerra F, Attia M, Whitehead D, Alexis F. Nanotechnology for environmental remediation: Materials and applications. Molecules 2018; 23(7): 1760. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/molecules23071760] [PMID: 30021974]
- [23] Zhang Y, Tang ZR, Fu X, Xu YJ. TiO<sub>2</sub>-graphene nanocomposites for gas-phase photocatalytic degradation of volatile aromatic pollutant: is TiO<sub>2</sub>-graphene truly different from other TiO<sub>2</sub>-carbon composite materials? ACS Nano 2010; 4(12): 7303-14. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nn1024219] [PMID: 21117654]
- [24] Liu X, Pan L, Lv T, Lu T, Zhu G, Sun Z, et al. Microwave-assisted synthesis of zno-graphene composite for photocatalytic reduction of cr(vi). Catalysis Science & amp. Technology 2011; 1(7): 1189.
- [25] Chowdhury S, Balasubramanian R. Recent advances in the use of graphene-family nanoadsorbents for removal of toxic pollutants from wastewater. Adv Colloid Interface Sci 2014; 204: 35-56. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2013.12.005] [PMID: 24412086]
- [26] Yang M-Q, Zhang N, Xu Y-J. Synthesis of fullerene–, carbon nanotube–, and graphene–tio<sub>2</sub> nanocomposite photocatalysts for selective oxidation: A comparative study. ACS Applied Materials. Interfaces 2013; 5(3): 1156-64. [PMID: 23324025]
- [27] Bao Q, Zhang D, Qi P. Synthesis and characterization of silver nanoparticle and graphene oxide nanosheet composites as a bactericidal agent for water disinfection. J Colloid Interface Sci 2011; 360(2): 463-70. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2011.05.009] [PMID: 21628064]
- [28] Seredych M, Bandosz TJ. Removal of ammonia by graphite oxide via its intercalation and reactive

adsorption. Carbon 2007; 45(10): 2130-2. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2007.06.007]

- [29] Song B, Zhang C, Zeng G, Gong J, Chang Y, Jiang Y. Antibacterial properties and mechanism of graphene oxide-silver nanocomposites as bactericidal agents for water disinfection. Arch Biochem Biophys 2016; 604: 167-76. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.abb.2016.04.018] [PMID: 27170600]
- [30] Gao P, Ng K, Sun DD. Sulfonated graphene oxide–ZnO–Ag photocatalyst for fast photodegradation and disinfection under visible light. J Hazard Mater 2013; 262: 826-35. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2013.09.055] [PMID: 24140534]
- [31] Deng CH, Gong JL, Zhang P, Zeng GM, Song B, Liu HY. Preparation of melamine sponge decorated with silver nanoparticles-modified graphene for water disinfection. J Colloid Interface Sci 2017; 488: 26-38.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2016.10.078] [PMID: 27821337]
- [32] Li Y, Zhang P, Du Q, et al. Adsorption of fluoride from aqueous solution by graphene. J Colloid Interface Sci 2011; 363(1): 348-54. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2011.07.032] [PMID: 21821258]
- [33] Lu C, Chiu H. Adsorption of zinc(II) from water with purified carbon nanotubes. Chem Eng Sci 2006; 61(4): 1138-45. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2005.08.007]
- [34] Li YH, Wang S, Cao A, *et al.* Adsorption of fluoride from water by amorphous alumina supported on carbon nanotubes. Chem Phys Lett 2001; 350(5-6): 412-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2614(01)01351-3]
- [35] Sharma B, Kumari N, Mathur S, Sharma V. A systematic review on iron-based nanoparticle-mediated clean-up of textile dyes: challenges and prospects of scale-up technologies. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 2022; 29(1): 312-31. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-16846-3] [PMID: 34665422]
- [36] Willis AL, Turro NJ, O'Brien S. Spectroscopic characterization of the surface of iron oxide nanocrystals. Chem Mater 2005; 17(24): 5970-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cm051370v]
- [37] Cushing BL, Kolesnichenko VL, O'Connor CJ. Recent advances in the liquid-phase syntheses of inorganic nanoparticles. Chem Rev 2004; 104(9): 3893-946. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr030027b] [PMID: 15352782]
- [38] Chou KS, Lu YC, Lee HH. Effect of alkaline ion on the mechanism and kinetics of chemical reduction of silver. Mater Chem Phys 2005; 94(2-3): 429-33. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2005.05.029]
- [39] Bosetti M, Massè A, Tobin E, Cannas M. Silver coated materials for external fixation devices: *in vitro* biocompatibility and genotoxicity. Biomaterials 2002; 23(3): 887-92.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0142-9612(01)00198-3] [PMID: 11771707]
- Pal S, Tak YK, Song JM. Does the antibacterial activity of silver nanoparticles depend on the shape of the nanoparticle? A study of the Gram-negative bacterium Escherichia coli. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007; 73(6): 1712-20.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02218-06] [PMID: 17261510]
- [41] Adesina AA. Industrial exploitation of photocatalysis: progress, perspectives and prospects. Catal Surv Asia 2004; 8(4): 265-73.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10563-004-9117-0]
- [42] Li Q, Mahendra S, Lyon DY, *et al.* Antimicrobial nanomaterials for water disinfection and microbial control: Potential applications and implications. Water Res 2008; 42(18): 4591-602. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2008.08.015] [PMID: 18804836]

- [43] Ding S, Zhao L, Qi Y, Lv Q. Lv Q-qian. Preparation and characterization of lecithin-nano ni/fe for effective removal of PCB77. J Nanomater 2014; 2014: 1-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/678489]
- [44] Su C, Puls RW, Krug TA, et al. A two and half-year-performance evaluation of a field test on treatment of source zone tetrachloroethene and its chlorinated daughter products using emulsified zero valent iron nanoparticles. Water Res 2012; 46(16): 5071-84. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.06.051] [PMID: 22868086]
- [45] Mackenzie K, Bleyl S, Kopinke FD, Doose H, Bruns J. Carbo-Iron as improvement of the nanoiron technology: From laboratory design to the field test. Sci Total Environ 2016; 563-564: 641-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.07.107] [PMID: 26299641]
- [46] Hara SO, Krug T, Quinn J, Clausen C, Geiger C. Field and laboratory evaluation of the treatment of DNAPL source zones using emulsified zero-valent iron. Rem J 2006; 16(2): 35-56. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/rem.20080]
- [47] Elliott DW, Zhang W. Field assessment of nanoscale bimetallic particles for groundwater treatment. Environ Sci Technol 2001; 35(24): 4922-6.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es0108584] [PMID: 11775172]
- [48] Quinn J, Geiger C, Clausen C, et al. Field demonstration of DNAPL dehalogenation using emulsified zero-valent iron. Environ Sci Technol 2005; 39(5): 1309-18. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es0490018] [PMID: 15787371]
- [49] Bennett P, He F, Zhao D, Aiken B, Feldman L. *In situ* testing of metallic iron nanoparticle mobility and reactivity in a shallow granular aquifer. J Contam Hydrol 2010; 116(1-4): 35-46. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jconhyd.2010.05.006] [PMID: 20542350]
- [50] Wei YT, Wu SC, Chou CM, Che CH, Tsai SM, Lien HL. Influence of nanoscale zero-valent iron on geochemical properties of groundwater and vinyl chloride degradation: A field case study. Water Res 2010; 44(1): 131-40.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2009.09.012] [PMID: 19800096]
- [51] Kocur CMD, Lomheim L, Molenda O, *et al.* Long-term field study of microbial community and Dechlorinating activity following carboxymethyl cellulose-stabilized nanoscale zero-valent iron injection. Environmental Science & amp. Environ Sci Technol 2016; 50(14): 7658-70. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b01745] [PMID: 27305345]
- [52] Chowdhury AIA, Krol MM, Kocur CM, et al. nZVI injection into variably saturated soils: Field and modeling study. J Contam Hydrol 2015; 183: 16-28. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jconhyd.2015.10.003] [PMID: 26496622]
- [53] Fagerlund F, Illangasekare TH, Phenrat T, Kim HJ, Lowry GV. PCE dissolution and simultaneous dechlorination by nanoscale zero-valent iron particles in a DNAPL source zone. J Contam Hydrol 2012; 131(1-4): 9-28. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jconhyd.2011.08.011] [PMID: 22326687]
- [54] Jiang D, Zeng G, Huang D, et al. Remediation of contaminated soils by enhanced nanoscale zero valent iron. Environ Res 2018; 163: 217-27.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2018.01.030] [PMID: 29459304]
- [55] Rengaraj S, Li XZ. Enhanced photocatalytic activity of TiO<sub>2</sub> by doping with Ag for degradation of 2,4,6-trichlorophenol in aqueous suspension. J Mol Catal Chem 2006; 243(1): 60-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molcata.2005.08.010]
- [56] Sá J, Agüera CA, Gross S, Anderson JA. Photocatalytic nitrate reduction over metal modified TiO<sub>2</sub>. Appl Catal B 2009; 85(3-4): 192-200. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2008.07.014]
- [57] Chen X, Cen C, Tang Z, *et al.* The key role of ph value in the synthesis of titanate nanotubes-loaded manganese oxides as a superior catalyst for the selective catalytic reduction of no with NH3. J

Nanomater 2013; 2013: 1-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/871528]

- [58] Hooshyar Z, Rezanejade Bardajee G, Ghayeb Y. RezanejadeBardajee G, Ghayeb Y. Sonication enhanced removal of nickel and cobalt ions from polluted water using an iron based sorbent. J Chem 2013; 2013: 1-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/786954]
- [59] Ebrahim SE, Sulaymon AH, Saad Alhares H. Competitive removal of Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Cd<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>, and Ni<sup>2+</sup> ions onto iron oxide nanoparticles from wastewater. Desalination Water Treat 2015; 1-15. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/19443994.2015.1112310]
- [60] Poguberović SS, Krčmar DM, Maletić SP, et al. Removal of As(III) and Cr(VI) from aqueous solutions using "green" zero-valent iron nanoparticles produced by oak, mulberry and cherry leaf extracts. Ecol Eng 2016; 90: 42-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2016.01.083]
- [61] Guo M, Weng X, Wang T, Chen Z. Biosynthesized iron-based nanoparticles used as a heterogeneous catalyst for the removal of 2,4-dichlorophenol. Separ Purif Tech 2017; 175: 222-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2016.11.042]
- [62] Han Y, Yan W. Reductive dechlorination of trichloroethene by zero-valent iron nanoparticles: Reactivity enhancement through sulfidation treatment. Environmental Science & amp. Environ Sci Technol 2016; 50(23): 12992-3001. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b03997] [PMID: 27934264]
- [63] Li X, Zhang W. Iron nanoparticles: the core-shell structure and unique properties for Ni(II) sequestration. Langmuir 2006; 22(10): 4638-42. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/la060057k] [PMID: 16649775]
- [64] Mystrioti C, Xanthopoulou TD, Tsakiridis P, Papassiopi N, Xenidis A. Comparative evaluation of five plant extracts and juices for nanoiron synthesis and application for hexavalent chromium reduction. Sci Total Environ 2016; 539: 105-13. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.08.091] [PMID: 26356183]
- [65] Srisitthiratkul C, Pongsorrarith V, Intasanta N. The potential use of nanosilver-decorated titanium dioxide nanofibers for toxin decomposition with antimicrobial and self-cleaning properties. Appl Surf Sci 2011; 257(21): 8850-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2011.04.083]
- [66] Cho M, Chung H, Choi W, Yoon J. Different inactivation behaviors of MS-2 phage and Escherichia coli in TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalytic disinfection. Appl Environ Microbiol 2005; 71(1): 270-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.1.270-275.2005] [PMID: 15640197]
- [67] Alizadeh Fard M, Aminzadeh B, Vahidi H. Degradation of petroleum aromatic hydrocarbons using TiO<sub>2</sub> nanopowder film. Environ Technol 2013; 34(9): 1183-90. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09593330.2012.743592] [PMID: 24191451]
- [68] Bessa da Silva M, Abrantes N, Nogueira V, Gonçalves F, Pereira R. TiO 2 nanoparticles for the remediation of eutrophic shallow freshwater systems: Efficiency and impacts on aquatic biota under a microcosm experiment. Aquat Toxicol 2016; 178: 58-71. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2016.07.004] [PMID: 27471045]
- [69] Gu J, Dong D, Kong L, Zheng Y, Li X. Photocatalytic degradation of phenanthrene on soil surfaces in the presence of nanometer anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> under UV-light. J Environ Sci (China) 2012; 24(12): 2122-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(11)61063-2] [PMID: 23534208]
- [70] Galdames A, Ruiz-Rubio L, Orueta M, Sánchez-Arzalluz M, Vilas-Vilela JL. Zero-Valent Iron Nanoparticles for Soil and Groundwater Remediation. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020; 17(16): 5817.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17165817] [PMID: 32796749]

- [71] Lien HL, Zhang W. Zhang W-xian. Hydrodechlorination of chlorinated ethanes by nanoscale Pd/Fe bimetallic particles. J Environ Eng 2005; 131(1): 4-10.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9372(2005)131:1(4)]
- [72] Chen C, Wang X, Chang Y, Liu H. Dechlorination of disinfection by-product monochloroacetic acid in drinking water by nanoscale palladized iron bimetallic particle. J Environ Sci (China) 2008; 20(8): 945-51.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(08)62191-9] [PMID: 18817073]

[73] Nagpal V, Bokare AD, Chikate RC, Rode CV, Paknikar KM. Reductive dechlorination of γhexachlorocyclohexane using Fe–Pd bimetallic nanoparticles. J Hazard Mater 2010; 175(1-3): 680-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.10.063] [PMID: 19944524]

- [74] Zhang Z, Shen Q, Cissoko N, Wo J, Xu X. Catalytic dechlorination of 2,4-dichlorophenol by Pd/Fe bimetallic nanoparticles in the presence of humic acid. J Hazard Mater 2010; 182(1-3): 252-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.06.022] [PMID: 20619538]
- [75] Wang X, Chen C, Chang Y, Liu H. Dechlorination of chlorinated methanes by Pd/Fe bimetallic nanoparticles. J Hazard Mater 2009; 161(2-3): 815-23.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.04.027] [PMID: 18513856]
- [76] Xie Y, Fang Z, Cheng W, Tsang PE, Zhao D. Remediation of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in soil using Ni/Fe bimetallic nanoparticles: Influencing factors, kinetics and mechanism. Sci Total Environ 2014; 485-486: 363-70.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.03.039] [PMID: 24742544]
- [77] Tee YH, Grulke E, Bhattacharyya D. Role of ni/fe nanoparticle composition on the degradation of trichloroethylene from water. Industrial & amp. Ind Eng Chem Res 2005; 44(18): 7062-70. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ie050086a]
- [78] Fang Z, Qiu X, Chen J, Qiu X. Debromination of polybrominated diphenyl ethers by Ni/Fe bimetallic nanoparticles: Influencing factors, kinetics, and mechanism. J Hazard Mater 2011; 185(2-3): 958-69. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.09.113] [PMID: 21035251]
- [79] Zhu N, Luan H, Yuan S, Chen J, Wu X, Wang L. Effective dechlorination of HCB by nanoscale Cu/Fe particles. J Hazard Mater 2010; 176(1-3): 1101-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.11.092] [PMID: 19969417]
- [80] Zheng Z, Yuan S, Liu Y, et al. Reductive dechlorination of hexachlorobenzene by Cu/Fe bimetal in the presence of nonionic surfactant. J Hazard Mater 2009; 170(2-3): 895-901. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.05.052] [PMID: 19545942]
- [81] Son WJ, Choi JS, Ahn WS. Adsorptive removal of carbon dioxide using polyethyleneimine-loaded mesoporous silica materials. Microporous Mesoporous Mater 2008; 113(1-3): 31-40. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.micromeso.2007.10.049]
- [82] Leal O, Bolívar C, Ovalles C, García JJ, Espidel Y. Reversible adsorption of carbon dioxide on amine surface-bonded silica gel. Inorg Chim Acta 1995; 240(1-2): 183-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0020-1693(95)04534-1]
- [83] Huang HY, Yang RT, Chinn D, Munson CL. Amine-Grafted MCM-48 and Silica Xerogel as Superior Sorbents for Acidic Gas Removal from Natural Gas. Ind Eng Chem Res 2003; 42(12): 2427-33. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ie020440u]
- [84] Xu X, Song C, Andrésen JM, Miller BG, Scaroni AW. Preparation and characterization of novel CO<sub>2</sub> "molecular basket" adsorbents based on polymer-modified mesoporous molecular sieve MCM-41. Microporous Mesoporous Mater 2003; 62(1-2): 29-45. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1387-1811(03)00388-3]
- [85] Chen Y, Wu J, Wang X, Liu M, Liu Y. Synthesis, Characterization and Application of Amine-Functionalized Hierarchically Micro-Mesoporous Silicon Composites for CO<sub>2</sub> Capture in Flue Gas. Molecules 2022; 27(11): 3429.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/molecules27113429] [PMID: 35684366]

[86] Satyapal S, Filburn T, Trela J, Strange J. Performance and properties of a solid amine sorbent for carbon dioxide removal in Space Life Support Applications. Energy & amp. Energy Fuels 2001; 15(2): 250-5.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ef0002391]

- [87] Mandal BP, Bandyopadhyay SS. Simultaneous absorption of carbon dioxide and hydrogen sulfide into aqueous blends of 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol and diethanolamine. Chem Eng Sci 2005; 60(22): 6438-51.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2005.02.044]
- [88] Franchi RS, Harlick PJE, Sayari A. Applications of pore-expanded mesoporous silica. 2. development of a high-capacity, water-tolerant adsorbent for CO<sub>2</sub>. Ind Eng Chem Res 2005; 44(21): 8007-13. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ie0504194]
- [89] Harlick PJE, Sayari A. Applications of pore-expanded mesoporous silicas. 3. Triamine silane grafting for enhanced CO2 adsorption. Industrial & amp. Ind Eng Chem Res 2006; 45(9): 3248-55. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ie051286p]
- [90] Kim S, Ida J, Guliants VV, Lin YS. Tailoring pore properties of MCM-48 silica for selective adsorption of CO2. J Phys Chem B 2005; 109(13): 6287-93. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp045634x] [PMID: 16851699]
- [91] Afkhami A, Saber-Tehrani M, Bagheri H. Simultaneous removal of heavy-metal ions in wastewater samples using nano-alumina modified with 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine. J Hazard Mater 2010; 181(1-3): 836-44.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.05.089] [PMID: 20542378]
- [92] Brigante M, Pecini E, Avena M. Magnetic mesoporous silica for water remediation: Synthesis, characterization and application as adsorbent of molecules and ions of environmental concern. Microporous Mesoporous Mater 2016; 230: 1-10. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.micromeso.2016.04.032]
- [93] Wang S, Wang K, Dai C, Shi H, Li J. Adsorption of Pb2+ on amino-functionalized core-shell magnetic mesoporous SBA-15 silica composite. Chem Eng J 2015; 262: 897-903. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2014.10.035]
- [94] Hernández-Morales V, Nava R, Acosta-Silva YJ, Macías-Sánchez SA, Pérez-Bueno JJ, Pawelec B. Adsorption of lead (II) on SBA-15 mesoporous molecular sieve functionalized with –NH2 groups. Microporous Mesoporous Mater 2012; 160: 133-42. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.micromeso.2012.05.004]
- [95] Da'na E, Sayari A. Adsorption of copper on amine-functionalized SBA-15 prepared by cocondensation: Equilibrium properties. Chem Eng J 2011; 166(1): 445-53. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2010.11.016]
- [96] Zhao Y, Gao Q, Tang T, Xu Y, Wu D. Effective NH2-grafting on mesoporous SBA-15 surface for adsorption of heavy metal ions. Mater Lett 2011; 65(6): 1045-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2010.12.047]
- [97] Nakanishi K, Tomita M, Kato K. Synthesis of amino-functionalized mesoporous silica sheets and their application for metal ion capture. Journal of Asian Ceramic Societies 2015; 3(1): 70-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jascer.2014.10.011]
- [98] Repo E, Warchoł JK, Bhatnagar A, Mudhoo A, Sillanpää M. Aminopolycarboxylic acid functionalized adsorbents for heavy metals removal from water. Water Res 2013; 47(14): 4812-32. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.06.020] [PMID: 23863393]
- [99] Bruzzoniti MC, Prelle A, Sarzanini C, Onida B, Fiorilli S, Garrone E. Retention of heavy metal ions on SBA-15 mesoporous silica functionalised with carboxylic groups. J Sep Sci 2007; 30(15): 2414-20. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jssc.200700182] [PMID: 17683045]

- [100] Yan Z, Tao S, Yin J, Li G. Mesoporous silicas functionalized with a high density of carboxylate groups as efficient absorbents for the removal of basic dyestuffs. J Mater Chem 2006; 16(24): 2347. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/b600611f]
- [101] Arencibia A, Aguado J, Arsuaga JM. Regeneration of thiol-functionalized mesostructured silica adsorbents of mercury. Appl Surf Sci 2010; 256(17): 5453-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2009.12.139]
- [102] Rostamian R, Najafi M, Rafati AA. Synthesis and characterization of thiol-functionalized silica nano hollow sphere as a novel adsorbent for removal of poisonous heavy metal ions from water: Kinetics, isotherms and error analysis. Chem Eng J 2011; 171(3): 1004-11. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2011.04.051]
- [103] Hakami O, Zhang Y, Banks CJ. Thiol-functionalised mesoporous silica-coated magnetite nanoparticles for high efficiency removal and recovery of Hg from water. Water Res 2012; 46(12): 3913-22. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.04.032] [PMID: 22608609]
- [104] Walcarius A, Delacôte C. Mercury(II) binding to thiol-functionalized mesoporous silicas: critical effect of pH and sorbent properties on capacity and selectivity. Anal Chim Acta 2005; 547(1): 3-13. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2004.11.047]
- [105] Züttel A, Sudan P, Mauron P, Kiyobayashi T, Emmenegger C, Schlapbach L. Hydrogen storage in carbon nanostructures. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2002; 27(2): 203-12. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0360-3199(01)00108-2]
- [106] Campbell ML, Guerra FD, Dhulekar J, Alexis F, Whitehead DC. Target-specific capture of environmentally relevant gaseous aldehydes and carboxylic acids with functional nanoparticles. Chemistry 2015; 21(42): 14834-42. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.201502021] [PMID: 26331393]
- [107] Tungittiplakorn W, Lion LW, Cohen C, Kim JY. Engineered polymeric nanoparticles for soil remediation. Environ Sci Technol 2004; 38(5): 1605-10. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es0348997] [PMID: 15046367]
- [108] Diallo MS, Christie S, Swaminathan P, Johnson JH Jr, Goddard WA III. Dendrimer enhanced ultrafiltration. 1. Recovery of Cu(II) from aqueous solutions using PAMAM dendrimers with ethylene diamine core and terminal NH2 groups. Environ Sci Technol 2005; 39(5): 1366-77. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es048961r] [PMID: 15787379]
- [109] Khare P, Yadav A, Ramkumar J, Verma N. Microchannel-embedded metal-carbon-polymer nanocomposite as a novel support for chitosan for efficient removal of hexavalent chromium from water under dynamic conditions. Chem Eng J 2016; 293: 44-54. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.02.049]
- [110] Mittal H, Maity A, Ray SS. Synthesis of co-polymer-grafted gum karaya and silica hybrid organic-inorganic hydrogel nanocomposite for the highly effective removal of methylene blue. Chem Eng J 2015; 279: 166-79. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2015.05.002]
- [111] Sun XF, Liu B, Jing Z, Wang H. Preparation and adsorption property of xylan/poly(acrylic acid) magnetic nanocomposite hydrogel adsorbent. Carbohydr Polym 2015; 118: 16-23. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2014.11.013] [PMID: 25542101]
- [112] Ge F, Li MM, Ye H, Zhao BX. Effective removal of heavy metal ions Cd2+, Zn2+, Pb2+, Cu2+ from aqueous solution by polymer-modified magnetic nanoparticles. J Hazard Mater 2012; 211-212: 366-72.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.12.013] [PMID: 22209322]
- [113] Machado FM, Fagan SB, da Silva IZ, de Andrade MJ. Carbon nanoadsorbents. Carbon Nanostructures 2015; pp. 11-32.

#### Advances in Nano-remediation

[114] Prola LDT, Machado FM, Bergmann CP, et al. Adsorption of Direct Blue 53 dye from aqueous solutions by multi-walled carbon nanotubes and activated carbon. J Environ Manage 2013; 130: 166-75 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2013.09.003] [PMID: 24076517]

- [115] Hariani PL, Faizal M, Ridwan R, Marsi M, Setiabudidaya D. Synthesis and properties of Fe3O4 nanoparticles by co-precipitation method to removal Procion Dye. Int J Environ Sci Dev 2013; 336-40. [http://dx.doi.org/10.7763/IJESD.2013.V4.366]
- [116] Vanaja M, Paulkumar K, Baburaja M, et al. Degradation of methylene blue using biologically synthesized silver nanoparticles. Bioinorg Chem Appl 2014; 2014: 1-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/742346] [PMID: 24772055]
- [117] Sheydaei M, Khataee A. Sonocatalytic decolorization of textile wastewater using synthesized  $\gamma$ -FeOOH nanoparticles. Ultrason Sonochem 2015; 27: 616-22. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2015.04.023] [PMID: 25934129]
- [118] Li D, Cui F, Zhao Z, et al. The impact of titanium dioxide nanoparticles on biological nitrogen removal from wastewater and bacterial community shifts in activated sludge. Biodegradation 2014; 25(2): 167-77. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10532-013-9648-z] [PMID: 23660752]
- [119] Hemapriyamvadha R, Sivasankar T. Sonophotocatalytic treatment of methyl orange dye and real textile effluent using synthesised nano zinc oxide. Color Technol 2015; 131(2): 110-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/cote.12139]
- [120] Robati D, Bagheriyan S, Rajabi M, Moradi O, Peyghan AA. Effect of electrostatic interaction on the methylene blue and methyl orange adsorption by the pristine and functionalized carbon nanotubes. Physica E 2016; 83: 1-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physe.2016.04.005]
- [121] Jorfi S, Barzegar G, Ahmadi M, et al. Enhanced coagulation-photocatalytic treatment of Acid red 73 dye and real textile wastewater using UVA/synthesized MgO nanoparticles. J Environ Manage 2016; 177: 111-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.04.005] [PMID: 27086271]

[122] Vuono D, Catizzone E, Aloise A, et al. Study of adsorption behavior of multi-walled carbon nanotubes

- towards dyes applied in textile applications. Adv Sci Lett 2017; 23(6): 5851-4. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1166/asl.2017.9048] [123] Elsagh A, Moradi O, Fakhri A, Najafi F, Alizadeh R, Haddadi V. Evaluation of the potential cationic
- dye removal using adsorption by graphene and carbon nanotubes as adsorbents surfaces. Arab J Chem 2017; 10: S2862-9 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2013.11.013]
- [124] Kim SH, Choi PP. Enhanced Congo red dye removal from aqueous solutions using iron nanoparticles: adsorption, kinetics, and equilibrium studies. Dalton Trans 2017; 46(44): 15470-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C7DT02076G] [PMID: 29090303]
- [125] Wu K, Yu J, Jiang X. Multi-walled carbon nanotubes modified by polyaniline for the removal of Alizarin Yellow R from aqueous solutions. Adsorption Science & amp. Technology (Singap) 2017; 36(1-2): 198-214.
- [126] Knöfel C, Descarpentries J, Benzaouia A, et al. Functionalised micro-/mesoporous silica for the adsorption of carbon dioxide. Microporous Mesoporous Mater 2007; 99(1-2): 79-85. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.micromeso.2006.09.018]
- [127] Lee SY, Shim HE, Yang JE, Choi YJ, Jeon J. Continuous flow removal of anionic dyes in water by chitosan-functionalized iron oxide nanoparticles incorporated in a dextran gel column. Nanomaterials (Basel) 2019; 9(8): 1164. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/nano9081164] [PMID: 31416210]

- [128] Joshi S, Garg VK, Kataria N, Kadirvelu K. Applications of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@AC nanoparticles for dye removal from simulated wastewater. Chemosphere 2019; 236: 124280. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.07.011] [PMID: 31336239]
- [129] Zhang Z, Chen H, Wu W, Pang W, Yan G. Efficient removal of Alizarin Red S from aqueous solution by polyethyleneimine functionalized magnetic carbon nanotubes. Bioresour Technol 2019; 293: 122100.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.122100] [PMID: 31518817]

- [130] David L, Moldovan B. Green synthesis of biogenic silver nanoparticles for efficient catalytic removal of harmful organic dyes. Nanomaterials (Basel) 2020; 10(2): 202. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/nano10020202] [PMID: 31991548]
- [131] Khashij M, Dalvand A, Mehralian M, Ebrahimi AA, Khosravi R. Removal of reactive black 5 dye using zero valent iron nanoparticles produced by a novel green synthesis method. Pigm Resin Technol 2020; 49(3): 215-21. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/PRT-10-2019-0092]
- [132] Bénard P, Chahine R. Carbon nanostructures for hydrogen storage. Solid-State Hydrogen Storage 2008; pp. 261-87.
- [133] Abualnaja KM, Alprol AE, Ashour M, Mansour AT. Influencing multi-walled carbon nanotubes for the removal of ISMATE Violet 2r dye from wastewater: Isotherm, kinetics, and thermodynamic studies. Appl Sci (Basel) 2021; 11(11): 4786. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/app11114786]
- [134] Ahlawat W, Dilbaghi N, Kumar S. Evaluation of graphene oxide and its composite as potential sorbent for removal of cationic and anionic dyes. Mater Today Proc 2021; 45: 5500-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.02.215]
- [135] Su F, Li P, Huang J, Gu M, Liu Z, Xu Y. Photocatalytic degradation of organic dye and tetracycline by ternary Ag<sub>2</sub>O/AgBr-CeO<sub>2</sub> photocatalyst under visible-light irradiation. Sci Rep 2021; 11(1): 85. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-76997-0] [PMID: 33420105]
- [136] Younas U, Gulzar A, Ali F, et al. Antioxidant and organic dye removal potential of Cu-ni bimetallic nanoparticles synthesized using Gazania rigens extract. Water 2021; 13(19): 2653. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/w13192653]
- [137] Benhadria N, Hachemaoui M, Zaoui F, et al. Catalytic reduction of methylene blue dye by copper oxide nanoparticles. J Cluster Sci 2022; 33(1): 249-60. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10876-020-01950-0]
- [138] Murugadoss G, Kumar DD, Kumar MR, Venkatesh N, Sakthivel P. Silver decorated CeO, nanoparticles for rapid photocatalytic degradation of textile rose bengal dye. Sci Rep 2021; 11(1): 1080. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-79993-6] [PMID: 33441777]
- [139] Sadegh F, Politakos N, Sanz O, Modarresi-Alam AR, Tomovska R. Towards enhanced catalytic activity of magnetic nanoparticles integrated into 3D reduced graphene oxide for heterogeneous Fenton organic dye degradation. 2021; 11: 18343.
- [140] Solano MA, Galan J, Vallejo W, Arana VA, Grande-Tovar CD. Chitosan beads incorporated with graphene oxide/titanium dioxide nanoparticles for removing an anionic dye. Appl Sci (Basel) 2021; 11(20): 9439. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/app11209439]
- [141] Alani OA, Ari HA, Offiong NAO, et al. Catalytic removal of selected textile dyes using zero-valent copper nanoparticles loaded on filter paper-chitosan-titanium oxide heterogeneous support. J Polym Environ 2021; 29(9): 2825-39. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10924-021-02062-0]
- [142] Wyckoff RWG. Crystal structures. 2nd ed., New York: Wiley 1964.

- [143] YogalakshmiKN, Das A, Rani G, Jaswal V, Randhawa JS. Nano-Bioremediation: A new age technology for the treatment of dyes in textile effluents. Bioremediation of Industrial Waste for Environmental Safety. 2019; 313–47.
- [144] Batool S, Akib S, Ahmad M, Balkhair KS, Ashraf MA. Study of modern nano enhanced techniques for removal of dyes and metals. J Nanomater 2014; 2014: 1-20. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/864914]
- [145] Trujillo-Reyes J, Peralta-Videa JR, Gardea-Torresdey JL. Supported and unsupported nanomaterials for water and soil remediation: Are they a useful solution for worldwide pollution? J Hazard Mater 2014; 280: 487-503. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2014.08.029] [PMID: 25203809]
- [146] Fu F, Dionysiou DD, Liu H. The use of zero-valent iron for groundwater remediation and wastewater treatment: A review. J Hazard Mater 2014; 267: 194-205. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2013.12.062] [PMID: 24457611]
- [147] Raychoudhury T, Scheytt T. Potential of zerovalent iron nanoparticles for remediation of environmental organic contaminants in water: a review. Water Sci Technol 2013; 68(7): 1425-39. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2166/wst.2013.358] [PMID: 24135090]
- [148] Tan KB, Vakili M, Horri BA, Poh PE, Abdullah AZ, Salamatinia B. Adsorption of dyes by nanomaterials: Recent developments and adsorption mechanisms. Separ Purif Tech 2015; 150: 229-42. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2015.07.009]
- [149] Sharma B, Menon S, Mathur S, Kumari N, Sharma V. Decolorization of malachite green dye from aqueous solution using biosurfactant-stabilized iron oxide nanoparticles: process optimization and reaction kinetics. Int J Environ Sci Technol 2021; 18(7): 1739-52. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13762-020-02901-y]
- [150] Salam MA, Kosa SA, Al-Beladi AA. Application of nanoclay for the adsorptive removal of Orange G dye from aqueous solution. J Mol Liq 2017; 241: 469-77. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2017.06.055]
- [151] Yang K, Wu W, Jing Q, Zhu L. Aqueous adsorption of aniline, phenol, and their substitutes by multiwalled carbon nanotubes. Environ Sci Technol 2008; 42(21): 7931-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es801463v] [PMID: 19031883]
- [152] Ai L, Zhang C, Liao F, *et al.* Removal of methylene blue from aqueous solution with magnetite loaded multi-wall carbon nanotube: Kinetic, isotherm and mechanism analysis. J Hazard Mater 2011; 198: 282-90.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.10.041] [PMID: 22040800]
- [153] Nam S. Reduction of azo dyes with zero-valent iron. Water Res 2000; 34(6): 1837-45. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(99)00331-0]
- [154] Bigg T, Judd SJ. Reductive degradation of azo dyes in aqueous solution by zero-valent iron. IAHS-AISH publication, 383-390.
- [155] Schneider-Futschik EK, Reyes-Ortega F. Advantages and disadvantages of using magnetic nanoparticles for the treatment of complicated ocular disorders. Pharmaceutics 2021; 13(8): 1157. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics13081157] [PMID: 34452117]
- [156] Cheval N, Gindy N, Flowkes C, Fahmi A. Polyamide 66 microspheres metallised with *in situ* synthesised gold nanoparticles for a catalytic application. Nanoscale Res Lett 2012; 7(1): 182. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1556-276X-7-182] [PMID: 22401661]
- [157] Brust M, Gordillo GJ. Electrocatalytic hydrogen redox chemistry on gold nanoparticles. J Am Chem Soc 2012; 134(7): 3318-21.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja2096514] [PMID: 22295974]
- [158] Pereira L, Pereira R, Pereira MFR, van der Zee FP, Cervantes FJ, Alves MM. Thermal modification of

activated carbon surface chemistry improves its capacity as redox mediator for azo dye reduction. J Hazard Mater 2010; 183(1-3): 931-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.08.005] [PMID: 20800966]

- [159] Kumar PS, Narayan AS, Dutta A. Nanochemicals and effluent treatment in Textile Industries. Textile Science and Clothing Technology 2016; pp. 57-96.
- [160] Phin HY, Ong YT, Sin JC. Effect of carbon nanotubes loading on the photocatalytic activity of zinc oxide/carbon nanotubes photocatalyst synthesized via a modified sol-gel method. J Environ Chem Eng 2020; 8(3): 103222. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2019.103222]
- [161] Kamil AM, Mohammed HT, Balakit AA, Hussein FH, Bahnemann DW, El-Hiti GA. Synthesis, characterization and photocatalytic activity of carbon nanotube/titanium dioxide nanocomposites. Arab J Sci Eng 2018; 43(1): 199-210. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13369-017-2861-z]
- [162] Dodoo-Arhin D, Asiedu T, Agyei-Tuffour B, Nyankson E, Obada D, Mwabora JM. Photocatalytic degradation of Rhodamine dyes using zinc oxide nanoparticles. Mater Today Proc 2021; 38: 809-15. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.04.597]
- [163] Chen X, Wu Z, Liu D, Gao Z. Preparation of zno photocatalyst for the efficient and rapid photocatalytic degradation of azo dyes. Nanoscale Res Lett 2017; 12(1): 143. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s11671-017-1904-4] [PMID: 28235375]
- [164] Lim H, Yusuf M, Song S, Park S, Park KH. Efficient photocatalytic degradation of dyes using photodeposited Ag nanoparticles on ZnO structures: simple morphological control of ZnO. RSC Advances 2021; 11(15): 8709-17.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/D0RA10945B] [PMID: 35423379]
- [165] Nathan VK, Ammini P, Vijayan J. Photocatalytic degradation of synthetic dyes using iron (III) oxide nanoparticles (Fe 2 O 3 -Nps) synthesised using *Rhizophora mucronata* Lam. IET Nanobiotechnol 2019; 13(2): 120-3.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1049/iet-nbt.2018.5230] [PMID: 31051441]
- [166] Bishnoi S, Kumar A, Selvaraj R. Facile synthesis of magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles using inedible Cynometra ramiflora fruit extract waste and their photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue dye. Mater Res Bull 2018; 97: 121-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.materresbull.2017.08.040]
- [167] Saranya M, Santhosh C, Ramachandran R, *et al.* Hydrothermal growth of CuS nanostructures and its photocatalytic properties. Powder Technol 2014; 252: 25-32. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2013.10.031]
- [168] Singh J, Kumar V, Kim KH, Rawat M. Biogenic synthesis of copper oxide nanoparticles using plant extract and its prodigious potential for photocatalytic degradation of dyes. Environ Res 2019; 177: 108569.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2019.108569] [PMID: 31352301]
- [169] Khan A, Valicsek Z, Horváth O. Comparing the degradation potential of copper(ii), iron(ii), iron(iii) oxides, and their composite nanoparticles in a heterogeneous photo-fenton system. Nanomaterials (Basel) 2021; 11(1): 225. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/nano11010225] [PMID: 33467125]
- [170] Zhu H, Jiang R, Xiao L, *et al.* Photocatalytic decolorization and degradation of Congo Red on innovative crosslinked chitosan/nano-CdS composite catalyst under visible light irradiation. J Hazard Mater 2009; 169(1-3): 933-40. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.04.037] [PMID: 19477069]
- [171] Khallaf H, Oladeji IO, Chow L. Optimization of chemical bath deposited CdS thin films using nitrilotriacetic acid as a complexing agent. Thin Solid Films 2008; 516(18): 5967-73. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tsf.2007.10.079]

#### Advances in Nano-remediation

[172] Tristão JC, Magalhães F, Corio P, Sansiviero MTC. Electronic characterization and photocatalytic properties of CdS/TiO<sub>2</sub> semiconductor composite. J Photochem Photobiol Chem 2006; 181(2-3): 152-[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2005.11.018]

- [173] Saputra E, Muhammad S, Sun H, Ang HM, Tadé MO, Wang S. Different crystallographic onedimensional MnO2 nanomaterials and their superior performance in catalytic phenol degradation. Environ Sci Technol 2013; 47(11): 5882-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es400878c] [PMID: 23651050]
- [174] Duan X, Sun H, Wang S. Metal-free carbocatalysis in advanced oxidation reactions. Acc Chem Res 2018; 51(3): 678-87. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.7b00535] [PMID: 29494126]
- [175] Ajaz M, Shakeel S, Rehman A. Microbial use for azo dye degradation-a strategy for dye bioremediation. Int Microbiol 2020; 23(2): 149-59. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10123-019-00103-2] [PMID: 31741129]
- [176] Thalmann B, Voegelin A, Morgenroth E, Kaegi R. Effect of humic acid on the kinetics of silver nanoparticle sulfidation. Environ Sci Nano 2016; 3(1): 203-12. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C5EN00209E]
- [177] Fu Y, Viraraghavan T. Fungal decolorization of dye wastewaters: a review. Bioresour Technol 2001; 79(3): 251-62. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(01)00028-1] [PMID: 11499579]
- [178] Brunetti G, Donner E, Laera G, et al. Fate of zinc and silver engineered nanoparticles in sewerage networks. Water Res 2015; 77: 72-84. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.03.003] [PMID: 25841090]
- [179] Metreveli G, Philippe A, Schaumann GE. Disaggregation of silver nanoparticle homoaggregates in a river water matrix. Sci Total Environ 2015; 535: 35-44. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.11.058] [PMID: 25433382]
- [180] Kraas M, Schlich K, Knopf B, et al. Long-term effects of sulfidized silver nanoparticles in sewage sludge on soil microflora. Environ Toxicol Chem 2017; 36(12): 3305-13. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/etc.3904] [PMID: 28671293]
- [181] Bundschuh M, Vogt R, Seitz F, Rosenfeldt RR, Schulz R. Do titanium dioxide nanoparticles induce food depletion for filter feeding organisms? A case study with Daphnia magna. Environ Pollut 2016; 214:840-6 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.04.092] [PMID: 27155102]
- [182] Adam V, Loyaux-Lawniczak S, Labille J, et al. Aggregation behaviour of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in natural river water. J Nanopart Res 2016; 18(1): 13. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11051-015-3319-4]
- [183] Kumahor SK, Hron P, Metreveli G, Schaumann GE, Vogel HJ. Transport of citrate-coated silver nanoparticles in unsaturated sand. Sci Total Environ 2015; 535: 113-21. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.03.023] [PMID: 25827720]

## **CHAPTER 3**

## Interaction between Metal Oxide Nanoparticles and Terrestrial Plants: An Overview of the Mode of Action and Future Perspectives

Poonam Patel<sup>1</sup>, Prerna Dhingra<sup>2</sup>, S. L. Kothari<sup>3</sup>, Rohit Jain<sup>4,\*</sup> and Sumita Kachhwaha<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Applied Sciences, Suresh Gyan Vihar University, Jaipur-302017, India

<sup>2</sup> Department of Botany, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur-302004, India

<sup>3</sup> Amity University Rajasthan, Jaipur-302001, India

<sup>4</sup> Department of Biosciences, Manipal University Jaipur, Rajasthan-303007, India

Abstract: Nanotechnological interventions have extensively been used as an efficient non-invasive approach in agriculture for disease protection, to improve yield and many more. The use of engineered nanomaterials (like metal-oxide nanoparticles) as fertilizers, pesticides, carriers for genetic material/RNA/protein, sensors for detection of contaminants and toxic compounds etc. have been extensively studied and reported. Interaction between plants and nanomaterials plays an important role in their applications for various purposes in agriculture and otherwise. In this chapter, mechanisms of uptake and mode of action of three commonly used metal oxide ( $TiO_{2}$ , CuO, ZnO) nanomaterials in plants have been reviewed. The chapter also summarises the various studies conducted on the effect of these nanomaterials on different agricultural food crops in the last 2 decades. The thorough review of existing literature on the aforementioned areas indicates that although the published data on terrestrial phytotoxicity of metal oxide NPs is increasing continuously but surprisingly the range of selected plants is still narrow (mostly agricultural crops and seed plants), thus random selection of plants (outside this narrow range) should be made to gain better insights into the various impacts of nanomaterials on plants.

Keywords: CuO, Mode of action, Phytotoxicity, TiO<sub>2</sub>, Uptake mechanisms, ZnO.

#### INTRODUCTION

Nanotechnology has been identified as one of the most promising and revolutionary technologies, which is going to affect people's life. Now developing

Juhi Saxena, Abhijeet Singh and Anupam Jyoti (Eds.) All rights reserved-© 2023 Bentham Science Publishers

<sup>\*</sup> **Corresponding authors Sumita Kachhwaha and Rohit Jain:** Department of Botany, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, India, 302004 and Department of Biosciences, Manipal University Jaipur, Rajasthan-303007, India; Tel: +91-141-2711654; E-mails: sumitakachhwaha@gmail.com and rohit.jain@jaipur.manipal.edu

#### Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications 37

countries have started investing more in nanotechnology by considering the potential of NPs to overcome the challenges associated with development in key areas such as energy, water, agriculture, health and environment [1]. Therefore, the production of nanomaterials (NMs) has escalated in recent years due to their multifaceted utilities. The estimated global production of engineered nanomaterials (ENMs) in the year 2010 was 260,000 - 309,000 metric tons; out of which approx. 63-91%, 8 - 28%, 0.4 - 7%, and 0.1 - 1.5% were estimated to end up into landfills, soils, water bodies and atmosphere respectively [2]. The most common contaminating ENPs of the environment are carbonaceous nanoparticles (NPs), quantum dots, zero-valent metals, metal oxides and nanopolymers [3]. Certain exceptional properties of NPs such as high specific surface area, abundant surface reactive sites and mobility are greatly affecting the environment and health as well [4, 5]. Organisms especially algae, fungi and plants have direct interaction not only with NMs but also with their existing environment thus may be considered as the first target life forms to be exposed which are indirectly affecting higher species through the food chain [6]. Although, the evolution of plants took place in the presence of natural NPs, but because of expanded production of ENPs and their use in diverse processes and goods; the possibility of plant exposure has increased incalculably [7].

With these points of view, in this chapter, the interaction of NPs and plants has been discussed. As the most encountered group of NPs is metal oxides that are being produced largely for enormous applications, this chapter focuses on 3 leading candidates in line *viz*. TiO<sub>2</sub> CuO and ZnO for the study of interaction with plants.

## Titanium Dioxide NPs (TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs)

Certain properties of TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs such as high stability, anticorrosion and photocatalyst activity make them an excellent candidate to be used in cosmetic and skin care products, antibacterial and air cleaning articles; paints and pigments; and in organic matter decomposition in wastewater. In the year 2010, 64,000 - 81,000 metric tons of ENMs were used in coatings, paints, pigments and cosmetics, with approx. 34,000 and 10,000 tons/year for TiO<sub>2</sub> and SiO<sub>2</sub> NPs respectively. The above-mentioned applications contribute around 42% of the total global ENM flow, 82 - 87% of total ENM emissions to soil and 89 - 97% to water [2].

## **Copper Oxide NPs (CuO NPs)**

Due to manifold uses of CuO NPs, they serve as potent NPs to enter the most important and sustaining environmental compartment *i.e.* soil [8, 9] and, therefore, catching the attention for numerous bio-toxicity studies [10 - 12]. These NPs are owing antimicrobial nature thus predominantly being used in

antimicrobial formulations [13]. Plentiful literature is available on the protection of wood products from fungi and insect-induced biodegradation using nano-CuO and nano-CuCO<sub>3</sub>-based biocides [14]. 50% of the global wood preservation is occupied by the wood preservation market of North America with 79000 tons consumption of Cu salts annually [14, 15]. In the year 2010, the predicted worldwide production of Cu-based NPs was ~ 200 tons/year, and it is increasing continuously [2].

## Zinc Oxide NPs (ZnO NPs)

In 2010, the estimated global annual production of ZnO NPs was 30,000 metric tons, which was used primarily in paints, medicine, cosmetics, optics, electronics, coatings and pigment products. Emission at the time of manufacturing was estimated to be around 32–680 tons/year, from which the highest amount is being contributed by emissions from the use of ZnO ENMs in cosmetics. Overall predicted emissions are 90–578 tons/year to atmosphere, 3,100–9,283 tons/year to soils and 170–2,985 tons/year to receiving water bodies [2].

Thus, the inevitable rapid use of metallic NPs in multiple areas have raised the demand for assessment of their impact on different biotic and abiotic components [16 - 18]. Scanty reports are available about the impact of NMs on food crops and the food chain [19, 20]. As plants are in direct contact with the environment and are first targets to face NPs, thus increasing the curiosity to know the way NPs affect plants, the method of their uptake and the way they act in plant systems (Fig. 1). The focal point of this chapter is to discuss all these aspects of interactions of MONPs and plant systems.

# UPTAKE AND TRANSLOCATION OF MO NPS IN PLANT SYSTEM TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs

The worldwide production of titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>) NPs is up to 2 million tons/year [21], which eventually contaminate soils and plants on its release in the environment. In Arabidopsis thaliana, the uptake and translocation of nano-conjugate of an ultra-small TiO<sub>2</sub> (<5nm) complexed with Alizarin red were studied by Kurepa *et al.* (2010) [22]. They demonstrated that the inhibition or facilitation of entry of nano-conjugate depends on the pectin hydrogel capsule formed by the mucilage that was released from the surrounding roots. Numerous other studies show that depending on the plant species, toxic heavy metals in the rhizosphere could either be accumulated or inactivated by the polysaccharides present in the mucilage [23]. Asli and Neumann (2009) [24] investigated that in maize (Zea mays), TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs were not taken up by the root cells of excised roots with intact apices, the probable reason for this might be their large size compared to the size of pore diameter (6.6 nm). Servin *et al.* (2012) [25], evaluated the

#### Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications 39

uptake of TiO<sub>2</sub> in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) grown in hydroponic solution by using the micro X-ray fluorescence (micro-XRF) and micro X-ray absorption spectroscopy (micro- XANES) to track the presence and chemical speciation of Ti respectively, within the plant tissue. By micro-XRF, it was observed that Ti got transported from roots to the leaf trichomes, thereby, suggesting trichomes as the possible sink for Ti. Whereas the micro-XANES spectra showed the presence of TiO<sub>2</sub> within the cucumber tissues, hence, testifying that TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs were not biotransformed. Larue et al. (2012b) [21], treated hydroponically grown wheat and rapeseed plantlets to anatase  $TiO_2$  -NP, either through root or leaf exposure. The quantification of absorbed Ti was done using Microparticle-induced x-ray emission (µPIXE) coupled with Rutherford backscattering spectroscopy (RBS) and accumulation upon leaf and root exposure was determined using Micro x-ray fluorescence ( $\mu$ XRF) based on synchrotron radiation. Larue *et al.* (2012a) [26], also investigated root-to-shoot translocation of anatase and rutile TiO<sub>2</sub>-NPs along with root accumulation in wheat, where mapping, observation and quantification of Ti in plant tissues were done using synchrotron-radiation micro-X-ray fluorescence, transmission electron microscopy and micro-particle-induced X-ray emission respectively. Their results presented threshold diameters of 140 and 36 nm; above which in case of former diameter, NPs no longer accumulated in roots and in the latter NPs, accumulation took place in wheat root parenchyma but did not reach the stele and consequently did not translocate to shoot. Conclusively, the tested smallest TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs accumulate in roots in a limited amount and distribute to all plant tissues without dissolution or crystal phase modification. In another study, harvested fruits of cucumber cultivated in TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs treated sandy loam soil were evaluated using synchrotron µ-XRF, µ-XANES and ICP-OES to study macromolecule modification of cucumber fruit. This is the first report in which,  $\mu$ -XRF and  $\mu$ -XANES showed that TiO<sub>2</sub> got translocated without biotransformation from root-to-fruit in cucumber indicating that, TiO<sub>2</sub> could gain entry into the food chain with unrecognizable consequences. Jacob et al. (2013) [27], found that Ti originating from  $TiO_2$  NPs is available for plant uptake. Soil grown tomato plants were investigated by Antisari et al. (2015) [28], and uptake and accumulation were studied by ICP-OES and the results showed NP component metal accumulation in roots. Electron microscopy (ESEM-EDS) detected NPs in tissues of tomato roots, suggesting the probability that translocation of NPs might be associated with the absorption patterns of water and nutrients within the root. Lack of reports on the uptake of TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs might be due to their high stability; treated plant samples are difficult to digest [29].

Conclusively, upon treatment with  $\text{TiO}_2$  NPs, the uptaken entities, whether the metal component of NP or NPs itself, can accumulate and translocate in the whole plant body without biotransformation, as there are very few reports on biotransformation till now.



Fig. (1). Shows diverse sources of release of  $TiO_2$ , CuO and ZnO NPs in the environment and in turn their impact on various parameters of plant system.

#### CuO NPs

Before 2011, plants were not explored in terms of uptake, translocation and impact for CuO NPs. On wheat roots, methods were standardized by Zhou et al. (2011) [30] to differentiate the adsorption and uptake of CuO NPs by using various metal competing ions, surfactants, complexing agents such as NaOAc and Na<sub>4</sub>EDTA and ultrasonic procedure. Upon treatment with CuO NPs, most of these were found adsorbed on root surface while some were mechanically adhered. It was observed that desorption of CuO NPs was not possible using competing ions but NaOAc and Na₄EDTA were quite effective in doing so. They observed that the uptake increased with increasing exposure concentrations, whereas absorption/adsorption ratio increased initially but then decreased. They reported that, at low concentrations of NPs, the adsorbed NPs at the plant root surface made their way into the cell easily but at the higher concentrations, only part of the absorbed NPs were transferred into cells. Toxicity, transport and redistribution of CuO NPs (20-40nm) on maize (Zea mays L.) were examined by Wang et al. (2012) [31], using TEM and EDS. They proved that the transportation of CuO NPs occurs from roots to shoots through xylem by locating them in xylem sap. They further authenticated the translocation of these NPs back from shoots to the roots through phloem by split-root experiments and high-resolution TEM. They affirmed the bioaccumulation and biotransformation of CuO NPs while translocation, as these could be reduced from Cu (II) to Cu (I). In a study conducted by Kim et al. (2012), TEM images confirmed the presence of CuO NPs in the endodermis [32]. It was observed that NPs form clusters either with themselves or with other cellular materials after penetrating the cell membrane. Also, the metal oxide NPs' deposition on the root surface hindering the uptake of available Cu. A probable explanation of CuO phytotoxicity is the accumulation of toxic Cu ions released from CuO NPs as suggested by Landa et al. (2016) [33]. These observations were also confirmed by Le Van et al. (2016), by studying the effects of CuO NPs on conventional and Bt-transgenic cotton [34]. They concluded that CuO NPs distinctly reduced the uptake of nutrients such as B, Mo, Mn, Zn, Mg and Fe. Also, at low concentrations of CuO NPs, growth and development of both transgenic and conventional cotton were hindered and there was an upregulation of Bt toxin protein of Bt-transgenic cotton. The presence of CuO NPs in TEM images was seen in conventional cotton to be aggregated on the epidermis while in transgenic cotton it was seen in the cells.

Conclusively as root is the first exposed organ if CuO NPs are provided through the soil, it can be worthwhile to target future studies on it.

#### Patel et al.

### ZnO NPs

Almost all studies on ZnO NPs have been carried out till the germination stage, which does not give an insight into uptake, translocation and accumulation of ZnO NPs due to lack of plant root and vasculature development [29]. In ryegrass, SEM and TEM images displayed that ZnO NPs were firmly bounded onto the root surface and some particles were seen in the apoplast and protoplast of the root endodermis and stele. Results also indicated little or no ZnO NPs were transported from the root to the shoot, as a translocation factor (TF < 0.02) was very low [35]. The probable explanation for this could be the agglomeration of NPs at high concentration which obstructed ZnO NPs uptake. The XAS spectra (x-ray absorption spectroscopy) of ZnO NPs treated roots showed that, in tissues, Zinc was present either in the form of Zn-nitrate or Zn-acetate *i.e.* in Zn+2 oxidation state instead of ZnO NPs. A study conducted by Hernandez-Viezcas et al. (2013), on Soybean seeds, germinated and grown in soil treated with ZnO NPs revealed that at the harvesting stage, ZnO NPs were not present as displayed by the X-ray absorption spectroscopy [36]. But the data of  $\mu$ - XANES analysis surprisingly showed the presence of O-bound Zn resembling Zn citrate, which might be a component of Soyabean grain. In a recent investigation, on treating Maize plants with ZnO NPs, it was observed that NPs were not translocated to shoots; most of these were restricted to epidermis, a small fraction reached till the root tip cells and cortex, and some took the route to the vascular system *via* sites of the primary root-lateral root junction. Researchers found that zinc was agglomerated in the form of zinc phosphate out of which, the uptake of maximum amount of Zn was in the form of  $Zn^{2+}$  released from ZnO NPs [37].

As there are very few reports available, intending to explore the uptake, translocation and biotransformation of ZnO NPs in plants; those which are present are contradictory and not sufficient to make any conclusion. This situation creates a clear vision that more elaborative studies are required, to confirm the uptake, translocation and biotransformation of these metal oxide NPs for controlled application and treatment of damage done by them to the plants systems.

#### **MODE OF ACTION OF MO NPS**

Now, it is apparent that the physical and chemical characteristics of NPs (*i.e.* average size, element composition, surface area, dosage, porosity, surface charge, hydrodynamic diameter, aggregation, stability and coating with cellular or other constituents) and choice of crop have a direct relevance with toxicity in biological systems. In addition, life cycle stage, growth media and diluting agents may also influence toxicity in plants [38]. It is worth noting that due to the high surface area to volume ratio of metal NPs, they have more surface area to exchange their

valence electrons with biomolecules [39]. Due to which, metal NPs have the capability to take part in cellular redox reactions, leading to the altered antioxidant status of the treated plants [40]. The way in which plant growth is affected is still a topic of major concern for researchers and various efforts towards examining various crucial processes that work in plant systems are underway [41 - 43].

### TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs

Initial investigations with TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs and plants were conducted by Hong et al. (2005) and Yang et al. (2007), concluding that upon treatment with Nano-anatase TiO<sub>2</sub>, an improvement was observed in the absorption of light energy with its transformation into chemical and electrical energy, and induction of  $CO_2$ assimilation and protection of chloroplast ageing during illumination for a long time [41, 43]. Moreover, it was also observed that the activity of non-cyclic photophosphorylation was much greater than that of cyclic photophosphorylation. It was envisaged that there is a possibility of entry of TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs in chloroplast and increase in the oxidation-reduction reactions of electron transport and oxygen evolution [41]. Whereas, Gao et al. (2006), concluded that there is an enhancement in the photosynthetic carbon assimilation when treated with Nanoanatase TiO<sub>2</sub> [42]. Thereby, activating Rubisco (complex of Rubisco and Rubisco activase) that might lead to carboxylation of Rubisco, and ultimately enhancing plant growth. These results were further confirmed using a molecular approach by Linglan *et al.* (2008), who suggested that anatase  $TiO_2$  NP induces marker gene for Rubisco activase (rca) mRNA, resulting in an increased level of protein and activities of Rubisco activase [44]. According to Lei et al. (2007), anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs promoted certain activities in chlorophyll such as photophosphorylation and evolution of oxygen under both UV and Visible light [45]. Anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> also enhanced the activity of the whole electron transport chain along with photoreduction activity of photosystem II. Some additional supportive observations were also reported where TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs improve rate of transpiration, water conductance [46], plant growth and grain yield [47]. The mode of action of  $TiO_2$ NPs, such as enhancing nitrogen assimilation, photo-reduction activities of photosystem II and electron transport chain and protecting the chloroplast membrane structure from reactive oxygen species, make these NPs promising as an efficient nutrient source for crops to improve biomass production [47]. But Servin *et al.* (2013), suggested that NPs have a promontory effect on nitrogen accumulation and thus protein formation [48]. It has been recognized that plants under optimum conditions tend to increase the duration of their development as much as possible, leading to improved leaf photosynthesis, light-use potential and higher yield [49]. Raliya et al. (2015), concluded that nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> also promotes microbial activities which can improve the utilization of native nutrients by plants [50]. On the contrary, some studies reported the occurrence of genotoxicity (DNA

damage) by TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs in plants [51, 52], whereas, Wang *et al.* (2011), found the microtubule disrupting nature of TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs while working with *A. thaliana* [53]. Among both the forms of TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs (anatase and rutile), it seems the rutile form has less toxicity than the anatase form, because of its lipophilicity, as rutile crystalline structure of NPs forms larger aggregates in the aqueous medium [54].

## CuO NPs

The antibacterial activity of Cu based NPs is well documented, but the information underlying the mode of action of these NPs with reference to plants is comparatively limited. For instance, Adams *et al.* (2017) reported that Cu ions in the rhizosphere are released from the dissolution of the CuO NPs, due to the secretion of proteins [55] from root cells which chelated the released metal ions, which caused root shortening but promoted root hair proliferation *via* nitric oxide cell signaling and modified IAA distribution. Whereas, in another study, the reduction in hydraulic conductivity in roots was reported due to CuO NP treatment resulting in reduced water uptake, hence decreased root and shoot biomass [31]. DNA damage by CuO NPs in some agricultural and grassland plants was reported by Atha *et al.* (2012) and suggested the accumulation of oxidatively modified compounds which caused mutagenic DNA lesions, thereby, inhibiting plant growth [56]. As reported by many researchers, reactive oxygen species (ROS) stress is contemplated as the prime reason for obtained results.

#### ZnO NPs

Amongst all the three MO NPs focused in the present study, ZnO NPs are the most explored ones. As mentioned earlier, the well-identified reason for phytotoxicity is the ROS generation [57], which is perhaps generated by Zn ions formed by the dissolution of ZnO NPs. Not only plants, but enzyme activities of the contaminated soils may also be affected as heavy metals probably react with sulfhydryl groups, forming metal-sulfide equivalents, thereby inactivating or inhibiting enzyme activity [58]. It was suggested by Ghodake et al. (2011) that probably ZnO NPs penetrated radically into onion roots in turn damaging the whole cellular metabolism and stages of cell division, blocked the growth stages and showed severe hazardous effects at both cellular and chromosomal levels [59]. The accumulation and biotransformation of the MO NPs or the released metal from them are reported in various studies. Dimkpa et al. (2013) [10] stated that greater root toxicity may be correlated with a smaller particle size. The production of Phytochelatins, which are considered as the indicators of heavy metal toxicity in plants might occur in the presence of ZnO NPs or their dissociated Zn ions [36].

In spite of a number of reports for phytotoxicity, certain reports are available stating the positive mode of action of ZnO NPs. After treating with ZnO NPs, improvement in plant growth might be associated with the generation of fewer amounts of ROS causing less lipid peroxidation [60]. Since, Zn is a constituent of enzyme which influences the secretion of IAA, ZnO NPs showed a very positive response in seed germination, along with root growth, which may be accomplished due to oxygen vacancies in ZnO NPs, leading to increment in the level of IAA [61]. ZnO NPs also improved nitrogen assimilation soybean [62].

Initially, the modes of action of the MO NPs were not understood, but now, researchers are investigating un- or underexplored aspects very intensely, by using various high throughput machinery and protocols as evidenced by all the abovementioned examples.

## IMPACT OF MO NPS ON PLANT SYSTEM

Plant- NPs interaction is a nascent field that requires intensive research. Published data so far are focused on a particular group of plants, like in Angiosperms among terrestrial plants, whereas Gymnosperms are completely ignored worldwide. Probably the main reason of this ignorance is the complex life cycle of Gymnosperms and also the easy yardsticks of growth and development in Angiosperms. As the main concern of researchers is the accumulation of NPs in food web so all studies revolve around a very narrow range of crops (*e.g.* wheat, maize, rice, lettuce, cucumber, soybean etc.). The studies on the whole life cycle of plants are limited and recently this subject has caught attention. There is a complete lack of studies on ornamental and medicinal plants along with plants which are growing under severe environmental conditions like xerophytic plants. Fig. (2) represents the information about studies done so far with special context to terrestrial plants against discussed MO NPs (*i.e.* TiO<sub>2</sub>, CuO, ZnO NPs).

Literature on the effects of major mineral elements on growth, development and biochemical aspects is well documented but nano-sized particles of these metals like (metal NPs and MO NPs) have just started making impact. Tables 1 - 3, provide the summarized information about experimental design, results, possible hypothesis or expected mechanism of peer reviewed research articles. To emphasize on recent trends, only 2010 onward publications are included.

Table 1. Summary of the important studies (after year 2010) on terrestrial plant species exposed against  $\text{TiO}_2$  NPs.

S. N.	NP specifications	Range of conc.	Exposure method/ application method	Plant species	Studies endpoints/ parameters	Remarkable effects	Expected mechanism/possible hypothesis	References
1.	7-40 nm, Anatase	5 mg/L suspensions in DDW	NPs suspensions sprayed twice on 12 <sup>th</sup> and 16 <sup>th</sup> d foliarly	Cicer arietinum	Electrolyte leakage index (ELI), cDNA-AFLP analysis, Quantitative reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) analysis, Gene ontology (GO) annotation, Enrichment analysis of GO terms, Functional annotation and network analysis	Number of generated transcript-derived fragments (TDFs) - 4200, Among them, 100 (~2.62%) expressed differentially, Differentially expressed TDFs of NPs-treated plants cloned – 60 (During cold stress), 10 of them formed readable sequences. These genes identified for- chromatin architecture, transcriptional regulation, metabolism pathways, cell connections and signaling and cellular defense, 2 out of 10 TDFs- unidentified genes, homology absent with known genes, Transcription level of these TDFs †	Probably TiO <sub>2</sub> NPs play a major role under cold stress conditions as an elevated level of transcription was observed in reported TDFs which are essential to build up cold tolerance by reducing ELI content in tolerant plants.	[63]
2.	20-160 nm	20 mg/kg soil	NPs in soil	Lycopersion esculentum	Morphological characters, Quantity of component metals taken up by treated plants from NPs, Nutrient contents in different organs	Root, stem elongation (-), Leaf dry matter ↓, Ca, K, Mg, Na, P, S in roots (-), Ca, Mg, P, S in stems ↑, P, S in leaves ↑	-	[28]

(Tab	ole 1) cont							
S. N.	NP specifications	Range of conc.	Exposure method/ application method	Plant species	Studies endpoints/ parameters	Remarkable effects	Expected mechanism/possible hypothesis	References
3.	l to 200 nm, Spherical, Types- Anatase (NAnT), Pristine rutile (NRuT), Rutile with hydrophilic surface (NLRuT), Rutile with hydrophobic surface (NBRuT)	0, 10, or 1000 mg/L of full strength medium	48 h germinated seeds exposed to 0.5 mmol/L Ca(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> , During 5 weeks cultivation of seedlings, strength of nutrient solution increased gradually, After that seedlings transferred to full strength nutrient solution containing Pb(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> (0 or 1.0 mg/L Pb(II)) and 0, 10, or 1000 mg/L NAnT, NRuT, NLRuT, NBRuT for 7 d	Oryza sativa	Seedling biomass, Accumulation of NPs, Pb concentration in rice tissues, Iron plaque at the root surface	Seedling biomass (-), Only NAnT entered seedling roots through the apoplastic route, NAnT accumulates in rice roots, Translocation from roots to shoots absent, Iron plaque formation on root surfaces or their restriction effects on Pb uptake (by roots) not affected by the presence of NPs on root surfaces, Pb cone. in roots and shoots ↓, TiO <sub>2</sub> -type dependent bioaccumulation of Pb, At high NPs exposure (1000 mg/L) bioaccumulation ↓	Probably casparian strip in root tissues hindered the translocation of NAnT from roots to shoots, NPs high sorption potential for Pb in nutrient solution might be the reason for reduced conc. of Pb in roots and shoots.	[64]
4.	< 100 nm, Mixture of anatase and rutile	0.2, 1.0, 2.0, 4.0% suspensions in DW	Seed soaked overnight in NPs suspension	Vicia narbonensis, Zea mays	Seed germination, Development and mitosis of root tip cells	Seed germination delayed, Mitotic index ↓, Aberration index ↑	NPs increased aberration index due to disturbances in the spindle apparatus.	[65]
5.	< 100 nm, Mixture of rutile and anatase	0.2,1.0, 2.0, 4.0% suspensions in DW	Seed soaked overnight in NPs suspension	Vicia narbonensis	Enzymatic and nonenzymatic antioxidant responses, Oxidative stress, Oxidative damage	Phytotoxic effects ↑, ROS production, DNA fragmentation	NPs generated stress condition may induce ROS production, Mechanisms of DNA repair not effective to eliminate early genotoxicity effects.	[66]

Patel et al.

(Tal	le 1) cont		-					
S. N.	NP specifications	Range of conc.	Exposure method/ application method	Plant species	Studies endpoints/ parameters	Remarkable effects	Expected mechanism/possible hypothesis	References
6.	Sample S- <100 nm (tetragonal crystals), Sample P- <10 nm (spherical shape)	50 mg/L water	Seeds washed overnight in tap water than treated with NPs suspensions for 3 d	Vicia faba	Germination, Vigour index, Water contents, Root length, Relative water contents, H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> contents Activities of ascorbate peroxidase, glutathione peroxidase, catalase and Guaiacol peroxidase, Ascorbate and glutathione contents, proline contents, Thiobarbituric acid reactive substance contents	Germination, root length, water contents, thiobarbituric acid reactive substance contents and glutathione peroxidase activity (-), H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> and proline contents $\downarrow$ , Activities of ascorbate peroxidase and catalase $\downarrow$ , Vigour index- sample P $\uparrow$ and sample S (-), Relative water contents, total ascorbate and total glutathione- sample P $\downarrow$ and sample P $\downarrow$ and sample S (-), Guaiacol peroxidase activity- sample P $\downarrow$ and sample S (-), Frequency of anomalies and aberrations (AI) in dividing cells- sample S $\uparrow$ and sample P (-)	Depending on their size and shape NPs may provoke major adverse effects in roots and exert specific actions at different levels of toxicity.	[67]
7.	15, 25 and 32 nm, Rutile and anatase	0.01-100 mg/L suspensions	Seed soaked in NPs suspension	Linum usitatissimum	Effect of size and crystal structure (anatase and rutile) of TiO <sub>2</sub> NPs on their toxicity	NPs anatase crystal structure - toxic in whole set of tests	Lipophilic nature in rutile crystalline structure of NPs creates larger aggregates in an aqueous medium, which show less toxicity than anatase crystalline form	[54]

(Tal	Table 1) cont								
S. N.	NP specifications	Range of conc.	Exposure method/ application method	Plant species	Studies endpoints/ parameters	Remarkable effects	Expected mechanism/possible hypothesis	References	
8.	< 50 nm, Anatase	10, 20, 30, and 40 mg/ml of MS medium	Seeds cultivated in MS medium containing NPs	Petroselinum crispum	Germination %, Germination rate index, Vigor index, Fresh weight, Root and shoot length, Chl content	Germination, germination rate index, vigor index, fresh weight, root and shoot length and chl content of seedlings ↑, Best conc. of nano- anatase 30 mg/ml.	Nano-anatase can penetrate through seed and may stimulate the embryo. Improved seed germination might be observed due to reduced antioxidant stress, superoxide radicals, and MDA content carried out by increased conc. of nitrate reductase enzyme and activities of antioxidant enzymes. Nano-anatase assists the absorbance of minerals that promotes the formation of chl and activation of important enzymes for carbon fixation process.	[68]	
9.	< 100 nm, Surface area >14.0 m²/g	~ 91 mg/kg soil	NPs in soil	Triticum aestivum	Wheat growth, Soil enzyme activities (under field conditions)	Biomass ↓, NPs mainly adhered on roots surface	Cell membrane could be damaged due to extracellular ROS generated by NPs	[58]	
10.	21 nm, Surface area 50 m²/g	1, 2, 10, 100, 500 ppm suspensions in DW	Seeds germinated in petri dishes containing NPs suspensions	Triticum. aestivum	Shoot length, Seedling length, Root dry matters	Root length (-), At 2-10ppm conc shoot length and seedling length ↑ At higher conc shoot length and seedling length ↓	Appropriate conc. of NPs could promote seed germination and seedling growth.	[69]	
11.	< 25 nm,	0, 0, 1, 1, 2.5, 5% suspensions in growth media	NPs in growth media	Nicotiana tabacum	Germination rates, Biomass, Root lengths, Growth and development of seedlings, Expression profiles of microRNAs.	Germination rate ↓, Root length and Biomass ↓, ADH and APX upregulated, At low conc miRNA expression ↑	Water uptake inhibition might be due to the obstruction created by NPs clusters on root cell wall. Lipid peroxidation of cell membrane and oxidative DNA damage generated due to induced ROS.	[52]	
12.	< 25 nm, Anatase	0, 6, 18 mM/L and 0, 12 mM/L suspensions in nutrient solution	Nutrient solutions with NPs	Phaseolus vulgaris, Triticum aestivum, Rumex crispus, Elodea canadensis	Biomass, Ti Associated with Roots, Uptake of Ti in roots, Translocation of Ti in shoots in rooted plants	For rooted plants – biomass production (-), Root ↑, In <i>R. crispus</i> – translocation of Ti into shoots	Roots increased because of Ti sorption and uptake.	[27]	

Patel et al.

(Tal	le 1) cont							
S. N.	NP specifications	Range of conc.	Exposure method/ application method	Plant species	Studies endpoints/ parameters	Remarkable effects	Expected mechanism/possible hypothesis	References
13.	21 nm	NPs- 0, 10, 100 and 1000 mg/L Cd- 0, 10, 20 mg/L	1 week grown seedlings transferred to ½ Kimura solution for 3 d, Then divided in 12 test groups and treated with combinations of Cd and NPs for 10 d	Oryza sativa	Biomass, Plant height, Root length, Presence of NPs in roots and shoots, Cd and Ti contents in root and shoot, Isothermal adsorption of Cd, Plant hormone conc. of abscisic acid, indole-3-acetic acid, trans-zeatin riboside, gibberellic acid, brassinolide, methyl jasmonate, Antioxidant enzyme activities of catalase, super oxide dismutase, peroxidase, MDA contents, Net photosynthetic rate, Chl contents	Cd / NPs treatment- toxicity in plant (intensity Cd>NPs), MDA contents ↑ (at some conc.), On NPs treatment- NPs exist in plant Ti contents in root ↑, Root length and shoot height ↓, Fresh biomass ↑, On co-treatment (Cd + NPs)- Cd toxicity ↓, Ti and Cd contents in roots ↓, Net photosynthetic rate and chl- contents ↑, MDA contents ↓, Adsorption of Cd- in deionized water, Effects on hormones and antioxidant enzyme activities- treatment type and dose dependent	Cd treatment modifies/damages the structure and function of root's cell membrane which facilitates easy entry and accumulation of NPs in the roots. Lattice of TiO <sub>2</sub> NPs and Cd might be interacted in such a way that the adsorbed Cd becomes unavailable to plants eventually reduces Cd toxicity	[70]
14.	32-171 nm, Anatase, White powder form,	10, 100, and 1000 mg/L of Hoagland's solution	48 h germinated seeds exposed for 12 h light/12 dark photoperiod for 2 d, Then seedlings exposed to NPs amended Hoagland's solution for 14 d and various CO <sub>2</sub> conditions (Super-elevated- 5000 mg/L CO <sub>2</sub> )	Triticum aestivum	Biomass, Lateral roots, root length and shoot height, Fresh weight of roots and shoots, Ti contents, Phytohormone determination- indole acetic acid (IAA), gibberellins (GA), abscisic acid (ABA), jasmonic acid (JA), brassinosteroid (BR), zeatin riboside (ZR), dihydrozeatin riboside (DHZR), indolepropioponic acid (IPA)	Under elevated CO <sub>2</sub> condition- Yellow/ light brown seedlings, Root biomass and lateral roots ↑, IPA and JA content ↓, JA content ↑ with increasing conc. of NPs, Ti accumulation and translocation in wheat treated with certain conc. NPs ↑, Under both CO <sub>2</sub> cultivation conditions- ABA content ↑, Ti accumulation in both shoots and roots ↑ in dose-response manner, Under normal CO <sub>2</sub> conditions- green and healthy seedlings	-	[71]

(Tal	Table 1) cont								
S. N.	NP specifications	Range of conc.	Exposure method/ application method	Plant species	Studies endpoints/ parameters	Remarkable effects	Expected mechanism/possible hypothesis	References	
15.	15 nm, Anatase	0, 0.1, 1, 10, 100, mg/mL suspensions in DW	Plant exposed to NPs suspension for 24 h or 72 h	Allium cepa	Macroscopic parameters-number and average length of roots and total length of the root system for each bulb, Microscopic parameters- mitotic index, portions of mitotic phases, chromosome aberrations and micro- nuclei	Duration and conc. dependent response of <i>Allium</i> roots, Mitotic activity of root meristem ↑	Biological reactivity of NPs may be interpreted with the help of some key parameters like exposure period.	[72]	
16.	5-10 nm, Anatase, Crystalline, Tetragona system with dipyramidal habit, Few were rounded spherical grains	0–20% (w/w) NPs suspension of type I culture medium, 0, 100, 150, 200, 400, 600, and 1000 mg/L of type II culture medium	On type I medium- Initial 24 h, seeds on NPs amended medium kept in dark, then cultured for 5 d in a 16 h light/8 h dark cycle, On type II medium- 3 d germinated seeds exposed to NPs amended medium for 7 d with 16 h light/8 h dark cycle	Hordeum vulgare	Root and shoot length, Biomass, Chl-a and b contents, Ti contents	Plant absorbed NPs, Shoot growth ↓ (at 10 and 20% w/w in agar media), Shoot growth (-) (upto 1000 mg/L in hydroponic treatment), Root growth ↓, Chl- a and b contents (-), Biomass (-)	TiO <sub>2</sub> NPs exposure generates early root growth (indicator of potential effects of TiO <sub>2</sub> NPs), Reduced root length limits nutrient supply which inhibits shoot growth, Effective mechanical and physiological barriers present in roots may limit the transport of NPs into aerial parts.	[73]	
17.	< 150 nm, Anatase:rutile:: 80:20	100 mg/L suspensions in media	NPs added to growth media	Arabidopsis thaliana	Gene expression in roots of the plant.	Weak impact	Nitrogen metabolism stimulated slightly showing no visible effects on plant growth.	[74]	
18.	14- 655 nm, Anatase and rutile	100 mg/L in DW	Seeds germinated in sand, soaked with Hoagland solution then plantlets transferred to NP suspension	Triticum aestivum	Accumulation, Translocation, Impact of nanomaterials, Influence of diameter and crystal phase of NMs	Roots can accumulate – NPs < 140 nm, NPs translocated to leaves – size < 36 nm, Seed germination (-), Vegetative development (-), Photosynthesis (-), Redox balance (-)	Cell wall pores (40 nm) become enlarged due to Hypo-osmotic stress and radial diffusion of 36 nm NPs made possible up to Casparian band where further radial progression in roots was blocked and NPs accumulation occurs.	[26]	
19.	14 - 25 nm	0, 10, 50, 100 mg/L suspensions in water	NPs treatment in hydroponics conditions either through root or leaf exposure	Triticum aestivum, Brassica napus	Plant development, Accumulation, Distribution in roots according to the size of NPs	Root elongation ↑, Germination (-), Evapotranspiration (-), Plant biomass (-), NPs internalized in roots and transferred to their leaves, NPs with smaller diameters- more accumulation.	Only the smallest NP modulated plant development and increased root length.	[21]	

Patel et al.

(Tab	le 1) cont		-					
S. N.	NP specifications	Range of conc.	Exposure method/ application method	Plant species	Studies endpoints/ parameters	Remarkable effects	Expected mechanism/possible hypothesis	References
20.	Pristine TiO <sub>2</sub> NPs (anatase 4 nm), TiO <sub>2</sub> MPs (rutile ≈ 150 nm), Aged paint (82% rutile and 18% anatase) leachate	Exposure of 1 µL per 25 mm <sup>2</sup> leaf area, Pristine TiO <sub>2</sub> NPs exposure – 0.125, 1.25 and 12.5 mmol/L ultrapure water deposited on leaves till final conc. of 12.5, 125 and 1250 nmol TiO <sub>2</sub> NPs/ gm fresh weight (FW), Paint leachate exposure- till final conc. of 35 nmol TiO <sub>2</sub> / gm FW	5-leaf staged plantlets exposed to NPs foliarly (on adaxial side of leaves) for 7 d	Lactuca sativa	Internalization and in situ speciation of Ti, Phytotoxicity biological markers- Fresh foliar biomass, Chl-a, Chl-b, carotenoid and pheophytin contents, Thiobarbituric acid reactive substance, GSH conc.	Internalization in leaves- TiO <sub>2</sub> MPs as well as NPs pristine and from aged paints (observed in all types of tissues), No change in speciation, No acute phytotoxicity, Variations observed in glutathione and phytochelatin levels (< typical values), Fresh foliar biomass, chl-a, chl-b, carotenoid and pheophytin contents, thiobarbituric acid reactive substance (TBARS) (-)	Involvement of both stomatal and cuticular pathways in the transfer of TiO <sub>2</sub> particles expected.	[75]
21.	30- 60 nm	750, 1000, 1250 mg/kg seeds	Seed treated with NPs	Avena sativa, Trifolium alexandrinum	Seed germination, Vigor and yield in fodder crops	Germination percentage ↑, Seedling vigour and yield ↑, Maximum seed yield at highest dose	Increment of Hill reaction and activity of chloroplast induced by NPs, accelerating Fe–Cy reduction and oxygen evolution	[40]
22.	24.5 nm, Anatase, Specific area- 55 m²/g	0, 10, 30,60 μg/ml suspensions in growth medium	NPs in growth media	Hordeum vulgare	Callugenesis, Size of calli, Bactericidal activity	Callugenesis ↑, Size of calli in darkness ↑, Effective bactericidal activity	NPs stimulate plant cell division and cellular expansion but their mechanism in darkness is unknown.	[76]
23.	7- 40 nm	0, 2, 5, 10 ppm suspensions in DDW	Seeds exposed to NPs suspensions, Leaves sprayed with NPs suspensions at 12 <sup>th</sup> and 16 <sup>th</sup> d	Cicer arietinum	Plant response to cold stress	At 5 ppm conc electrolyte leakage and MDA content ↓	Plant-NPs interaction induces defense mechanisms in plant body which supported plants to cold stress	[77]
24.	P25 (representative of TiO <sub>2</sub> NPs), 29 ± 9 nm	10, 100, 1000 mg/kg of soil	5 d germinated seeds transferred to pots with substrate spiked with NPs	Trifolium pretense, (Lolium pereme used as reference of non- nitrogen fixing plant)	In Trifolium pretense- Biological nitrogen fixation by rhizobia, Root colonization of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF), Number of flowers (flower heads), Dry weight of roots, shoots and total biomass	Plant growth, number of flowers, biological nitrogen fixation and AMF root colonization (-)	-	[78]

(Tab	le 1) cont	-						
S. N.	NP specifications	Range of conc.	Exposure method/ application method	Plant species	Studies endpoints/ parameters	Remarkable effects	Expected mechanism/possible hypothesis	References
25.	1-100 nm	0.01, 0.03% suspensions in DW	<ul> <li>a. Spraying NPs at various</li> <li>vegetative and reproductive stages,</li> <li>b. Spraying NPs and bulk TiO<sub>2</sub> at different conc.</li> </ul>	Zea mays	Chl contents (a and b), Total chl (a + b), Chl a/b, Carotenoids and anthocyanins contents	Amount of pigments- NPs treatment in reproductive stages > treatment of bulk and DW or NPs in vegetative stage	NPs elevated photosynthesis by activating photochemical reaction of crop chloroplasts	[47]
26.	30–50 nm	100, 250, 500, 2500, 5000 μg/mL in DW	Seeds germinated in petri dishes having NPs suspensions	Cucumis sativus	Seed germination rate, Germination index, Root elongation	Seed germination ↓, Germination index ↓, Root elongation ↓,	NPs generated stress in the seeds	[79]
27.	12–15 nm	10 mg/L suspension in DW	NPs suspension foliar sprayed on 14 d old seedlings	Vigna radiata	Phenological and physiological effects	Shoot length ↑, Root length ↑, Root area ↑, Root nodule ↑, Chl content ↑, Total soluble leaf protein ↑, Activites of dehydrogenase, phytase, acid phosphatase and alkaline phosphatase in the rhizosphere ↑	NPs stimulated plant metabolic activities along with rhizospheric enzymes activity and microbial population	[50]
28.	27 ± 4 nm, Surface area 51.5 m²/g, Anatase 82%, Rutile 18%	0, 50, 250, 500, 1000, 2000, 4000 mg/L suspension in modified Hoagland nutrient solution	Plantlets transferred to Mason jars containing NPs suspensions	Cucumis sativus	Root length, Shoot length	Root length ↑, NPs absorbed by roots and transported to aboveground plant parts	NPs stimulated nitrogen accumulation and protein formation which promoted plant root growth eventually	[25]
29.	27 ± 4 nm, Surface area 51.5 m²/g, Anatase 82%, Rutile 18%	0, 250, 500, 750 mg/kg soil	NPs in soil	Cucumis sativus	Macro and microelements accumulation in fruit, Chl Content, Catalase (CAT) and Ascorbate Peroxidase Activity	CAT activity in leaves ↑, P and K ↑, Total chlorophyll content in leaves ↑	NPs stimulated nitrogen accumulation and protein formation which promoted plant root growth eventually.	[48]
30.	< 100 nm,	100, 200, 300 mg/kg of soil	Seedlings grown in NPs containing soil contaminated with Cd (50-150 mg/kg)	Glycine max	Plant biomass, Relative water contents, Chl contents, Protein contents, MDA contents, Accumulation and distribution of Cd (translocation factor)	Plant growth due to Cd stress ↓, Highest accumulation of Cd in roots, On NPs treatment- Cd stress ↓, Photosynthesis rate and growth parameters ↑, Cd uptake ↑	NPs promoted plant growth rate and accumulation of Cd in plant tissues	[80]

```
Patel et al.
```

(Tal	le 1) cont							
S. N.	NP specifications	Range of conc.	Exposure method/ application method	Plant species	Studies endpoints/ parameters	Remarkable effects	Expected mechanism/possible hypothesis	References
31.	Type 1- 27 nm, Aerosol, Anatase:rutile::80:20, Type 2- 10-20 nm, Specific surface area 55 m²/g	Setup 1- 0, 100, 500, 1000, 2500, 5,000 mg/L suspensions in DW, Setup 2- 1,000, 2,500, and 5,000 mg/L suspensions in DW, Setup 3- 100, 1,000, and 5,000 mg/L suspensions in Hoagland solution	1. Seeds were soaked in NPs suspensions for 48 h for germination studies, 2. NPs suspensions added to seedling containing pot soil for pot experiments, 3.NPs suspensions for circular hydroponic system	Brassica campestris, Lactuca sativa, Phaseolus vulgaris	Germination, Root elongation, Uptake, Physiological responses	Seed germination (-), Enzyme activities (- ), Chl content (-), Root elongation ↑	NPs penetrated the seed coat and accumulated inside seed tissues, it showed no toxic effects to plants	[81]
32.	>20 nm, Anatase, 2 fractions of NPs- 28.5 ± 0.5 nm (dominant) and 127 ± 7 nm	100, 250, 500 and 1000 µg/ml suspension in ultrapure sterile water	Seed treated with NPs suspensions for 48 h, then grown in soil for 5 weeks	Arabidopsis thaliana	Germination, Root length, Biomass, Tocochromanol and chl contents, Activities of antioxidant enzymes (SOD and CAT), Lipid peroxidation, Vitamin E (Vit-E) content, Real time analysis of expression of tocopherol biosynthetic genes ( <i>vte1-vte5</i> ) Element analysis	Ti contents ↑, At highest conc. of NPs- Elements conc. ↑ (copper- 2.5-folds, zinc- 2.5 folds, iron- 1.5 folds and manganese- 2 fold), Biomass and chl contents ↓, Root growth ↑, At higher NPs conc antioxidant level and lipid peroxidation ↑, Tocochromanol contents- ↓ for lower treatment conc. ↑ for highest conc., ↑ for lower tested conc. ↑ for 1000 µg/ml treatment conc.	TiO <sub>2</sub> NPs alter gene expression of vit-E which could lead to antioxidant response in Arabidopsis plants, Generated local oxidative stress and enlarged cell wall pores and increased water flow and turgor in roots enhance root elongation eventually, NPs treatments inhibited photosynthesis and generated differences in mineral uptake which cause reduced biomass production.	[82]

(	Tab	le 1) cont							
	S. N.	NP specifications	Range of conc.	Exposure method/ application method	Plant species	Studies endpoints/ parameters	Remarkable effects	Expected mechanism/possible hypothesis	References
	33.	25-70 nm, Elongated, High crystallinity with tetragonal rutile, Hydrodynamic diameters – 261-341 nm, Pristine sample- surface area- 20-40 $m^2/g$ , zeta potential 14.5 $\pm 0.5$ mV, Both hydrophobic and hydropholic NPs- suface area- ~50 $m^2/g$ , zeta potential-+ ~27.0 mV	125, 250, 500, and 750 mg/kg of soil	Cultivated for 65 d in soil amended with unmodified, hydrophobic (coated with aluminum oxide and hydrophilic (coated with aluminum oxide and glycerol) NPs, Hydrophilic particles suspended in Millipore water before mixing with soil, Hydrophobic particles mixed directly with the soil	Ocimum basilicum	Ti and essential elements in tissues, Relative chl content, Carbohydrates, Antioxidant response, Biomass, Water contents	Hydrophobic and hydrophilic NPs- seed germination $\downarrow$ , Unmodified and hydrophobic NPs- shoot biomass $\downarrow$ , At 750 mg/kg, Ti contents in roots- (hydrophobic >hydrophilic >pristine) In shoots (-), All three types of particles affected homeostasis of essential elements, At 500 mg/kg, Unmodified particles-Cu and Fe $\uparrow$ , Hydrophilic particles- Fe $\uparrow$ , Hydrophilic particles- Mn $\uparrow$ but Ca, Cu and P $\downarrow$ , Root elongation $\downarrow$ only by hydrophobic particles, Total sugar $\downarrow$ by all particles, Starch $\downarrow$ by hydrophobic particles, Starch $\downarrow$ by	Although all tested NPs affected plants but the highest impact on its nutritional quality was generated by coated NPs by altering more contents of starch, essential elements and reducing sugars	[83]
	34.	2.8 ± 1.4 nm, Molarity 9.6 mM	2 μM suspension in phosphate buffer	Seedlings partially submerged to suspension of NPs	Arabidopsis thaliana	Microtubular (MT) network	26S proteasome dependent degradation of tubulin monomers ↑, Reorganization and elimination of MTs, Isotropic growth of root cells	NPs treatment causes MT disruption by generating ROS (indirectly) or by interacting with tubulin (directly)	[53]

```
Patel et al.
```

S. N.	NP specifications	Range of conc.	Exposure method/ application method	Plant species	Studies endpoints/ parameters	Remarkable effects	Expected mechanism/possible hypothesis	References
35.	20 nm, 293 ± 17 nm (in DI water),	0, 100, 250 or 500 mg/L of Hoagland nutrient solution	7 d seedlings exposed to NPs containing medium for 14 d	Oryza satīva	Biomass, Absorption and transportation of NPs in roots, stems and leaves, Antioxidant enzyme activities- catalase, peroxidase, superoxide dismutase, MDA contents, Metabolic profile	Biomass ↓, NPs gathered on root surface, subsequently absorbed and accumulated by root cells, Small amount of NPs transported through stems, Antioxidant defense system ↑, POD activity ↓, CAT (leaves) and SOD (roots and leaves) earlier ↑ later ↓, CAT (root) (-), Accumulation of Ti ↑ (root > leaves), 105 identified metabolites exhibited significant difference from control, Conc. of glucose- -phosphate, glucose- i-phosphate, glucose- i-phosphate, glucose- i-phosphate, succinic acid ↑ (most), Conc. of sucrose, isomaltulose, and glyoxylic acid ↓ (most), Tricarboxylic acid cycle and pentose phosphate pathway ↑, Starch and sucrose metabolism, and glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism ↓, Biosynthesis of most of the identified fatty acids, amino acids and secondary metabolites ↑.	Epidermal cells absorbed NPs where they remain stuck to the root surface even after washing thus higher contents in roots over the leaves were observed, NPs treatment disturbed the metabolism of rice plants distinctly and showed mixed effects on their yield and quality.	[84]

(↑= increased, ↓=decreased, (-)= not significantly affected, DW= distilled water, DDW= double distilled water, DIW= deionized water, MS= Murashige and Skoog, Chl= chlorophyll, h= hours, D=days).

## Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications 57

<b>S.</b> 1	N. NP specifications	Range of conc.	Exposure method/ application method	Plant species	Studied endpoints/ parameters	Remarkable effects	Expected mechanism/ possible hypothesis	References
1	<50 nm, Round shaped, Smooth surface	3, 10, 30 and 300 mg Cu/kg soil	By mixing in Sand	Triticum aestivum	Root morphology	Root elongation ↓, Root hair proliferation, Shortening of zones of the division and elongation.	NPs released Cu ions in rhizosphere, which modified IAA distribution causing root shortening and by allowing Nitric oxide (NO) cell signaling Cu ions promoted root hair proliferation.	[55]
2	47 nm, Crystalline nature,	50, 100, 200 and 400 mg/L of ½ strength Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium for germination experiments, 2.5, 5 and 10 mg/L of MS medium for callus induction	For seed germination experiments- Seeds exposed to ½ strength MS medium containg NPs for 15 d, For callus induction experiment- Seeds transferred on MS medium for 15 d and then stem and leaf explants inoculated on MS medium for 30 d	Trigonella foenum-graecum	Seed germination, Root and shoot length, Fresh and dry weight, Callus induction, Total Flavonoid content (TFC), Total Phenolic content (TPC), Total Antioxidant capacity (TAC), Total Reducing power (TRP), DPPH free Radical scavenging assay	Seed germination (-), Maximum root length, shoot length, fresh weight and dry weight at 50 mg/L then 1, Fresh weight and dry weight of callus 1, Presence of flavonoids and phenolics in the fresh weight extracts of treated plants $\uparrow$ , Optimum cone. for growth considered- 50 mg/L (after this cone. toxicity occurs)	Protective nature and selective permeability of seed coat makes seed germination unaffected against treatment. Released Cu ions from NPs generated oxidative stress and interfreed with normal growth of plants, which activated their defense system.	[85]
3	. <100 nm	10, 100, 500, and 1000 mg/L suspension in ultrapure water	Pre soaked seeds (in ultrapure water) exposed to 8 mL of NPs suspension in Petriplate	Raphanus sativus, Lolium perenne, Lolium rigidum	Germination, Root and shoot elongation, Uptake of Cu by plants, DNA damage studies	Plant growth (all species) ↓, Induction DNA damage by accumulation of oxidatively modified, mutagenic DNA lesions (7,8-dihydro-8-oxoguanine; 2,6-diamino- 4-hydroxy-5-for-amidopyrimidine; 4,6- diamino-5-formamidopyrimidine)	Nature of CuO NPs is oxidative thus they withdraw electrons from various biomolecules within plant cells and transfer those electrons to other biomolecules ( <i>i.e.</i> reducing agents), NPs promote damage to plant DNA due to direct redox interactions.	[56]
4	. 50–60 nm	0.5–5.0 mg/ml suspension in water	Buffer of enzyme assay mixture replaced by equal volume of NPs suspension	Hordeum vulgare	In vitro effect on activity and stability of Barley Oxalate oxidase (OxO)	Adsorption of enzyme on surface of NPs, NPs-bound enzyme activity (-)	-	[86]

Table 2. Summary of the important studies (after year 2010) on terrestrial plant species exposed against CuO NPs.

Patel et al.

Table 2) cont										
S.N.	NP specifications	Range of conc.	Exposure method/ application method	Plant species	Studied endpoints/ parameters	Remarkable effects	Expected mechanism/ possible hypothesis	References		
5.	<50 nm	2.5, 10, 50, 100, and 1,000 mg/ L Suspension in Hoagland's solution	Pre-soaked (water) seeds treated with NPs suspension in petriplates for 6 d, Grown seedlings transferred to Hoagland's solution amended with NPs	Oryza sativa	Germination rate, Root length, Shoot length, Biomass, Photo-synthetic rate, Transpiration rate, Stomatal conductance, Maximal quantum yield of PSII photochemistry, Photosynthetic pigment, Oxidative and osmotic stress contents- MDA, Proline and ascorbate, Expression of antioxidant enzymes- APX and SOD	Germination rate, root and shoot length ↓, Biomass (at higher conc.) ↓, Uptake of Cu (in roots and shoots) with increasing conc. of NPs ↑, Accumulated in chloroplasts, No. of thylakoids per granum and size and no. of stomata ↓, Size and no. of trichomes; MDA, proline and ascorbate contents; and Expression of APX and SOD↑, At 1000 mg/L conc Photosynthetic rate, transpiration rate, stomatal conductance, the maximal quantum yield of PSII photochemistry and photosynthetic pigment contents ↓	Very small quantity of NPs transported from roots to shoots hence exerted more toxicity in roots. Released Cu accumulated in shoot and root issues and reduced their length effectively. Damaged roots affect water uptake negatively thus reducing number of stomata and net photosynthesis. Reduced amount of PSII-LHCII complexes affects ultrastructural organization and light harvesting thylakoids.	[87]		
6.	<50 nm	500 mg/Kg of soil	NPs added in soil	Triticum aestivum	Root length, Shoot length, Plant biomass, Bioaccumulation of metal, Lipid peroxidation, Chl contents, POD and CAT activities	Root and shoot length and Chl contents ↓, Number of roots, plant biomass, bioaccumulation, lipid peroxidation and activities of POD and CAT activities ↑, Glutathione oxidized	Dissolved Cu released from CuO NPs generated phytotoxicity and in turn altered behavior of plants.	[57]		
7.	<50 nm, Round shaped, Smooth surface	500 mg/Kg of soil	NPs added in soil	Triticum aestivum	Root length	Root length $\downarrow$	Cu ions released from CuO NPs reduced root length	[3]		
8.	<50 nm, Round shaped, Smooth surface	100, 250, 500 mg/kg of soil	NPs added in soil	Phaseolus vulgaris	Root growth, shoot growth, Conc. of other metals, Activities of ferric reductase and cupric reductase	At 250 and 500 mg/kg conc Root and shoot growth ↓, Mn, Zn and Ca in shoot ↓, Na in shoot ↑, Mg and K levels (-), Ferric reductase activity ↓, Cupric reductase activity ↑	Increased accumulation of Cu in shoots impaired the growth, NPs exposure altered activities of root metal reductases contributing to altered nutrient levels and growth inhibition	[88]		
9.	10 -100 nm	0, 5, 10and 20 mg/L suspension in modified Hoagland's medium	Young plants grown for 10 d in modified Hoagland's medium, then transferred to modified Hoagland's medium amended with NPs	Lactuca sativa, Medicago sativa	Seed germination, Root length, Shoot length, CAT and APX activity	At 20 mg/L conc Shoot browning, Root length ↓, Iron content in roots and shoots ↓, Phosphorus content in roots of both plants ↓, Uptake and accumulation (with increasing concentrations) in Lettuce ↑, CAT activity- In lettuce (-), In affalfa ↓, APX activity ↑	Different effects on plant growth due to differences in Cu accumulation and ROS generation. Uptake and translocation of Cu depend on copper compound and plant species (smaller size, greater uptake)	[89]		
10.	40–100 nm, Irregular shape, Crystalline monoclinic cubic cuprous oxides	0.1, 1.0, 10, 100 and 1000 mg/L of MS medium	Seeds cultured on plain MS medium for 4 weeks, Then axillary shoot nodes excised and incubated in media with different conc. of NPs for 4 weeks	Stevia rebaudiana	Shoot length, Shoot organogenesis, Mean number of shoot per explants, Fresh weight, Analysis of steviol glycosides, Antioxidant assays- total phenolic content, total antioxidant capacity, total reducing power, DPPH free radical scavenging activity	Shoot length, mean no. of shoots per explant, fresh weight and production of steviol glycosides ↑ till 10 mg/L but after that cone. ↓, Total phenolic contents, total flavonoid content, total antioxidant capacity, total reducing power, % DPPH inhibition ↑ at 10 mg/L and least amount observed at 1000 mg/L	CuO NPs work as a stimulator in production of bioactive components and can be employed in <i>in vitro</i> batch cultures, Cuo NPs into MS medium lead to oxidative stress hence increased antioxidant activities and secondary metabolites.	[90]		

Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications 59

Œ	(Table 2) cont										
s	.N.	NP specifications	Range of conc.	Exposure method/ application method	Plant species	Studied endpoints/ parameters	Remarkable effects	Expected mechanism/ possible hypothesis	References		
1	1.	360 - 400 nm	125 and 625 μM in Hoagland's solution	After 4 weeks of culture, seedlings exposed NPs by adding them in Hoagland's nutrient solution	Arabidopsis thaliana	Fresh weight, Total chl content, Anthocyanin content, SOD and CAT activities, MDA contents, Gene expression study of APX gene	Fresh weight and chlorophyll content ↓, After 2 d- anthocyanin content and SOD and CAT activity ↑ then after 4 d ↓, MDA content ↑, During 2 d exposure- Up-regulation of APX1, APX3 and APX4 and no difference observed in APX2, APX5 or APX6 transcription, During 4 d exposure- down-regulation of APX1-6, After 4 d exposure- plant become bright yellow	Initial increase and then after 4 days exposure, a decrease in CAT activity might be due to initiation of adaptive response in plants to manage the overproduction of H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> at early stages of growth and then recover to normal conditions after stress.	[91]		
1	2.	50 nm	0, 10, 50, 100, 500, and 1,000 mg/L suspension in ½ strength Hoagland's media	4 week old Soil grown seedlings transferred to ½ strength Hoagland's medium amended with NPs	Cumumis sativus	Biomass, Bioaccumulation, ROS enzymes activities-SOD, CAT and POD	At 1000 mg/L conc Biomass ↓, Bioaccumulation (dose dependent) ↑, SOD,CAT and POD activities ↑	With increasing conc. of NPs, more NPs crossed cell membrane and agglomerated, either with themselves or with other cellular materials within cells.	[32]		
1	3.	$\begin{array}{l} 65 \pm 2.45 \text{ nm},\\ \text{Specific}\\ \text{surface area-}\\ 14 \text{ m}^2/\text{g},\\ \text{Average}\\ \text{hydrodynamic}\\ \text{radius-} 139 \pm\\ 16.2 \text{ nm},\\ \text{Zeta potential-}\\ 47 \pm 0.1 \text{ mV} \end{array}$	0.8 to 63.5 g/L of DW	Seeds treated with NPs. on 3 <sup>rd</sup> d, seedlings added to 5 ml of suspensions of NPs	Triticum vulgare	Cell viability (WST and Evans Blue test), Cu Contents, Contents of individual reactive oxygen species, Degree of DNA fragmentation <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i>	At higher conc small and significant effect on viability, Number of dead cells in seedlings with Evans Blue ↑, Total pool of ROS in roots ↑, 3.2 to 63.5 g/L conc. leads to DNA fragmentation and fragments less than 3000 bp ↑ (51.4–62.8%)	CuO NPs enter poorly into plant due to agglomeration in suspension medium or aggregation on rough surface of seeds and roots. NPs may accumulate in plant in ion form	[92]		
1	4.	<50 nm, Surface area- 29 m²/g	2, 4 and 10 mg/L of cultivation medium	Seedlings grown in 25% Hoaglands solution for 6 weeks, then cultivated in NPs containing medium	Arabidopsis thaliana	Weight of plant, Rosettes growth, Root transcriptome analysis	Rosette growth ↓, Upregulated genes- 111, Downregulated genes- 62	More solubility of ENPs than bulk particles, resulting in up-regulation of metallochaperone-like genes or down- regulation of aquaporins and metal Transmembrane transporters (also characteristic for ionic Cu <sup>2</sup> exposure)	[93]		
1	5.	<50 nm, Surface area 29 m²/g	10, 100 and 1000 mg/L Suspension in cultivation media	Seeds sown on filter papers in petriplates and cultivation media amended with NPs	Sinapis alba	Germination, Root length, Accumulation of NPs	Germination and root elongation (dose dependent) ↓, Accumulation of metal ions within seedlings	Toxicity created by ionic Cu released from CuO NPs	[33]		
1	6.	30 ± 10 nm, Zeta potential 0.416 mV, Zeta average diameter 388.2 nm, Spherical shaped	0, 10, 200 and 1,000 mg/L suspension in DI water	Plants grown in nutrient solution for 4 d before exposure to different conc. of NPs,	Gossypium hirsutum (Used two types of cotton- Transgenic cotton-B29317, Conventional cotton- Jihe 321)	Biomass, Plant height, Root length, Nutrient contents, Hormone conc. (IAA, ABA, t-ZR, GA), Bt-toxin expression, Cu uptake and distribution	Growth, development, nutrient content, IAA and ABA cone. of transgenic and conventional cotton ↓. In conventional cotton leaves- NPs aggregated on epidermis, In transgenic cotton leaves- NPs reached into cells by endocytosis, Most NPs aggregates found on root outer epidermis, rest located in intercellular spaces of both conventional and Br-transgenic cottons, Expression of exogenous gene encoding of Bt toxin protein in leaves and roots ↑	At certain treatment conc. increase in biomass suggests an optimal dose limit for the growth of both types of cottons, beyond which CuO NPs behaved toxic, Uptake of different nutrients in different type of cotton differently affected by CuO NP exposure on same treatments	[34]		
#### 60 Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications (Table 2) cont....

Patel et al.

1 401	<i>c 2) com</i>							
S.N.	NP specifications	Range of conc.	Exposure method/ application method	Plant species	Studied endpoints/ parameters	Remarkable effects	Expected mechanism/ possible hypothesis	References
17.	<50 nm, Nearly spherical shape, Surface area 29 m²/g	0, 50,500, 2,000 and 4,000 mg/ L suspension in ½ strength Hoagland's solutions	5 ml test solution added to filter paper in petriplates and seeds sown on it	Fagopyrum esculentum	Root growth, Root morphological features, Biomass, Localization of NPs, Genotoxic effects using RAPD	At 4000 mg/L conc Root length ↓, Biomass ↓, Root tip morphology changed, Number of hairs ↓, Genotoxic effects observed, Total number of bands↑	High conc. of NPs caused damaging effects on genomic DNA altering gene expression levels, Changed root tip morphology observed due to alterations in structure of cellular cytoskeleton	[94]
18.	-	0, 100,200, 400 and 600 mg/L suspension in DW	Seeds soaked in NPs suspension for 6h, then transferred to test solution containing filter paper in petriplates	Cucumis sativus	Seed germination, Root elongation, Discovering biomarker for phytotoxicity analysis (through SELDI-TOF MS analysis)	Germination↓, Root elongation↓, 9 different proteins in CuO NPs treated seeds (in comparison to bulk and control), For figuring out CuO NPs generated phytotoxicity a 5977-m/z protein was the most apparent	Negative effect on seed development due to clogging of root opening and inhibition of hydraulic and nutrient uptake in roots	[95]
19.	<50 nm, Surface area 29 m²/g	0, 0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50 and 100 mg/L suspension in ½ strength MS medium	Seeds sown on NP amended ½ strength MS medium	Arabidopsis thaliana	Germination, Root elongation, Plant biomass, Chl content, Anthocyanin content, Lipid peroxidation, Proline content, Lignin deposition, Antioxidant assay, Study of oxidative stress related genes	Germination (-), Root elongation, plant biomass, Chl contents ↓, Retarded primary root growth, Lateral root formation ↑, Loss of root gravitropism, Anthocyanin content, lipid peroxidation, proline content, lignin Deposition, SOD activity, H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> formation ↑, Induction of genes related to oxidative stress responses, sulfur assimilation, glutathione and proline biosynthesis	Due to the seed coat protection seed germination was unaffected, Hormonal imbalance changed root system architecture, Death of cells of lateral root apex, induction of P5CS genes and increased accumulation of proline observed due to excess ROS generation by NPs generation by NPs stress, NPs might be translocated through the vascular tissues and their dissolved Cu ions could have resulted in lignifications of vascular tissues.	[96]
20.	<50 nm, Surface area 29 m²/g	0, 50, 100, 200, 400 and 500 mg/L suspension in ½ strength MS medium	Petriplate germinated seeds transferred to ½ strength MS medium amended with NPs	Glycine max	Shoot and root development, Total chl content, H,O, generation, POD activity, Lignification of root cells, mRNA expression of genes - Lignin biosynthesis viz. phenyl alanine ammonialyase (PAL), Cinnamate 4- hydroxylase (C4H), Cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase (CAD), Peroxidase 2 (POD2), Peroxidase 7 (POD7)	Germination, shoot growth and weight, total chl content ↓, H;O2 level, POD activity, lignin contents of roots, ROS generation 1, Upregulated genes – PAL,C4H and CAD (at 100, 200 and 400 mg/L), POD2 and POD4 (at 100, 200, 400, and 500 mg/L), POD7 (at 200, 400, and 500 mg/L)	Excess Cu in soybean roots leading to inhibited mineral mutrient uptake, deteriorating effects on plant growth and development and total chl content, Higher expression of genes PAL, C4H and CAD due to less toxicity at lower conc., Upregulated anionic POD enzymes, which might be involved in the final stages of lignification process in plants and degradation of auxins leading to rearded root growth.	[97]
21.	43 ±9 nm, Spherical, Hydrodynamic diameter- 240±23 nm, Specific surface area- 131 m²/g	50, 100, 500, and 1000 mg/kg of soil (dry mass/air- dried soil mass)	2 weeks grown uniform seedlings transplanted in NPs amended soil	Oryza sativa	Root and Shoot Length, Fresh and dry weight, Water content, Number of filled and unfilled grains, Cu contents	Root length, shoot length and plant biomass ↓, Water content ↓ (in shoots at seedling stage and in roots at tillering stage), At higher conc grain yield and fresh weight ↓, At 500 mg/kg conc weights and numbers of filled grains < unfilled grains, Cu content ↑	NPs found to be translocated from soil to plant (especially to chaff) and promoted the Cu accumulation in aleurone layer of rice, but could not reach polished rice.	[98]

(Tab	le 2) cont							
S.N.	NP specifications	Range of conc.	Exposure method/ application method	Plant species	Studied endpoints/ parameters	Remarkable effects	Expected mechanism/ possible hypothesis	References
22.	43 nm, Ellipsoidal or spherical, Surface area 131 m²/g	10 and 100 mg/L suspension in Milli-Q water	2 days Milli-Q water soaked germinated seeds sown onto filter papers moistened with 5mL NPs containing test solutions	Oryza Sativa	Root elongation, ROS detection, Lipid peroxidation, Mitochondrial membrane potential, Cell viability, Membrane integrity	Root elongation ↓, MDA content, lipid peroxidation ↑, Aberrations in root morphology and ultrastructure, Losses of cell viability and membrane integrity, Depolarization of mitochondrial membrane, Programmed cell death	Significantly higher production of ROS in the roots.	[99]
23.	<50 nm	0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 mM suspension in DDW	NPs suspensions added to cotton pads	Oryza sativa	Seedling growth, Modulation of Ascorbate-glutathione cycle, Membrane damage, Produced <i>In vivo</i> ROS, Foliar H <sub>2</sub> O, and proline accumulation	Seed germination percentage and leaf carotenoids ↓, Loss of root cells viability, Foliar MDA level, proline contents, GR activity and GSH/GSSG ratio ↑, At 1.0 and 1.5 mM conc APX activity ↑	Severe oxidative burst under NPs treatment stress, Oxidative damage to lipid membranes	[100]
24.	<50 nm	0.5, 1 and 1.5mM suspension in ½ MS medium	Water soaked seeds were placed on cotton pads with NPs suspensions	Hordeum vulgare	In vivo ROS detection, Root cell viability, Chi fluorescence, Chi and epidermal flavonols contents, H.O., measurement, MDA conc., Antioxidant enzymes assays-APX, SOD,GR, DHAR, MDAR, Foliar ascorbate and glutathione contents	Shoot and root growth (dose dependent), activities of DHAR and MDAR and GSH/GSSG ratio ↓, Maximal quantum yield of PS II photosynthetic apparatus (Fv/Fm) (-), Flavonol level, APX activity and H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> amount ↑, In 1.0 and 1.5 mM CuO NPs treated leaves-GR activity ↑	NPs induced oxidative burst, ROS/antioxidant imbalance and high membrane damages, Elevated APX activity and flavonol level not enough to decompose excess H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> produced under NP-stress, causing dark brown spots on leaves	[101]
25.	50 nm	10, 100 and 1000 mg/L suspension in DI water	Suspension of NPs provided <i>via</i> irrigation	Spinacia oleracea	Root and shoot length, Root and shoot weight, Chl and carotenoids contents	At 1000 mg/L conc Root length, shoot length, total weight and Chl and carotenoids contents ↓, At 10 mg/L conc nontoxie	Inhibition of growth at higher conc. attributed to aggregation properties of NPs, blocking pores present on root surface.	[102]
26.	20-30 nm	10 and 20 mg/L Suspension in Millipore water	Seedling grown in organic soil (initially) and Hoagland nutrient solution (1 week), lastly in NPs suspensions (15 d)	Lactuca sativa	Physiological parameters, Nutritional quality, Chl content, Activities of catalase and ascorbate peroxidase	Water content, root length, dry biomass and APX activity ↓, CAT activity ↑, Accumulation of cu in roots, In NPs-tracted plants- Cu, AI and S ↑, Mn, P, Ca, and Mg↓	Plant exposure to Cu materials (bulk and oxide NPs) altered their capacity to absorb and transport some nutrients.	[103]

Patel et al.

1 1 1 1 1	e 2) cont							
S.N.	NP specifications	Range of conc.	Exposure method/ application method	Plant species	Studied endpoints/ parameters	Remarkable effects	Expected mechanism/ possible hypothesis	References
27.	20–40 nm	2,5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 100 mg/ L suspension in nutrient solution	Seedlings grown by soaked (in NPs suspension) seeds in Petri-plates (having 5 ml NPs suspension), then transferred to nutrient solution without NPs, After emergence of third leaf shifted to NPs amended nutrient solution	Zea mays	Seed germination, Root elongation, Root morphology, Xylem and phloem based transport	At 100 mg/L conc Seed germination (-) Seedling growth, root elongation, root and shoot biomass ↓. NPs transported from roots to shoots via xylem, NPs could translocate from shoots to roots back via phloem	Phytotoxic effects on root clongation observed because after penetration of seed coats, emerging radicles rapidly absorb nutrients and water, thus maximizing NPs exposure. NPs treatment reduced water uptake and hydraulic conductivity in roots, hence decreasing plant biomass	[31]
28.	30-50 nm	13, 398and 228 mg/L suspension in DI water	Soaked seed (in NPs suspension for 2 h) grown in Petri plates having NPs suspension (5ml)	Lactuca sativa, Raphanus sativus, Cucumis sativus	Seed germination, Root elongation	Seed germination ↓, Germination of lettuce seeds more seriously inhibited than larger sized seeds (radish and cucumber seeds)	Phytotoxicity of MO NPs not only due to their dissolved metal ions, but also due to their interactions with the seed/root surface.	[104]
29.	40-60 nm, Specific area- 12.55 m <sup>2</sup> /g	10 and 250 mg per plant (simulate aerial deposition and pollution of NPs)	Adaxial surface of leaves were treated using applicator brush	Brassica oleracea Used two varictics- Capitata L., Sativa L. ev. batavia blonde dorée	Accumulation of metal in tissues, Copper speciation in leaf, Fresh and Dry weight of shoots and roots, Water content, Net photosynthesis, Stomatal conductance	After 15 days of exposure- foliar Cu uptake ↑, At 250 mg- dry weight ↓, Water content and photosynthetic activity ↓, Formation of necrotic in Cu rich areas near deformed stomata	CuO-NPs may accumulate as CuO in the exposed leaves and partially transformed as Cu(1) – organic complexes in plant tissues. The presence of CuO- NPs generates expression of two genes, involved in the regulation of root growth and ROS (oxidative stress)	[105]
30.	53 nm	500,1000, 1500 mg/L of agar media, For <i>in vitro</i> studies- 0, 1, 5, 10 and 20 mg/L of Basal MS media	Seeds germinated on NPs containing media, For <i>in vitro</i> studies- Seeds germinated on plain agar media and 14 d old seedlings used for explants (leaves and stem)	Brassica nigra	Seed germination, Seedling length, Fresh and dry weight, Total phenolic and flavonoid Contents, Total Antioxidant Capacity (TAC), Total Reducing Power (TRP), Free radical scavenging potential of seedlings and callus	Seed germination, plant length and fresh and dry weight ↓, Total Flavonoids and phenolics ↑ (till 500 mg/L) and then ↓ (at 1000 mg/L) and again ↑ (at 1500 mg/L), TRP (at 500 and 1000 mg/L) ↓ and then ↑ (at 1500 mg/L), DPPH Free Radical Scavenging Activity ↑, At 20 mg/L- direct root emergence from explants callus	Smaller NPs penetrate and destine at seed embryo that reduce seed germination efficiency, Variation in seed germination efficiency at the 3rd and the 5th day of inoculation depicts that the penetrated NPs did not damage the embryo but delayed the process of germination.	[106]
31.	25 to 80 nm, APS- 55 nm, Specific surface area- 23.9 m <sup>2</sup> /g	5, 10, 50, 100 and 200 mg/L suspensions in agarose medium	NPs dispersed in agarose medium	Triticum aestivum	Optimizing the methods to distinguish and quantify the adsorption and uptake of NPs on wheat root	Most NPs adsorbed on root surface and some adhered mechanically, NPs uptake ↑ (dose dependent), Absorption/adsorption ratio ↑ then ↓	At low conc. of NPs- adsorbed NPs at root surface easily transported to cells At a higher conc. of NPs- NPs absorbed on root surface and only part of them further transferred into cell	[30]

(↑= increased, ↓=decreased, (-)= not significantly affected, DW= distilled water, DDW= double distilled water, DIW= deionized water, MS= Murashige and Skoog, Chl= chlorophyll, h= hours, D=days)

S. N.	NP specifications	Range of conc.	Exposure method/ application method	Plant species	Studied endpoints/ parameters	Remarkable effects	Expected mechanisms/ possible hypothesis	Referances
1.	< 100 nm	25, 50 mg Zn/g seeds	Coating of seeds with NPs, Stable suspensions of NPs in ethyl alcohol (having 2% crude pine oleoresin as binding agent)	Zea mays, Glycine max, Cajanas cajan, Abelmoschus esculentus	Chl estimation, Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) auxin contents	Germination percentage, chl content, IAA contents and plant growth ↑	Total requirement of Zn of the crop can be fulfilled by coating seeds with NPs as plants take up Zn from ZnO NPs through seed coating, here NPs inhibited bacterial and fungal infections also of seeds	[107]
2.	< 50 nm, Surface area > 10.8 m2/g	50, 100, 200, 500, and 1000 μ g/ml suspension in DDW	2-3 cm long roots exposed to suspension/solutions of ZnO-NPs, ZnO- Bulk and Zn <sup>2+</sup> ions for 12 h	Allium cepa	Root length, Analysis of mitosis, Cell viability and chromosomal aberrations in root cells, Lipid peroxidation, Antioxidant enzymes/ROS, Mitochondrial membrane potential	NPs present on outer and inner surfaces of cell and nuclear membrane and intracellular cell junctions, plasmodesmata, ROS production and genotoxicity or frequency of chromosomal aberrations (%) ↑, Mitotic Index (%) ↓, (Chromosomal aberrations observed- irregular prophase with vacuolated nucleus, during metaphase disorientation and stickiness, multipolar anaphase and drifted chromosomes, chromosome bridges with lag) Disintegrated root cells and ruptured root surface with spikes of root tissues	NPs penetrated into tissues and induced oxidative imbalance by producing ROS, leading to genotoxic and mito-depressive effects.	[108]
3.	< 30 nm	1.5 and 10 ppm aqueous suspensions	NPs suspensions sprayed foliarly	Cicer arietinum	Root/ shoot length, Biomass accumulation, Relative water contents, MDA assay, SOD and POD activity	At 1.5 ppm conc Shoot dry weight ↑, At 10 ppm concRoot growth ↓, Biomass accumulation ↑, MDA content ↓, SOD and POD activity ↓	Low level of ROS resulted in reduced lipid peroxidation.	[60]
4.	< 100 nm, Rhomboid initially (in water) to elongated rods (in aqueous phase of sand matrix)	500 mg NPs/ kg sand	NPs mixed with sand matrix	Triticum aestivum	Root/ shoot length, No of roots originated from shoot, MDA, POD, CAT, IAA and chl contents, Glutathione oxidation, Accumulation and speciation of Zn in plant body	Shoot length (-), Root growth and chl contents ↓, Zn as Zn-phosphate detected in shoots, Lipid peroxidation, oxidized glutathione, POD and CAT activities (in roots) ↑, IAA oxidase activity and MDA content ↑	Released Zn from NPs increased production of ROS in cells eventually inducing oxidative stress	57]

Table 3. Summary of the important studies (after year 2010) on terrestrial plant species exposed against ZnO NPs.

64 Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications

```
Patel et al.
```

S. N.	NP specifications	Range of conc.	Exposure method/ application method	Plant species	Studied endpoints/ parameters	Remarkable effects	Expected mechanisms/ possible hypothesis	Referances
5.	< 100 nm	500 mg/ kg sand	NPs mixed with sand matrix	Triticum aestivum	Fate of ZnO NPs in plant environment, Root phytotoxicity,	Root length ↓, Root surfaces of plants whiter than control, Accumulations of Zn-phosphate species in shoots, Dissolution and aggregation of NPs altered by various factors from plant and soil	Smaller particle size of NPs generates more toxicity into exposed roots.	[10]
6.	< 100 nm, Slab like, Surface area 15–25 m2/g	5g NPs/110 kg soil	Seeds sown in spiked soil (after aging for 2 months; reflect field conditions), as 100 seeds per lysimeter	Triticum aestivum	Contents of Zn, Biomass, Soil enzyme activity	Biomass ↓, Dissolution of NPs in soil enhances uptake of Zn by plant having toxic effects, Soil protease, peroxidase and catalase activities ↓, Urease activity (-)	Heavy metals interact with sulfydryl groups, producing metal-sulfide equivalents and hence inhibit enzyme activities.	[58]
7.	20 nm	2 g/L	Foliar spray of NPs suspension on osmotically shocked plants	<i>Triticum</i> <i>aestivum</i> (var. Tajan and Kavir)	Plant height, leaf area, Chl contents, Shoot/root dry weight,	Plant height, leaf area, shoot dry weight and chl contents ↑, Root dry weight (-)	Positive effects of Zn on metabolism, photosynthesis and other biological activities promote vegetative growth of plants.	[109]
8.	<100 nm	3, 20 and 225 mg Zn/kg soils, Calcarcous soil (pH 8.3), Acidic soil (pH 5.4)	Plants grown in soil having NPs for 90 d	Phaseolus vulgaris, Solanum lycopersicon	Zn accumulation, Photosynthetic pigments, Protein contents, APX activity, GPOD activity, CAT activity, ROS level, MDA contents	Accumulated Zn- Acidic soil > calcareous soil, ROS production ↑, Lethal effects- Acidic soil > calcareous soil- photosynthetic pigments ↑, Acidic soil- photosynthetic pigments for Bean ↓ and for Tomato (-), Protein level ↑ (calcareous > acidic soil)	Influence of NPs on biomarkers of oxidative stress greatly depends on exposure time, soil pH and plant species, Activity of free ions from ZnO NPs can induce toxicity, Increase in available ionic Zn (by definite addition) makes calcareous soil improved for plant growth by reducing its Zn- deficiency	[110]
9.	50-100nm, Rod shaped, spherical, or hexagonal, Mostly clustered, Surface particulate and crystalline	5, 10, and 20 g/ml suspension in DI water	Hydroponic culture, Root exposure	Allium cepa	Root elongation, Root morphology, Cell morphology, Adsorption potential	Root elongation ↓, At 20 g/ml conc Almost no growth, Dehydrated root system, Damage normal morphology of root	NPs enter into onion roots radially and become accumulated in modules of chromosome and cell severely.	[59]

(Ta	able 3) cont										
S. N.	NP specifications	Range of conc.	Exposure method/ application method	Plant species	Studied endpoints/ parameters	Remarkable effects	Expected mechanisms/ possible hypothesis	Referances			
10	10 nm	0, 500, 1000, 2000, and 4000 mg/L Suspensions prepared in Hoagland modified nutrient solution	Hydroponic culture in NPs containing Hoagland modified nutrient solution	Prosopis juliflora	Zn conc. in root, stem and leaves, Transformation of NPs, Distribution of Zn in tissues, Activity of APOX and CAT	Zn in vascular system ↑, Conc. of Zn in tissues- Root > Stem > leaf, At 2000 mg/L conc APOX (in stems and leaves) and CAT (in roots, stems, and leaves) ↑, NPs not observed in tissues, Zn present as Zn(II), similar to Zn(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> , Wilting, stunting, chlorosis or necrosis not observed	This plant is tolerant against ZnO NPs and their released Zn ions at some extent.	[111]			
11	10 nm	500 mg/kg of soil	NPs mixed with soil matrix	Glycine max	Accumulation, Speciation of NPs	NPs not present and accumulated in tissues and grains, but Zn present in grain Plant translocate the form of O-bound Zn which resembles with Zn-citrate, Conc. of Zn in nodule epidermis and outer pod Most of Zn found in the phloem	Phytochelatins are the identified indicators of toxicity generated by heavy metasl in plants thus their biosynthesis can also be induced by NPs and released metal ions	[36]			
12	34 nm, Hexagonal wurtzite structure	0, 0.1, 1.0, 10, 100 or 1000 mg NPs/L of MS medium containing 3% (w/v) sucrose without any plant growth regulators	Shoot nodes (cut out from 4 weeks old plant) incubated in MS medium having NPs for 4 weeks	Stevia rebaudiana	Growth parameters, Shoot formation %, Shoot length, Fresh weight of produced shoots ( <i>in</i> <i>vitro</i> ), Number of leaves, Antioxidant activities, Production of Steviol glycosides (rebaudioside A and stevioside)	At 1 mg/L conc. of NPs- shoot formation % (89.6) highest, steviol glycosides † (~ 2 × control), ROS production, DPPH scavenging activity, total reducing power, total antioxidant capacity, total phenolic and flavonoid contents ↑, Above 1 mg /L conc. of NPs- Physiological parameters, formation of secondary metabolites, antioxidant activities ↓, At 1000 mg/L conc. of NPs- Phytotoxicity maximum	Addition of NPs into MS medium released free radicals or ions of related metal resulting in oxidative stress.	[90]			
13	50 nm, Nearly spherical shape, Aggregated highly	2000 mg/kg of soil	NPs mixed with pot soils	Cucumis sativus	Root and shoot length, Biomass, Bioaccumulation, Soil dehydrogenase, Acid phosphatase, β-glucosidase	Root length, shoot length and biomass (-), Zn conc. in plants tissues and soil ↑, Activity of each tested enzymes ↓	Immobilisation and aggregation of NPs occur in the soil.	[112]			

66 Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications

```
Patel et al.
```

S. N.	NP specifications	Range of conc.	Exposure method/ application method	Plant species	Studied endpoints/ parameters	Remarkable effects	Expected mechanisms/ possible hypothesis	Referances
14.	<100 nm	25, 50, 75, and 100 g/ml in milli-Q water	Hydroponic culture, Root exposed for 4 h	Allium cepa	Cytogenetic and genotoxic effects, Mitotic index (MI), Lipid peroxidation (in cells of root), Chromosomal aberration index, Micronuclei index (MN index)	Internalization of ZnO NPs like particles, With increasing conc. of NPs-No. of pycnotic cells, indices of chromosomal aberrations and MN ↑, MI ↓	Internal physicochemical environment of cell could induce agglomeration of internalized NPs, These NPs can stimulate cytotoxic and genotoxic/clastogenic effects in exposed cells	[113]
15.	< 100 nm, Surface area 15–25 m2/g	100 mg/L in liquid MS media	Seedlings grown in MS medium for 3 weeks, then moved onto rafts in Magenta Boxes having 50 mL of liquid MS media with NPs, 7d exposure period	Arabidopsis thaliana	Gene expression in plant roots, Biomass	Plant biomass ↓, 660 up- and 826 down-regulated genes, Up-regulated genes, ontology groups related with stress responsive stimuli, Other up-regulated genes- genes related with functional categories (e.g. transport, signal transduction, developmental processes), Down-regulated genes- genes related with cell organization and biogenesis process like translation, microtubule and nucleosome assembly	Exposure of ZnO NPs significantly influenced genes related to response against environmental stimuli and stress.	[74]
16.	21.3 nm	Seed priming at 20, 40 and 60 mg/L NPs conc. for 12 hrs (marked as ZNPs1, ZNPs2 and ZNPs3 respectively)	Seeds (with or without NPs priming at various conc.) sown in plastic pots and exposed to 150 mM NaCl for 20 d	Lupinus termis	Root/shoot length, Fresh and dry weights of full-length plants, Photosynthetic pigment contents, Soluble sugar and protein, Proline contents, Total free amino acids, MDA contents, Antioxidant enzyme activities, Total phenols, Ascorbic acid contents, Na and Zn contents	Root length, shoot length, fresh weight and dry weight ↑- ZNPs3 > ZNPs2 > ZNPs1, Photosynthetic pigments, total free amino acids, soluble sugar and protein, total phenols, proline contents, antioxidant enzyme activities, ascorbic acid contents and Zn contents ↑, Na and MDA contents	NPs- priming of seeds induces osmotic adjustments eventually improving salt tolerance of cells, These results were obtained because Zn plays important roles in various cell- mechanisms.	[114]
17.	30±5 nm, Surface area of 29 ± 1 m2/g, Crystalline phase Zincite, Nearly spherical shape, (+)ive surface charges at neutral pH, Aggregated	0, 2, 5, 10, 15, 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 mg/L suspensions in 1% modified Hoagland solution	Hydroponic culture, Initially seedlings grown on 50% Haogland solution without NPs for 7d then transferred on NPs suspensions	Zea mays,	Uptake, Speciation, Accumulation of NPs	Zn metal accumulated in treated plants as Zn phosphate, NPs present in the epidermis, cortex, root tip cells, vascular system which probably entered from primary root–lateral root junctions, but not translocated to shoot	NPs dissolution in exposure medium enhanced by root metabolic activities, Biotransformation of ZnO NPs to Zn phosphate occurs in plant body.	[37]

S. N.	NP specifications	Range of conc.	Exposure method/ application method	Plant species	Studied endpoints/ parameters	Remarkable effects	Expected mechanisms/ possible hypothesis	Referances
18.	20 nm, Monodispersed with a narrow size distribution, Near spherical morphology, hexagonal, Crystalline nature	0, 10, 20, 50, 100, 500, 1000, and 2000 ppm for <i>Vigna</i> <i>radiata</i> , 0, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 500, 1000, and 2000 ppm for <i>Cicer</i> <i>arietinum</i> Suspensions prepared in DI water	Dual agar culture media (20mL, 2.5% agar + 10mL, 1% agar) + NPs suspensions, Exposure time - 60 h	Vigna radiata, Cicer arietinum	Root growth, Shoot growth	Adsorption of NPs on root surface, Maximum effects at 20 ppm concfor Vigna radiate and at 1 ppm concfor Cicer arietinum, At higher conc growth ↓	Uptake of NPs occurs by roots where it becomes accumulated.	[115]
19.	< 50 nm, Aggregation	5, 10, 25, 50, 75, 100, 125, 250, and 500 mg /L Suspensions in deionized water (DI)	Seeds soaked in suspensions for 2 h then transferred to Petri plates containing filter paper moisten with test media	Brassica napus	Germination, Root and shoot lengths, Dry weight of shoot (DWS) and root (DWR)	Germination percentage (-), Root and shoot length ↓, Root elongation affected more than shoot elongation, At some initialconc DWS ↑, DWR (-) but at higher concDWR	Germination remained unaffected because seed coat prohibited the entry of chemical substances, After radicles' emergence from seeds, phytotoxic effects were observed because of interaction of NPs with roots which induces dissolution of Zn from NPs	[116]
20.	20-45 nm, Uncoated, Rod shaped/ cuboidal/ spherical/ rectangular shaped	0, 20, 50, 100 and 200 mg/L in MS medium (half strength)	Seeds sown on NPs containing MS medium (half- strength)	Arabidopsis thaliana	Shoot and root Zn accumulation, Macro and micronutrients (P, K, S, Cu, Zn and Fe) contents, Regulation of transcription of genes related with auxin regulation and elemental homeostasis, Morphological studies	Zn mainly present in root tips as well as junctions of root-shoot and primary-lateral roots, but root to shoot translocation absent, At 20 mg/L conc lateral root formation ↑ (9%), At other conc fresh weight and length of primary root ↓, At > 200 mg/L conc highest reduction of P, K, S and Cu contents, At all higher conc leaf size reduced and chlorosis observed	NPs and their released metal ions follow different mechanism of toxicity like NPs may block nutrient uptake by roots and its growth as well whereas overindulgence of Zn can compete with other metal ions, in turn distinct patterns of root architecture can be formed due to deficiencies of P, K and S	[117]
21.	10 nm	50, 100 and 500 mg/kg of soil	NPs mixed with soil ~24 h before planting	Glycine max	Conc. of Zn in plant and soil, Bioaccumulation factors in pods, Micro and macro nutrient accumulation	$\label{eq:alpha} Altered nutritional value of soybean, Zn accumulation in all analyzed organs \uparrow, At \geq 100 \text{ mg/kg conc.} more Cu, Zn and Mn contents than control in pods, Significant correlations among P, S and Zn in pods with Zn in roots$	Nitrogen assimilation could be improved in soybean plants by NPs as it dissolved Zn mostly in ionic form in soil solution	[62]

68 Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications

```
Patel et al.
```

141	ne 5) com							
S. N.	NP specifications	Range of conc.	Exposure method/ application method	Plant species	Studied endpoints/ parameters	Remarkable effects	Expected mechanisms/ possible hypothesis	Referances
22.	10 nm, Procured as an aqueous suspension	0.01–1000 µg/mL suspensions in moderately hard water (MHW)	Seedling grown in Petri plates having filter paper (moisten with 3 ml nanopure water and 5 ml test solution)	Zea mays, Brassica oleracea	Germination rate, Root growth, Moisture content, Biouptake of metal, Primary root morphology and anatomical studies	In cabbage- germination 1 (dose dependent), Water stress nil, In maize- Seed germination (-), Primary cells of elongation zone of roots modified in shape/size, 'Tunneling-like effect' in root apical meristem	Plant growth and development affected functionally due to metal biouptake	[118]
23.	25 nm, Crystalline, Slightly aggregated	400, 1000 and 2000 ppm (conc. referred to in terms of zinc content)	Seeds soaked in NPs suspension for 3 h and then devided into 2 sets: Set1- in Petriplate for germination and seedling vigor index Set2- in pot for rest studies Foliar application of NPs	Arachis hypogaea	Germination, Root growth, Seedling vigor, Chl content, Plant growth, Flowering, Pod yield,	At 1000 ppm conc Germination, stem/ root growth, seedling vigor index, chl- contents, pod yield per plant ↑, Establishment in soil and flowering- early, At 2000 ppm conc Inhibitory effects, For foliar application- dose of NPs = dose of Bulk/15 (recommended)	Micronutriuent, Zn delivered into seeds through NPs, Stomatal pathways are fundamentally different from cuticular pathways, giving different results when NPs applied by different ways	[119]
24.	1.2 and 6.8 nm, Monodispersed, Spherical and hexagonal, NPs samples having 98% atom of Zn element	10 ppm	Foliar sprayed on leaf of 14d pot cultured seedlings	Cyamopsis tetragonoloba	Root/ shoot lengths, Plant biomass, Root area, Chl-contents, Total soluble leaf proteins, Native phosphorous mobilizing enzymes, Gum production	Root/ shoot lengths, biomass, root area, Chl- content, total soluble leaf protein, seed gum contents, microbial population in rhizosphere and activities of rhizosphere enzymes (acid and alkaline phosphatases and Phytase) ↑	Leaf openings like stomata provide entry to the adsorbed NPs on leaves depending upon size and surface properties of NPs, Through cortex and central cylinder NPs enter into xylem may become accumulated in vacuole	[120]
25.	4 nm Spherical, Well dispersed	10, 20, 30, 40, 50 mg/L in DI water	Germinated garlic bulbs in DI water till 2.0 cm long redicals, Then directly placed on NPs suspensions for 24 h, Again transferred to DI water	Allium sativum	Root apical meristem mitosis, Root growth, Mitotic aberrations	Root length (dose dependant) ↓, At 50 mg/L conc Root growth blocked, Estimated IC <sub>30</sub> - 15 mg/L, Mitosis index ↓, Total percentage of abnormal cells (conc. and time dependant) and mitotic aberrations (chromosome bridges, stickiness, laggings and breakages) ↑	NPs induced genotoxic effects in Garlic plants, It attributed to two different actions- (i) Release of (toxic) ions, (ii) Stress generation by NPs	[121]
26.	5 to 20 nm, Nearly spherical	100, 200µM in nutrient solution	8d grown seedlings transferred to 1/2- strength Hoagland's nutrient solution for 7d, Further shifted in NPs containing nutrient solution for 7d	Triticum aestivum	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> contents, Lipid peroxidation, Photosynthetic pigments, Chl-fluorescence, Activities of enzymes of Ascorbate- Glutathione cycle (AsA-GSH) and contents of associated metabolites, Zn contents in phloem and xylem saps, Effect of NO on NP- phytotoxicity	Growth of seedlings, efficiency of photosynthesis, activities of AsA–GSH enzymes and Associated metabolites (ascorbate and glutathione) 1, H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> level and lipid peroxidation 1, NO reduces ZnONPs toxicity	Higher accumulation of Zn in phloem and xylem saps induces toxicity which can be reduced by NO treatment as it regulates Zn- accumulation and functioning of AsA–GSH cycle	[122]

S. N.	NP specifications	Range of conc.	Exposure method/ application method	Plant species	Studied endpoints/ parameters	Remarkable effects	Expected mechanisms/ possible hypothesis	Referances
27.	30 nm, Specific surface area 70m²/g	0.16, 0.8, 4, 20, and 100mg/L of cultivation media	Seeds cultivated in hydroponic conditions (25% Hoagland solution) for 4 weeks, Then exposed to NPs at various conc, for 2 weeks	Arabidopsis thaliana	Contents of auxins, cytokinins, abscisic acid, salicylic acid and jasmonic acid in roots, apical meristem and leaves	Cytokinins and auxins (growth promoting hormones) in shoot apical meristems ↓, Salicylic acid in leaves and roots↑, At 20 and 100mg/L ZnO NPs- cis-zeatin (cytokinin associated with stress response) in roots↑, At higher conc. of NPs- abscisic acid (stress hormone) in apices and leaves↑, jasmonic acid (stress hormone) and its active metabolite jasmonate isoleucine↓	NPs generate severe stress in plants where higher stress resistance in roots induced due to local accumulation of cis- zeatin	[123]
28.	Biosynthesized crystalline natures, Spherical and rod shaped, 2-54 nm	25, 50, 75, 100, and 200 mg/L Hoagland medium	Several days, soilrite grown seedlings transferred to hydroponic culture medium (Hoagland) for 1 week, Then different doses of NPs added to medium, Both treatments (NPs and control) amended with phosphorus (100 mM)	Gossypium hirsutum	Seedlings growth and biomass, MDA contents, Total soluble protein contents, Photosynthetic pigment contents, Antioxidant enzyme activities- SOD, POX, CAT, Isoenzymes expression pattern	Growth and total biomass, level of chl- a, b, carotenoids, total soluble protein contents, SOD and POX ↑, MDA contents in leaves and CAT ↓, NPs treatments changed expression patterns of isoenzymes.	Interaction of bioengineered NPs with meristematic cells triggers biochemical pathways which promoted growth whereas higher activities of SOD and POX enzymes help plant to fight against ROS production.	[124]
29.	Phycomolecules coated, Hexagonal, square and spherical shape, 2-64 nm, Crystalline nature	25 mg/L ZnSO4; 25 mg/L ZnONPs; 50 mg/L Cd; 50 mg/L Cd; 50 mg/L ZnONPs; 100 mg/L Pb; 100 mg/L Pb+25 mg/L ZnONPs in Hoagland's medium	Seedlings transferred to Hoagland's medium in hydroponic system for 5 d, Then treated with optimum conc. of Cd and Pb ions (50 mg/L and 100 mg/L) and NPs in 7 types of combinations for 15 d	Leucaena leucocephala	Growth and plant biomass, Cd and Pb contents, Lipid peroxidation, Photosynthetic pigment contents, Antioxidant enzyme activity: SOD, CAT, POX, Detection of isoenzymes, Total soluble protein contents, Isolation of genomic DNA and RAPD-PCR	Root/ shoot length, biomass, growth tolerance index, photosynthetic pigment contents, Cd and Pb accumulation, total soluble protein contents, activities of SOD, CAT and POX 1, MDA content in leaves L, Many bands of DNA disappeared and some new bands (amplicons) appeared in RAPD pattern	NPs induced genotoxicity explaining the absence of many normal bands of DNA in RAPD pattern whereas probably new bands were appeared due to mutation, NPs having coating of phycomolecules might activate genetic changes and many biochemical pathways in the desired way to diminish oxidative stress and cellular damages produced by heavy metals like Cd and Pb.	[125]

70 Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications

```
Patel et al.
```

S. N.	NP specifications	Range of conc.	Exposure method/ application method	Plant species	Studied endpoints/ parameters	Remarkable effects	Expected mechanisms/ possible hypothesis	Referances
30.	Product reported particle size < 100 nm, < 35 nm (DLS), Estimated Particle size 67±2 nm	For solution culture: 25 mg Zn /L in nutrient solution, For soil culture: 500 mg Zn/kg soil	Solution or soil culture of plants with NPs amended growth matrix	Vigna unguiculata	Uptake of Zn and its transformation in tissues	Plants grown in soil (-), Toxicity in solution culture- Soluble Zn (ZnCl2) > ZnO-NPs, NPs accumulated on root surfaces considerably, but not translocated from roots to shoots, On both soluble Zn and NPs treatments- Speciation of Zn in shoot tissues was the same.	After amendment in to soil, NPs rapidly show dissolution.	[126]
31.	< 100 nm, In water- rhomboid shaped, In aqueous phase of sand- elongated rods	125, 250 and 500 mg Zn/kg of soils	2 types of soils (acidic and calcareous alkaline) mixed with NPs	Triticum aestivum	Plant growth, Variation in phytotoxicity with soil properties, Solubility of Zn, Shoot uptake	Zn uptake and accumulation ↑, In acid soil - Root elongation ↓, Phytotoxicity ↑, In calcareous alkaline soil - Lateral root production ↑, Phytotoxicity ↓, Soluble Zn in soil- Acidic soil > alkaline soil, Soluble Zn in shoot- Acidic soil > alkaline soil	Soil properties influenced the phytotoxicity induced by ZnO NPs and soluble Zn in treated seedlings	[127]
32.	<100 nm,	500, 1000, and 1500 mg/L suspensions in DW used to prepare plain agar medium, For <i>in vitro</i> studies-1, 5, 10 and 20 mg NPs /L of MS medium	Seeds inoculated on NPs amended plain agar medium for 14 d (germination and growth studies), Then stem pieces (explants) excised from seedlings and cultured on MS medium having NPs	Brassica nigra	Germination, Growth, Antioxidative potential, Total antioxidant and reducing power, Total flavonoid and phenolic contents	Germination, root growth, shoot fresh weight ↓, Shoot growth, antioxidative activities and contents of phenolics and flavonoids ↑, At lower conc. (1–20 mg/L)- thin roots (white) with thick root hairs	After entering into seeds NPs might be aggregated or show dissolution of Zn ions causing germination inhibition, At cellular level ROS and Ca <sup>-2</sup> signaling might be induced by NPs eventually affecting organism physiologically, ZnO NPs have capability to induce roots from explants if culture conditions are appropriate	[128]
33.	380 nm (in DI water) to 1116 nm (in 100 mM NaCl), Zeta potential- +21 mV (in DI water) and negative (in NaCl)	100, 200, 400, and 800 mg NPs/kg of soil	Z n/ZnO NPs in sandy loam soil, Plants grown for 30d	Zea mays	Deposition of NPs and its release, Distribution of Zn in soil, Uptake mechanism, Distribution of NPs in tissues of root and leaf	Low mobility of NPs in soil column of different ionic strengths, Released Zn penetrated together with Fe and Al, Translocation and bioaccumulation Zn observed high, NPs aggregates were present in root epidermis, cortex and xylem vessels	The used experiment soil exhibits low environmental dispersion of ZnO NPs, but its colloids might help in the penetration of adsorbed NPs as Zn/ZnO NPs aggregates observed allied with minerals of soil, Aggregates passed epidermis by apoplastic and endodermis by symplastic pathway.	[129]

#### Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications 71

4		able 3) cont										
	S. N.	NP specifications	Range of conc.	Exposure method/ application method	Plant species	Studied endpoints/ parameters	Remarkable effects	Expected mechanisms/ possible hypothesis	Referances			
	34.	10±1nm	0, 400, and 800 mg/kg of soil	Organic rich soil amended with NPs, Plants were grown for 53d	Cucumis sativus	Chlorophyll contents, Gas exchange, Bioaccumulations	Plant growth, gas exchange, Chl content (-), At high conc Bioaccumulation of Zn in the fruit, Conc. of Zn in tissues- root > leaf > stem > fruit.	NPs are not generating stress	[130]			
	35.	10 nm	400 and 800 mg/kg of soil	Soil treated with NPs	Cucumis sativus	Total soluble and reducing sugars, starch, proteins, mineral nutrients, Total phenolics and flavonoids and antioxidants in the fruit	Starch and protein content ↑, Conc. of micronutrients Cu and Mo↓	Probably NPs induced stress in the plants in turn the contents of starch and protein become increased.	[131]			
	36.	24 ± 3 nm	0, 400, and 800 mg/kg of soil	Soil amended with NPs	Zea mays	Bioaccumulation of Zn in tissues, Nutrient conc. and distribution in ears, Gas exchange in leaves, Relative chl contents, Shoot length, Dry weight of roots and leaves, No of leaves and ears, Area of leaf, Fresh and dry weight of ear	At 800 mg/kg conc Relative chl contents, net photosynthetic rate and stomatal conductance ↓, Yield ↓, Quality of corn altered	The expression of transcripts of plant growth regulators might be down regulated by NPs, Treatment of NPs has an influence on elemental translocation in reproductive structures as well as in silks ripening which directly affect yield.	[132]			

( $\uparrow$ = increased,  $\downarrow$ =decreased, (-)= not significantly affected, DW= distilled water, DDW= double distilled water, DIW= deionized water, MS= Murashige and Skoog, Chl= chlorophyll, h= hours, D=days).

Table 4. The (Fig. 2) was made on the basis of this table. Table shows the complied data of explored plant species along with their taxonomic categorization and references. A research article may contain more than one plant or type of selected MONPs but we considered one plant or type of NPs as one case and given a separate row in the table. For the purpose, the total selected research articles were 108 (32, 42 and 48 articles for CuO, TiO<sub>2</sub> and ZnO NPs respectively including their common articles also) on random basis, having total 150 plant cases. All 150 cases belong to only 14 families and 50 terrestrial plant species, as explored repeatedly.

S.N.	Tested MO NPs	Plant	Angiosperm or Gymnosperm	Monocot or Dicot	Family	References
1.	CuO	Spinacia oleracea	Angiosperm	Dicot	Amaranthaceae	[102]
2.	CuO	Lactuca sativa	Angiosperm	Dicot	Asteraceae	[89]
3.	CuO	Lactuca sativa	Angiosperm	Dicot	Asteraceae	[103]
4.	CuO	Lactuca sativa	Angiosperm	Dicot	Asteraceae	[104]
5.	CuO	Stevia rebaudiana	-	Dicot	Asteraceae	[90]
6.	CuO	Arabidopsis thaliana	Angiosperm	Dicot	Brassicaceae	[91]
7.	CuO	Arabidopsis thaliana	Angiosperm	Dicot	Brassicaceae	[93]
8.	CuO	Arabidopsis thaliana	Angiosperm	Dicot	Brassicaceae	[96]
9.	CuO	Brassica nigra	Angiosperm	Dicot	Brassicaceae	[106]

72 Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications (Table 4) cont.....

Patel et al.

S.N.	Tested MO NPs	Plant	Angiosperm or Gymnosperm	Monocot or Dicot	Family	References
10.	CuO	Brassica oleracea	Angiosperm	Dicot	Brassicaceae	[105]
11.	CuO	Raphanus sativus	Angiosperm	Dicot	Brassicaceae	[56]
12.	CuO	Raphanus sativus	Angiosperm	Dicot	Brassicaceae	[104]
13.	CuO	Sinapis alba	Angiosperm	Dicot	Brassicaceae	[33]
14.	CuO	Cucumis sativus	Angiosperm	Dicot	Cucurbitaceae	[104]
15.	CuO	Cucumis sativus	Angiosperm	Dicot	Cucurbitaceae	[95]
16.	CuO	Cumumis sativus	Angiosperm	Dicot	Cucurbitaceae	[32]
17.	CuO	Glycine max	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	97]
18.	CuO	Medicago sativa	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[89]
19.	CuO	Phaseolus vulgaris	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[88]
20.	CuO	Trifolium alexandrinum	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[40]
21.	CuO	Trigonella foenum- graecum	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[85]
22.	CuO	Gossypium hirsutum	Angiosperm	Dicot	Malvaceae	[34]
23.	CuO	Fagopyrum esculentum	Angiosperm	Dicot	Polygonaceae	[94]
24.	CuO	Avena sativa	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[40]
25.	CuO	Hordeum vulgare	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[86]
26.	CuO	Hordeum vulgare	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	101]
27.	CuO	Lolium perenne	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[56]
28.	CuO	Lolium rigidum	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[56]
29.	CuO	Oryza sativa	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[87]
30.	CuO	Oryza sativa	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	99]
31.	CuO	Oryza sativa	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[98]
32.	CuO	Oryza sativa	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[100]
33.	CuO	Triticum aestivum	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[55]
34.	CuO	Triticum aestivum	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[57]
35.	CuO	Triticum aestivum	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[10]
36.	CuO	Triticum aestivum	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[30]
37.	CuO	Triticum vulgare	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[92]
38.	CuO	Zea mays	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[31]
39.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Petroselinum crispum	Angiosperm	Dicot	Apiaceae	[68]
40.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Lactuca sativa	Angiosperm	Dicot	Asteraceae	[75]
41.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Lactuca sativa	Angiosperm	Dicot	Asteraceae	[81]

Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications 73

(Table 4) cont						
S.N.	Tested MO NPs	Plant	Angiosperm or Gymnosperm	Monocot or Dicot	Family	References
42.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Lactuca sativa	Angiosperm	Dicot	Asteraceae	[104]
43.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Arabidopsis thaliana	Angiosperm	Dicot	Brassicaceae	[22]
44.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Arabidopsis thaliana	Angiosperm	Dicot	Brassicaceae	[74]
45.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Arabidopsis thaliana	Angiosperm	Dicot	Brassicaceae	[82]
46.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Arabidopsis thaliana	Angiosperm	Dicot	Brassicaceae	[53]
47.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Arabidopsis thaliana	Angiosperm	Dicot	Brassicaceae	[134]
48.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Brassica campestris	Angiosperm	Dicot	Brassicaceae	[81]
49.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Brassica napus	Angiosperm	Dicot	Brassicaceae	[21]
50.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Raphanus sativus	Angiosperm	Dicot	Brassicaceae	[104]
51.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Sinapis alba	Angiosperm	Dicot	Brassicaceae	[33]
52.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Cucumis sativus	Angiosperm	Dicot	Cucurbitaceae	[79]
53.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Cucumis sativus	Angiosperm	Dicot	Cucurbitaceae	[25]
54.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Cucumis sativus	Angiosperm	Dicot	Cucurbitaceae	[48]
55.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Cucumis sativus	Angiosperm	Dicot	Cucurbitaceae	[104]
56.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Cicer arietinum	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[63]
57.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Cicer arietinum	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[77]
58.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Glycine max	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[80]
59.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Phaseolus vulgaris	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[27]
60.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Phaseolus vulgaris	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[81]
61.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Trifolium alexandrinum	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[40]
62.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Trifolium pretense	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[78]
63.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Vicia faba	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[67]
64.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Vicia narbonensis	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[65]
65.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Vicia narbonensis	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[66]
66.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Vigna radiata	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[50]
67.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Ocimum basilicum	Angiosperm	Dicot	Lamiaceae	[83]
68.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Linum usitatissimum	Angiosperm	Dicot	Linaceae	[54]
69.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Rumex crispus	Angiosperm	Dicot	Polygonaceae	[27]
70.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Salix eriocephala	Angiosperm	Dicot	Salicaceae	[135]
71.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Lycopersion esculentum	Angiosperm	Dicot	Solanaceae	[28]
72.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Nicotiana tabacum	Angiosperm	Dicot	Solanaceae	[52]
73.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Nicotiana tabacum	Angiosperm	Dicot	Solanaceae	[51]
74.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Allium cepa	Angiosperm	Monocot	Amaryllidaceae	[51]

74 Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications (Table 4) cont.....

Patel et al.

S.N.	Tested MO NPs	Plant	Angiosperm or Gymnosperm	Monocot or Dicot	Family	References
75.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Allium cepa	Angiosperm	Monocot	Amaryllidaceae	[72]
76.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Avena sativa	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[40]
77.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Hordeum vulgare	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[73]
78.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Hordeum vulgare	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[76]
79.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Oryza sativa	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[64]
80.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Oryza sativa	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[70]
81.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Oryza sativa	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[84]
82.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Triticum aestivum	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[58]
83.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Triticum aestivum	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[27]
84.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Triticum aestivum	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[71]
85.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Triticum aestivum	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[26]
86.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Triticum aestivum	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[21]
87.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Triticum. aestivum	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[69]
88.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Zea mays	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[65]
89.	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Zea mays	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[47]
90.	ZnO	Spinacia oleracea	Angiosperm	Dicot	Amaranthaceae	102]
91.	ZnO	Stevia rebaudiana	Angiosperm	Dicot	Asteraceae	[133]
92.	ZnO	Arabidopsis thaliana	Angiosperm	Dicot	Brassicaceae	[74]
93.	ZnO	Arabidopsis thaliana	Angiosperm	Dicot	Brassicaceae	[117]
94.	ZnO	Arabidopsis thaliana	Angiosperm	Dicot	Brassicaceae	[123]
95.	ZnO	Brassica napus	Angiosperm	Dicot	Brassicaceae	[116]
96.	ZnO	Brassica nigra	Angiosperm	Dicot	Brassicaceae	[128]
97.	ZnO	Brassica oleraceae	Angiosperm	Dicot	Brassicaceae	[118]
98.	ZnO	Sinapis alba	Angiosperm	Dicot	Brassicaceae	[33]
99.	ZnO	Cucumis sativus	Angiosperm	Dicot	Cucurbitaceae	[112]
100.	ZnO	Cucumis sativus	Angiosperm	Dicot	Cucurbitaceae	[32]
101.	ZnO	Cucumis sativus	Angiosperm	Dicot	Cucurbitaceae	[130]
102.	ZnO	Cucumis sativus	Angiosperm	Dicot	Cucurbitaceae	[131]
103.	ZnO	Cucurbita pepo	Angiosperm	Dicot	Cucurbitaceae	[137]
104.	ZnO	Arachis hypogaea	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[119]
105.	ZnO	Cajanus cajan	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[107]
106.	ZnO	Cicer arietinum	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[60]
107.	ZnO	Cicer arietinum	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	115]

Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications 75

(Table 4) cont						
S.N.	Tested MO NPs	Plant	Angiosperm or Gymnosperm	Monocot or Dicot	Family	References
108.	ZnO	Cicer arietinum	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[61]
109.	ZnO	Cyamopsis tetragonoloba	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[120]
110.	ZnO	Glycine max	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[107]
111.	ZnO	Glycine max	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[36]
112.	ZnO	Glycine max	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[134]
113.	ZnO	Glycine max	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[62]
114.	ZnO	Leucaena leucocephala	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[125]
115.	ZnO	Lupinus termis	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[114]
116.	ZnO	Phaseolus vulgaris	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[88]
117.	ZnO	Phaseolus vulgaris	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[110]
118.	ZnO	Prosopis juliflora	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[111]
119.	ZnO	Trifolium alexandrinum	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[40]
120.	ZnO	Vigna radiata	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[115]
121.	ZnO	Vigna unguiculata	Angiosperm	Dicot	Fabaceae	[126]
122.	ZnO	Abelmoschus esculentus	Angiosperm	Dicot	Malvaceae	[107]
123.	ZnO	Gossypium hirsutum	Angiosperm	Dicot	Malvaceae	[124]
124.	ZnO	Fagopyrum esculentum	Angiosperm	Dicot	Polygonaceae	[94]
125.	ZnO	Solanum lycopersicon	Angiosperm	Dicot	Solanaceae	110]
126.	ZnO	Allium cepa	Angiosperm	Monocot	Amaryllidaceae	[108]
127.	ZnO	Allium cepa	Angiosperm	Monocot	Amaryllidaceae	[59]
128.	ZnO	Allium cepa	Angiosperm	Monocot	Amaryllidaceae	[113]
129.	ZnO	Allium sativum	Angiosperm	Monocot	Amaryllidaceae	[121]
130.	ZnO	Avena sativa	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[40]
131.	ZnO	Hordeum vulgare	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	86]
132.	ZnO	Lolium perenne	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[35]
133.	ZnO	Triticum aestivum	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[57]
134.	ZnO	Triticum aestivum	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[10]
135.	ZnO	Triticum aestivum	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[58]
136.	ZnO	Triticum aestivum	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[109]
137.	ZnO	Triticum aestivum	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[122]
138.	ZnO	Triticum aestivum	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[127]
139.	ZnO	Zea mays	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[107]
140.	ZnO	Zea mays	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[37]

76 Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications

Patel	et	al.
-------	----	-----

S.N.	Tested MO NPs	Plant	Angiosperm or Gymnosperm	Monocot or Dicot	Family	References
141.	ZnO	Zea mays	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[118]
142.	ZnO	Zea mays	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[129]
143.	ZnO	Zea mays	Angiosperm	Monocot	Poaceae	[132]



**Fig. (2).** Categorization of explored terrestrial plants for phytotoxicity studies under exposure of MO NPs (*i.e.*  $\text{TiO}_2$ , CuO, ZnO NPs). Here, series 1; 2; 3; 4 and 5 represent to the type of MO NP; category as angiosperm or gymnosperm; clade as monocot or dicot; taxonomic family and plant species respectively, with color codes. The same color is used for the same category in each series. In series 5, different shades of the same color indicate related species as having same taxonomic family (series 4). In series 3, dark shade was used for dicot and lighter one for monocot. In series 1, the length of bands is sign of the number of plant cases for each MO NP. To check their references one can go with the Table 4.

## **FUTURE PROSPECTS**

Undoubtedly, nanotechnology is rapidly encroaching all the areas with promising features of NMs but their underexplored impact on agriculture and plant system cannot be ignored. Here some problems and possibility of this field are being discussed.

1. However, numerous studies have been conducted on the phytotoxic effects of NPs, yet research intended towards the recognition of the beneficial effects of NPs on plants and agriculture remains inadequate.

2. Intrusion of nanotechnology in the field of tissue culture can generate some revolutionary results, but this field is almost untouched till now.

3. Moreover, nanotechnology may alter the secondary metabolite production and stress tolerance capacity in plants, thereby, increasing benefits. Though, some reports are available on these perspectives, but these are not enough and conclusive.

4. There are plenty of conventional and transgenic varieties of plants with so many qualities, demanding rigorous research and collaboration of nanotechnology for their betterment.

5. As metal oxide NMs are identified for their metal ion toxicity, it is recommended to compare closely related species with known differences in metal tolerance on these NPs treatments. This approach may influence the field of nano-fertilizers significantly.

6. Published data on terrestrial phytotoxicity by MO NPs is increasing continuously but surprisingly the range of selected plants is still narrow (mostly agricultural crops and seed plants). The same situation is expected for other NMs too but it should be discouraged as the earth is full of diverse terrestrial plants and all have the possibility of unintentional exposure by NMs, thus random selection of plants (outside this narrow range) should be appreciated.

7. It is often being argued about the standard NPs- phytotoxicity assessment tests, having universal consideration and acceptance.

8. Until now, the most commonly analyzed parameters were germination, root elongation, shoot length, plant biomass *etc*. but as opposed by some researchers, these parameters are not precise enough or appropriate for evaluation of NP toxicity in terrestrial plant species.

9. The studies on the interaction of NMs with plant growth matrixes (*e.g.* various types of soils, growth medium *etc.*) and their effect on life cycle of plants are very scarce, hence, demand attention.

10. Numerous appreciable efforts on uptake, biotransformation or accumulation of NPs in plant body cannot be overlooked, but the need of the hour is to understand the mechanism of NMs in affecting food chains and ultimately human health.

11. From the gathered information, it is apparent that most of the investigations are based on simple morphological and biochemical studies. Therefore, intense and in-depth work needs to be done in order to explore the physiological, molecular and biochemical mechanisms of plants in relation to NPs.

12. Due to dynamic climatic conditions and varied soil types, plants experience a number of abiotic stresses which lead to huge crop losses. Limited reports are available on the mitigation of abiotic stresses using NPs. Intense efforts should be done in this direction to minimize crop loss and sustain the population.

13. Most of the studies are restricted to controlled conditions, but to get a more realistic picture of the effects of MO NPs on different life cycle stages and in turn on yield and crop quality, field trials need to be done. These studies will also prove quite beneficial in studying the long-term multigenerational impact of MO NPs treated plants.

These types of approaches will turn the situation and it endow with better understanding, as well as provide good command over the behavior of NPs into living plant systems and the environment.

## **CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION**

Not applicable.

## **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The author declares no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Srivastava N, Anand M. Nanotechnology in India: A Case for Multi-level Governance. 2013.
- [2] Keller AA, McFerran S, Lazareva A, Suh S. Global life cycle releases of engineered nanomaterials. J Nanopart Res 2013; 15(6): 1692. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11051-013-1692-4]
- [3] Ma X, Geiser-Lee J, Deng Y, Kolmakov A. Interactions between engineered nanoparticles (ENPs) and plants: Phytotoxicity, uptake and accumulation. Sci Total Environ 2010; 408(16): 3053-61. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.03.031] [PMID: 20435342]
- [4] Maynard AD, Aitken RJ, Butz T, *et al.* Safe handling of nanotechnology. Nature 2006; 444(7117): 267-9.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/444267a] [PMID: 17108940]
- [5] Wiesner MR, Lowry GV, Alvarez P, et al. Assessing the risks of manufactured NMs. ACS Publications 2006.

- [6] Miralles P, Church TL, Harris AT. Toxicity, uptake, and translocation of engineered NMs in vascular plants. Environ Sci Technol 2012; 46(17): 9224-39. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es202995d] [PMID: 22892035]
- Pan B, Xing B. Chapter three-manufactured NPs and their sorption of organic chemicals. Adv Agron 2010; 108: 137-81.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2113(10)08003-X]
- [8] Chang YN, Zhang M, Xia L, Zhang J, Xing G. The toxic effects and mechanisms of CuO and ZnO NPs. Materials (Basel) 2012; 5(12): 2850-71. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ma5122850]
- [9] Xu M, Li J, Iwai H, et al. Formation of nano-bio-complex as nanomaterials dispersed in a biological solution for understanding nanobiological interactions. Sci Rep 2012; 2(1): 406. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep00406] [PMID: 22586516]
- [10] Dimkpa CO, Latta DE, McLean JE, Britt DW, Boyanov MI, Anderson AJ. Fate of CuO and ZnO nano- and microparticles in the plant environment. Environ Sci Technol 2013; 47(9): 4734-42. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es304736y] [PMID: 23540424]
- [11] Bondarenko O, Juganson K, Ivask A, Kasemets K, Mortimer M, Kahru A. Toxicity of Ag, CuO and ZnO nanoparticles to selected environmentally relevant test organisms and mammalian cells *in vitro*: a critical review. Arch Toxicol 2013; 87(7): 1181-200. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00204-013-1079-4] [PMID: 23728526]
- [12] Hu W, Culloty S, Darmody G, et al. Toxicity of copper oxide nanoparticles in the blue mussel, Mytilus edulis: A redox proteomic investigation. Chemosphere 2014; 108: 289-99. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.01.054] [PMID: 24582357]
- [13] Abramova A, Gedanken A, Popov V, et al. A sonochemical technology for coating of textiles with antibacterial nanoparticles and equipment for itsimplementation. Mater Lett 2013; 96: 121-4. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2013.01.041]
- [14] Evans P, Matsunaga H, Kiguchi M. Large-scale application of nanotechnology for wood protection. Nat Nanotechnol 2008; 3(10): 577-7.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2008.286] [PMID: 18838987]
- [15] Amorim MJB, Scott-Fordsmand JJ. Toxicity of copper nanoparticles and CuCl<sub>2</sub> salt to Enchytraeus albidus worms: Survival, reproduction and avoidance responses. Environ Pollut 2012; 164: 164-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2012.01.015] [PMID: 22361055]
- [16] Handy RD, von der Kammer F, Lead JR, Hassellöv M, Owen R, Crane M. The ecotoxicology and chemistry of manufactured nanoparticles. Ecotoxicology 2008; 17(4): 287-314. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10646-008-0199-8] [PMID: 18351458]
- [17] Gerloff K, Fenoglio I, Carella E, *et al.* Distinctive toxicity of TiO<sub>2</sub> rutile/anatase mixed phase nanoparticles on Caco-2 cells. Chem Res Toxicol 2012; 25(3): 646-55. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/tx200334k] [PMID: 22263745]
- [18] Piccinno F, Gottschalk F, Seeger S, Nowack B. Industrial production quantities and uses of ten engineered nanomaterials in Europe and the world. J Nanopart Res 2012; 14(9): 1109. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11051-012-1109-9]
- [19] Darlington TK, Neigh AM, Spencer MT, Nguyen OT, Oldenburg SJ. Nanoparticle characteristics affecting environmental fate and transport through soil. Environ Toxicol Chem 2009; 28(6): 1191-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1897/08-341.1] [PMID: 19175296]
- [20] Pidgeon N, Harthorn BH, Bryant K, Rogers-Hayden T. Deliberating the risks of nanotechnologies for energy and health applications in the United States and United Kingdom. Nat Nanotechnol 2009; 4(2): 95-8.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2008.362] [PMID: 19197310]

- [21] Larue C, Veronesi G, Flank AM, Surble S, Herlin-Boime N, Carrière M. Comparative uptake and impact of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in wheat and rapeseed. J Toxicol Environ Health A 2012; 75(13-15): 722-34.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15287394.2012.689800] [PMID: 22788360]
- [22] Kurepa J, Paunesku T, Vogt S, *et al.* Uptake and distribution of ultrasmall anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> Alizarin red S nanoconjugates in Arabidopsis thaliana. Nano Lett 2010; 10(7): 2296-302. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nl903518f] [PMID: 20218662]
- [23] Watanabe T, Misawa S, Hiradate S, Osaki M. Root mucilage enhances aluminum accumulation in *Melastoma malabathricum*, an aluminum accumulator. Plant Signal Behav 2008; 3(8): 603-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/psb.3.8.6356] [PMID: 19704812]
- [24] Asli S, Neumann PM. Colloidal suspensions of clay or titanium dioxide nanoparticles can inhibit leaf growth and transpiration *via* physical effects on root water transport. Plant Cell Environ 2009; 32(5): 577-84.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.01952.x] [PMID: 19210640]
- [25] Servin AD, Castillo-Michel H, Hernandez-Viezcas JA, Diaz BC, Peralta-Videa JR, Gardea-Torresdey JL. Synchrotron micro-XRF and micro-XANES confirmation of the uptake and translocation of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in cucumber (Cucumis sativus) plants. Environ Sci Technol 2012; 46(14): 7637-43. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es300955b] [PMID: 22715806]
- [26] Larue C, Laurette J, Herlin-Boime N, *et al.* Accumulation, translocation and impact of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in wheat (Triticum aestivum spp.): Influence of diameter and crystal phase. Sci Total Environ 2012; 431: 197-208. a [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.04.073] [PMID: 22684121]
- [27] Jacob DL, Borchardt JD, Navaratnam L, Otte ML, Bezbaruah AN. Uptake and translocation of Ti from nanoparticles in crops and wetland plants. Int J Phytoremediation 2013; 15(2): 142-53. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15226514.2012.683209] [PMID: 23487992]
- [28] Vittori Antisari L, Carbone S, Gatti A, Vianello G, Nannipieri P. Uptake and translocation of metals and nutrients in tomato grown in soil polluted with metal oxide (CeO<sub>2</sub>, Fe3O4, SnO<sub>2</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>) or metallic (Ag, Co, Ni) engineered nanoparticles. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 2015; 22(3): 1841-53. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-3509-0] [PMID: 25189804]
- [29] Rico CM, Majumdar S, Duarte-Gardea M, Peralta-Videa JR, Gardea-Torresdey JL. Interaction of nanoparticles with edible plants and their possible implications in the food chain. J Agric Food Chem 2011; 59(8): 3485-98. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jf104517j] [PMID: 21405020]
- [30] Zhou D, Jin S, Li L, Wang Y, Weng N. Quantifying the adsorption and uptake of CuO nanoparticles by wheat root based on chemical extractions. J Environ Sci (China) 2011; 23(11): 1852-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(10)60646-8] [PMID: 22432310]
- [31] Wang Z, Xie X, Zhao J, *et al.* Xylem- and phloem-based transport of CuO nanoparticles in maize (Zea mays L.). Environ Sci Technol 2012; 46(8): 4434-41.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es204212z] [PMID: 22435775]
- [32] Kim S, Lee S, Lee I. Alteration of phytotoxicity and oxidant stress potential by metal oxide NPs in Cucumis sativus. Water Air Soil Pollut 2012; 223(5): 2799-806. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11270-011-1067-3]
- [33] Landa P, Cyrusova T, Jerabkova J, Drabek O, Vanek T, Podlipna R. Effect of Metal Oxides on Plant Germination: Phytotoxicity of Nanoparticles, Bulk Materials, and Metal Ions. Water Air Soil Pollut 2016; 227(12): 448. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11270-016-3156-9]
- [34] Le Van N, Ma C, Shang J, Rui Y, Liu S, Xing B. Effects of CuO nanoparticles on insecticidal activity and phytotoxicity in conventional and transgenic cotton. Chemosphere 2016; 144: 661-70.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.09.028] [PMID: 26408972]

- [35] Lin D, Xing B. Root uptake and phytotoxicity of ZnO nanoparticles. Environ Sci Technol 2008; 42(15): 5580-5.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es800422x] [PMID: 18754479]
- [36] Hernandez-Viezcas JA, Castillo-Michel H, Andrews JC, *et al.* In situ synchrotron X-ray fluorescence mapping and speciation of CeO<sub>2</sub> and ZnO nanoparticles in soil cultivated soybean (Glycine max). ACS Nano 2013; 7(2): 1415-23.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nn305196q] [PMID: 23320560]
- [37] Lv J, Zhang S, Luo L, Zhang J, Yang K, Christie P. Accumulation, speciation and uptake pathway of ZnO nanoparticles in maize. Environ Sci Nano 2015; 2(1): 68-77.
  - [http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C4EN00064A]
- [38] Adhikari T, Kundu S, Biswas AK, et al. Effect of copper oxide nano particle on seed germination of selected crops. J Agric Sci Technol A 2012; 2(6A): 815.
- [39] Shah V, Belozerova I. Influence of metal NPs on the soil microbial community and germination of lettuce seeds. Water Air Soil Pollut 2009; 197(1-4): 143-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11270-008-9797-6]
- [40] Maity A, Natarajan N, Vijay D, Srinivasan R, Pastor M, Malaviya DR. Influence of Metal Nanoparticles (NPs) on Germination and Yield of Oat (Avena sativa) and Berseem (Trifolium alexandrinum). Proc Natl Acad Sci, India, Sect B Biol Sci 2018; 88(2): 595-607. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40011-016-0796-x]
- [41] Hong F, Zhou J, Liu C, et al. Effect of nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> on photochemical reaction of chloroplasts of spinach. Biol Trace Elem Res 2005; 105(1-3): 269-80. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1385/BTER:105:1-3:269] [PMID: 16034170]
- [42] Gao F, Hong F, Liu C, *et al.* Mechanism of nano-anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> on promoting photosynthetic carbon reaction of spinach: inducing complex of rubisco-rubisco activase. Biol Trace Elem Res 2006; 111(1-3): 239-54.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1385/BTER:111:1:239] [PMID: 16943609]
- [43] Yang F, Liu C, Gao F, et al. The improvement of spinach growth by nano-anatase TiO<sub>2</sub> treatment is related to nitrogen photoreduction. Biol Trace Elem Res 2007; 119(1): 77-88. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12011-007-0046-4] [PMID: 17914222]
- [44] Linglan M, Chao L, Chunxiang Q, et al. Rubisco activase mRNA expression in spinach: modulation by nanoanatase treatment. Biol Trace Elem Res 2008; 122(2): 168-78. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12011-007-8069-4] [PMID: 18189122]
- [45] Lei Z, Mingyu S, Chao L, et al. Effects of Nanoanatase TiO<sub>2</sub> on photosynthesis of spinach chloroplasts under different light illumination. Biol Trace Elem Res 2007; 119(1): 68-76. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12011-007-0047-3] [PMID: 17914221]
- [46] Qi M, Liu Y, Li T. Nano-TiO(2) improve the photosynthesis of tomato leaves under mild heat stress. Biol Trace Elem Res 2013; 156(1-3): 323-8.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12011-013-9833-2] [PMID: 24214855]
- [47] Morteza E, Moaveni P, Farahani HA, Kiyani M. Study of photosynthetic pigments changes of maize (Zea mays L.) under nano Tio<sub>2</sub> spraying at various growth stages. Springerplus 2013; 2(1): 247. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/2193-1801-2-247] [PMID: 23847752]
- [48] Servin AD, Morales MI, Castillo-Michel H, *et al.* Synchrotron verification of TiO<sub>2</sub> accumulation in cucumber fruit: a possible pathway of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle transfer from soil into the food chain. Environ Sci Technol 2013; 47(20): 11592-8.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es403368j] [PMID: 24040965]
- [49] Cui Y, Tian Z, Zhang X, *et al.* Effect of water deficit during vegetative growth periods on postanthesis photosynthetic capacity and grain yield in winter wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Acta Physiol

Plant 2015; 37(10): 196. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11738-015-1944-2]

- [50] Raliya R, Biswas P, Tarafdar JC. TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle biosynthesis and its physiological effect on mung bean (Vigna radiata L.). Biotechnol Rep (Amst) 2015; 5: 22-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.btre.2014.10.009] [PMID: 28626678]
- [51] Ghosh M, Bandyopadhyay M, Mukherjee A. Genotoxicity of titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>) nanoparticles at two trophic levels: Plant and human lymphocytes. Chemosphere 2010; 81(10): 1253-62. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.09.022] [PMID: 20884039]
- [52] Frazier TP, Burklew CE, Zhang B. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles affect the growth and microRNA expression of tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum). Funct Integr Genomics 2014; 14(1): 75-83. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10142-013-0341-4] [PMID: 24132512]
- [53] Wang S, Kurepa J, Smalle JA. Ultra-small TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles disrupt microtubular networks in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell Environ 2011; 34(5): 811-20. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02284.x] [PMID: 21276012]
- [54] Clément L, Hurel C, Marmier N. Toxicity of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles to cladocerans, algae, rotifers and plants – Effects of size and crystalline structure. Chemosphere 2013; 90(3): 1083-90. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.09.013] [PMID: 23062945]
- [55] Adams J, Wright M, Wagner H, Valiente J, Britt D, Anderson A. Cu from dissolution of CuO nanoparticles signals changes in root morphology. Plant Physiol Biochem 2017; 110: 108-17. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.08.005] [PMID: 27544889]
- [56] Atha DH, Wang H, Petersen EJ, et al. Copper oxide nanoparticle mediated DNA damage in terrestrial plant models. Environ Sci Technol 2012; 46(3): 1819-27. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es202660k] [PMID: 22201446]
- [57] Dimkpa CO, McLean JE, Latta DE, et al. CuO and ZnO nanoparticles: phytotoxicity, metal speciation, and induction of oxidative stress in sand-grown wheat. J Nanopart Res 2012; 14(9): 1125. b [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11051-012-1125-9]
- [58] Du W, Sun Y, Ji R, Zhu J, Wu J, Guo H. TiO<sub>2</sub> and ZnO nanoparticles negatively affect wheat growth and soil enzyme activities in agricultural soil. J Environ Monit 2011; 13(4): 822-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c0em00611d] [PMID: 21267473]
- [59] Ghodake G, Seo YD, Lee DS. Hazardous phytotoxic nature of cobalt and zinc oxide nanoparticles assessed using Allium cepa. J Hazard Mater 2011; 186(1): 952-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.11.018] [PMID: 21122986]
- [60] Burman U, Saini M, Kumar P. Effect of zinc oxide nanoparticles on growth and antioxidant system of chickpea seedlings. Toxicol Environ Chem 2013; 95(4): 605-12. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02772248.2013.803796]
- [61] Pandey AC, Sanjay S, Yadav R. Application of ZnO NPs in influencing the growth rate of Cicer arietinum. J Exp Nanosci 2010; 5(6): 488-97. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17458081003649648]
- [62] Peralta-Videa JR, Hernandez-Viezcas JA, Zhao L, et al. Cerium dioxide and zinc oxide nanoparticles alter the nutritional value of soil cultivated soybean plants. Plant Physiol Biochem 2014; 80: 128-35. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2014.03.028] [PMID: 24751400]
- [63] Amini S, Maali-Amiri R, Mohammadi R, Kazemi- Shahandashti SS. cDNA-AFLP analysis of transcripts induced in chickpea plants by TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles during cold stress. Plant Physiol Biochem 2017; 111: 39-49. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.11.011] [PMID: 27907856]
- [64] Cai F, Wu X, Zhang H, et al. Impact of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles on lead uptake and bioaccumulation in rice (Oryza sativa L.). NanoImpact 2017; 5: 101-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.impact.2017.01.006]

- [65] Ruffini Castiglione M, Giorgetti L, Geri C, Cremonini R. The effects of nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> on seed germination, development and mitosis of root tip cells of Vicia narbonensis L. and Zea mays L. J Nanopart Res 2011; 13(6): 2443-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11051-010-0135-8]
- [66] Ruffini Castiglione M, Giorgetti L, Cremonini R, Bottega S, Spanò C. Impact of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles on Vicia narbonensis L.: potential toxicity effects. Protoplasma 2014; 251(6): 1471-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00709-014-0649-5] [PMID: 24793462]
- [67] Ruffini Castiglione M, Giorgetti L, Bellani L, Muccifora S, Bottega S, Spanò C. Root responses to different types of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles and bulk counterpart in plant model system Vicia faba L. Environ Exp Bot 2016; 130: 11-21. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2016.05.002]
- [68] Dehkourdi EH, Mosavi M. Effect of anatase nanoparticles (TiO<sub>2</sub>) on parsley seed germination (Petroselinum crispum) *in vitro*. Biol Trace Elem Res 2013; 155(2): 283-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12011-013-9788-3] [PMID: 23975579]
- [69] Feizi H, Rezvani Moghaddam P, Shahtahmassebi N, Fotovat A. Impact of bulk and nanosized titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>) on wheat seed germination and seedling growth. Biol Trace Elem Res 2012; 146(1): 101-6.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12011-011-9222-7] [PMID: 21979242]
- [70] Ji Y, Zhou Y, Ma C, et al. Jointed toxicity of TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs and Cd to rice seedlings: NPs alleviated Cd toxicity and Cd promoted NPs uptake. Plant Physiol Biochem 2017; 110: 82-93. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.05.010] [PMID: 27193349]
- [71] Jiang F, Shen Y, Ma C, Zhang X, Cao W, Rui Y. Effects of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles on wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) seedlings cultivated under super-elevated and normal CO<sub>2</sub> conditions. PLoS One 2017; 12(5): e0178088.
  [10] (10) 1271/journal of the second sec

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178088] [PMID: 28558015]

- [72] Klančnik K, Drobne D, Valant J, Dolenc Koce J. Use of a modified Allium test with nanoTiO<sub>2</sub>. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 2011; 74(1): 85-92.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2010.09.001] [PMID: 20864174]
- [73] Kořenková L, Šebesta M, Urík M, *et al.* Physiological response of culture media-grown barley ( *Hordeum vulgare* L.) to titanium oxide nanoparticles. Acta Agric Scand B Soil Plant Sci 2017; 67(4): 285-91.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09064710.2016.1267255]
- [74] Landa P, Vankova R, Andrlova J, *et al.* Nanoparticle-specific changes in Arabidopsis thaliana gene expression after exposure to ZnO, TiO<sub>2</sub>, and fullerene soot. J Hazard Mater 2012; 241-242: 55-62. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2012.08.059] [PMID: 23036700]
- [75] Larue C, Castillo-Michel H, Sobanska S, *et al.* Fate of pristine TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs and aged paint-containing TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs in lettuce crop after foliar exposure. J Hazard Mater 2014; 273: 17-26. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2014.03.014] [PMID: 24709478]
- [76] Mandeh M, Omidi M, Rahaie M. In vitro influences of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles on barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) tissue culture. Biol Trace Elem Res 2012; 150(1-3): 376-80.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12011-012-9480-z] [PMID: 22855306]
- [77] Mohammadi R, Maali-Amiri R, Abbasi A. Effect of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles on chickpea response to cold stress. Biol Trace Elem Res 2013; 152(3): 403-10.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12011-013-9631-x] [PMID: 23456351]
- [78] Moll J, Gogos A, Bucheli TD, Widmer F, van der Heijden MGA. Effect of nanoparticles on red clover and its symbiotic microorganisms. J Nanobiotechnology 2016; 14(1): 36. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12951-016-0188-7] [PMID: 27161241]
- [79] Mushtaq YK. Effect of nanoscale Fe 3 O 4, TiO 2 and carbon particles on cucumber seed germination. J

Environ Sci Health Part A Tox Hazard Subst Environ Eng 2011; 46(14): 1732-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10934529.2011.633403] [PMID: 22175877]

- [80] Singh J, Lee BK. Influence of nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> particles on the bioaccumulation of Cd in soybean plants (Glycine max): A possible mechanism for the removal of Cd from the contaminated soil. J Environ Manage 2016; 170: 88-96. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.01.015] [PMID: 26803259]
- [81] Song U, Shin M, Lee G, Roh J, Kim Y, Lee EJ. Functional analysis of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle toxicity in three plant species. Biol Trace Elem Res 2013; 155(1): 93-103. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12011-013-9765-x] [PMID: 23900645]
- [82] Szymańska R, Kołodziej K, Ślesak I, et al. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles (100–1000 mg/l) can affect vitamin E response in Arabidopsis thaliana. Environ Pollut 2016; 213: 957-65. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.03.026] [PMID: 27060280]
- [83] Tan W, Du W, Barrios AC, et al. Surface coating changes the physiological and biochemical impacts of nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> in basil (Ocimum basilicum) plants. Environ Pollut 2017; 222: 64-72. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.01.002] [PMID: 28069370]
- [84] Wu B, Zhu L, Le XC. Metabolomics analysis of TiO 2 nanoparticles induced toxicological effects on rice (Oryza sativa L.). Environ Pollut 2017; 230: 302-10. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.06.062] [PMID: 28667911]
- [85] Ain N, Haq I, Javed R, et al. Influence of PVP/PEG impregnated CuO NPs on Physiological and Biochemical Characteristics of Trigonella foenum-graecum L. IET Nanobiotech 2017.
- [86] Chauhan N, Hooda V, Pundir CS. *In vitro* effects of metal oxide nanoparticles on barley oxalate oxidase. J Nanopart Res 2013; 15(3): 1493. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11051-013-1493-9]
- [87] Costa MVJ, Sharma PK. Effect of copper oxide nanoparticles on growth, morphology, photosynthesis, and antioxidant response in Oryza sativa. Photosynthetica 2016; 54(1): 110-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11099-015-0167-5]
- [88] Dimkpa CO, McLean JE, Britt DW, Anderson AJ. Nano-CuO and interaction with nano-ZnO or soil bacterium provide evidence for the interference of nanoparticles in metal nutrition of plants. Ecotoxicology 2015; 24(1): 119-29. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10646-014-1364-x] [PMID: 25297564]
- [89] Hong J, Rico CM, Zhao L, et al. Toxic effects of copper-based nanoparticles or compounds to lettuce (Lactuca sativa) and alfalfa (Medicago sativa). Environ Sci Process Impacts 2015; 17(1): 177-85. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C4EM00551A] [PMID: 25474419]
- [90] Javed R, Mohamed A, Yücesan B, Gürel E, Kausar R, Zia M. CuO nanoparticles significantly influence *in vitro* culture, steviol glycosides, and antioxidant activities of Stevia rebaudiana Bertoni. Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult 2017; 131(3): 611-20. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11240-017-1312-6]
- [91] Ke M, Zhu Y, Zhang M, et al. Physiological and Molecular Response of Arabidopsis thaliana to CuO Nanoparticle (nCuO) Exposure. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol 2017; 99(6): 713-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00128-017-2205-4] [PMID: 29098304]
- [92] Korotkova AM, Lebedev SV, Gavrish IA. The study of mechanisms of biological activity of copper oxide nanoparticle CuO in the test for seedling roots of Triticum vulgare. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 2017; 24(11): 10220-33. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-8549-9] [PMID: 28265875]
- [93] Landa P, Dytrych P, Prerostova S, Petrova S, Vankova R, Vanek T. Transcriptomic Response of *Arabidopsis thaliana* Exposed to CuO Nanoparticles, Bulk Material, and Ionic Copper. Environ Sci Technol 2017; 51(18): 10814-24. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b02265] [PMID: 28832134]

- [94] Lee S, Chung H, Kim S, Lee I. The genotoxic effect of ZnO and CuO NPs on early growth of buckwheat, Fagopyrum esculentum. Water Air Soil Pollut 2013; 224(9): 1668. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11270-013-1668-0]
- [95] Moon YS, Park ES, Kim TO, Lee HS, Lee SE. SELDI-TOF MS-based discovery of a biomarker in Cucumis sativus seeds exposed to CuO nanoparticles. Environ Toxicol Pharmacol 2014; 38(3): 922-31.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2014.10.002] [PMID: 25461552]

[Intp.//dx.doi.org/10.1010/J.etap.2014.10.002] [FMID. 23401332]

- [96] Nair PMG, Chung IM. Impact of copper oxide nanoparticles exposure on Arabidopsis thaliana growth, root system development, root lignification, and molecular level changes. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 2014; 21(22): 12709-22. a [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-3210-3] [PMID: 24965006]
- [97] Nair PMG, Chung IM. A mechanistic study on the toxic effect of copper oxide nanoparticles in soybean (Glycine max L.) root development and lignification of root cells. Biol Trace Elem Res 2014; 162(1-3): 342-52. b [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12011-014-0106-5] [PMID: 25190470]
- [98] Peng C, Xu C, Liu Q, Sun L, Luo Y, Shi J. Fate and transformation of CuO NPs in the soil-rice system during the life cycle of rice plants. Environ Sci Technol 2017; 51(9): 4907-17. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b05882] [PMID: 28383251]
- [99] Peng C, Zhang H, Fang H, et al. Natural organic matter-induced alleviation of the phytotoxicity to rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) caused by copper oxide nanoparticles. Environ Toxicol Chem 2015; 34(9): 1996-2003.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/etc.3016] [PMID: 25868010]
- [100] Shaw AK, Hossain Z. Impact of nano-CuO stress on rice (Oryza sativa L.) seedlings. Chemosphere 2013; 93(6): 906-15.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.05.044] [PMID: 23791109]

- [101] Shaw AK, Ghosh S, Kalaji HM, *et al.* Nano-CuO stress induced modulation of antioxidative defense and photosynthetic performance of Syrian barley (Hordeum vulgare L.). Environ Exp Bot 2014; 102: 37-47.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2014.02.016]
- [102] Singh D, Kumar A. Impact of Irrigation Using Water Containing CuO and ZnO Nanoparticles on Spinach oleracea Grown in Soil Media. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol 2016; 97(4): 548-53. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00128-016-1872-x] [PMID: 27370820]
- [103] Trujillo-Reyes J, Majumdar S, Botez CE, Peralta-Videa JR, Gardea-Torresdey JL. Exposure studies of core-shell Fe/Fe3O4 and Cu/CuO NPs to lettuce (Lactuca sativa) plants: Are they a potential physiological and nutritional hazard? J Hazard Mater 2014; 267: 255-63. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2013.11.067] [PMID: 24462971]
- [104] Wu S, Huang L, Head J, *et al.* Phytotoxicity of metal oxide NPs is related to both dissolved metals ions and adsorption of particles on seed surfaces. J Pet Environ Biotechnol 2012; 3(4): 126.
- [105] Xiong T, Dumat C, Dappe V, et al. Copper oxide nanoparticle foliar uptake, phytotoxicity, and consequences for sustainable urban agriculture. Environ Sci Technol 2017; 51(9): 5242-51. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b05546] [PMID: 28383257]
- [106] Zafar H, Ali A, Zia M. CuO NPs inhibited root growth from Brassica nigra seedlings but induced root from stem and leaf explants. Appl Biochem Biotechnol 2017; 181(1): 365-78. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12010-016-2217-2] [PMID: 27562818]
- [107] Adhikari T, Kundu S, Rao AS. Zinc delivery to plants through seed coating with nano-zinc oxide particles. J Plant Nutr 2016; 39(1): 136-46. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2015.1087562]
- [108] Ahmed B, Dwivedi S, Abdin MZ, et al. Mitochondrial and chromosomal damage induced by oxidative

stress in Zn 2+ ions, ZnO-bulk and ZnO-NPs treated Allium cepa roots. Sci Rep 2017; 7(1): 40685. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep40685] [PMID: 28120857]

- [109] Fathi A, Zahedi M, Torabian S, Khoshgoftar A. Response of wheat genotypes to foliar spray of ZnO and Fe<sub>2</sub> O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles under salt stress. J Plant Nutr 2017; 40(10): 1376-85. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2016.1262418]
- [110] García-Gómez C, Obrador A, González D, Babín M, Fernández MD. Comparative effect of ZnO NPs, ZnO bulk and ZnSO 4 in the antioxidant defences of two plant species growing in two agricultural soils under greenhouse conditions. Sci Total Environ 2017; 589: 11-24. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.02.153] [PMID: 28264770]
- [111] Hernandez-Viezcas JA, Castillo-Michel H, Servin AD, Peralta-Videa JR, Gardea-Torresdey JL. Spectroscopic verification of zinc absorption and distribution in the desert plant Prosopis julifloravelutina (velvet mesquite) treated with ZnO nanoparticles. Chem Eng J 2011; 170(2-3): 346-52. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2010.12.021] [PMID: 22820414]
- [112] Kim S, Kim J, Lee I. Effects of Zn and ZnO nanoparticles and Zn<sup>2+</sup> on soil enzyme activity and bioaccumulation of Zn in *Cucumis sativus*. Chem Ecol 2011; 27(1): 49-55. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02757540.2010.529074]
- [113] Kumari M, Khan SS, Pakrashi S, Mukherjee A, Chandrasekaran N. Cytogenetic and genotoxic effects of zinc oxide nanoparticles on root cells of Allium cepa. J Hazard Mater 2011; 190(1-3): 613-21. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.03.095] [PMID: 21501923]
- [114] Abdel Latef AAH, Abu Alhmad MF, Abdelfattah KE. The possible roles of priming with ZnO NPs in mitigation of salinity stress in lupine (Lupinus termis) plants. J Plant Growth Regul 2017; 36(1): 60-70.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00344-016-9618-x]

[http://dx.doi.org/10.100//s00344-016-9618-x]

- [115] Mahajan P, Dhoke S, Khanna A. Effect of nano-ZnO particle suspension on growth of mung (Vigna radiata) and gram (Cicer arietinum) seedlings using plant agar method. J Nanotech 2011. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2011/696535]
- [116] Mousavi Kouhi SM, Lahouti M, Ganjeali A, Entezari MH. Comparative phytotoxicity of ZnO nanoparticles, ZnO microparticles, and Zn<sup>2+</sup> on rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.): investigating a wide range of concentrations. Toxicol Environ Chem 2014; 96(6): 861-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02772248.2014.994517]
- [117] Nair PMG, Chung IM. Regulation of morphological, molecular and nutrient status in Arabidopsis thaliana seedlings in response to ZnO nanoparticles and Zn ion exposure. Sci Total Environ 2017; 575: 187-98.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.10.017] [PMID: 27741454]
- [118] Pokhrel LR, Dubey B. Evaluation of developmental responses of two crop plants exposed to silver and zinc oxide nanoparticles. Sci Total Environ 2013; 452-453; 321-32. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.02.059] [PMID: 23532040]
- [119] Prasad TNVKV, Sudhakar P, Sreenivasulu Y, et al. Effect of nanoscale zinc oxide particles on the germination, growth and yield of peanut. J Plant Nutr 2012; 35(6): 905-27. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2012.663443]
- [120] Raliya R, Tarafdar JC. ZnO nanoparticle biosynthesis and its effect on phosphorous-mobilizing enzyme secretion and gum contents in Clusterbean (Cyamopsis tetragonoloba L.). Agric Res 2013; 2(1): 48-57.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40003-012-0049-z]
- [121] Shaymurat T, Gu J, Xu C, et al. Phytotoxic and genotoxic effects of ZnO nanoparticles on garlic (*Allium sativum* L.): A morphological study. Nanotoxicology 2012; 6(3): 241-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/17435390.2011.570462] [PMID: 21495879]
- [122] Tripathi DK, Mishra RK, Singh S, et al. Nitric Oxide Ameliorates Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles

Phytotoxicity in Wheat Seedlings: Implication of the Ascorbate–Glutathione Cycle. Front Plant Sci 2017; 8: 1. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00001] [PMID: 28220127]

- [123] Vankova R, Landa P, Podlipna R, et al. ZnO nanoparticle effects on hormonal pools in Arabidopsis thaliana. Sci Total Environ 2017; 593-594: 535-42. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.03.160] [PMID: 28360003]
- [124] Venkatachalam P, Priyanka N, Manikandan K, et al. Enhanced plant growth promoting role of phycomolecules coated zinc oxide nanoparticles with P supplementation in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). Plant Physiol Biochem 2017; 110: 118-27. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.09.004] [PMID: 27622847]
- [125] Venkatachalam P, Jayaraj M, Manikandan R, et al. Zinc oxide nanoparticles (ZnONPs) alleviate heavy metal-induced toxicity in Leucaena leucocephala seedlings: A physiochemical analysis. Plant Physiol Biochem 2017; 110: 59-69. a [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.08.022] [PMID: 27622846]
- [126] Wang P, Menzies NW, Lombi E, et al. Fate of ZnO nanoparticles in soils and cowpea (Vigna unguiculata). Environ Sci Technol 2013; 47(23): 13822-30. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es403466p] [PMID: 24195448]
- [127] Watson JL, Fang T, Dimkpa CO, et al. The phytotoxicity of ZnO nanoparticles on wheat varies with soil properties. Biometals 2015; 28(1): 101-12. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10534-014-9806-8] [PMID: 25351960]
- [128] Zafar H, Ali A, Ali JS, Haq IU, Zia M. Effect of ZnO NPs on Brassica nigra seedlings and stem explants: growth dynamics and antioxidative response. Front Plant Sci 2016; 7: 535. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00535] [PMID: 27148347]
- [129] Zhao L, Peralta-Videa JR, Ren M, et al. Transport of Zn in a sandy loam soil treated with ZnO NPs and uptake by corn plants: Electron microprobe and confocal microscopy studies. Chem Eng J 2012; 184: 1-8.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2012.01.041]

- [130] Zhao L, Sun Y, Hernandez-Viezcas JA, *et al.* Influence of CeO<sub>2</sub> and ZnO nanoparticles on cucumber physiological markers and bioaccumulation of Ce and Zn: a life cycle study. J Agric Food Chem 2013; 61(49): 11945-51.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jf404328e] [PMID: 24245665]
- [131] Zhao L, Peralta-Videa JR, Rico CM, et al. CeO<sub>2</sub> and ZnO nanoparticles change the nutritional qualities of cucumber (Cucumis sativus). J Agric Food Chem 2014; 62(13): 2752-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jf405476u] [PMID: 24611936]
- [132] Zhao L, Sun Y, Hernandez-Viezcas JA, *et al.* Monitoring the environmental effects of CeO<sub>2</sub> and ZnO nanoparticles through the life cycle of corn (Zea mays) plants and in situ μ-XRF mapping of nutrients in kernels. Environ Sci Technol 2015; 49(5): 2921-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es5060226] [PMID: 25648544]
- [133] Javed R, Usman M, Yücesan B, Zia M, Gürel E. Effect of zinc oxide (ZnO) nanoparticles on physiology and steviol glycosides production in micropropagated shoots of Stevia rebaudiana Bertoni. Plant Physiol Biochem 2017; 110: 94-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.05.032] [PMID: 27246994]
- [134] López-Moreno ML, de la Rosa G, Hernández-Viezcas JÁ, *et al.* Evidence of the differential biotransformation and genotoxicity of ZnO and CeO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles on soybean (Glycine max) plants. Environ Sci Technol 2010; 44(19): 7315-20. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es903891g] [PMID: 20384348]

# Role of Nanofertilizers in Agriculture-Futuristic Approach

Ali Asger Bhojiya<sup>1,\*</sup>, Abubakar Yahaya Muhammad<sup>2</sup>, Aliyu Ahmad Mahmud<sup>2</sup>, Umar Salisu Ahmad<sup>2</sup>, Monica Sen<sup>3</sup> and Devendra Jain<sup>4,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Science, U.S. Ostwal Science, Arts and Commerce College, Mangalwad, Chittorgarh, Rajasthan, India

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences, Mewar University, Gangrar, Chittorgarh, Rajasthan, India

<sup>3</sup> Department of Biotechnology, Mohanlal Sukhadia University, Udaipur-313001, Rajasthan, India

<sup>4</sup> Department of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, Maharana Pratap University of Agriculture and Technology, Udaipur, Rajasthan 313001, India

**Abstract:** Chemical fertilizers are crucial in the production of cost-effective agricultural crops. However, long-term usage of chemical fertilizers will deteriorate the soil quality and it is hazardous to human health. Scientists and researchers across the globe are seeking the help of nanotechnology as a possible solution to combat the hazardous effect of chemical fertilizers. Nanotechnology is a branch of science and engineering concerned with the matter at the nanoscale or one billionth of a meter. Nanofertilizers are modified fertilizers that are synthesized using techniques of nanotechnology involving various physicochemical and biological methods. These methods aid in enhancing their attributes and composition, which leads to a positive effect on crop productivity. Nanofertilizers are far more beneficial when compared to chemical fertilizers as the former are cost-effective, less toxic and show controlled and regulated release of nutrients to plants. This chapter is primarily concerned with the various methods employed in nanofertilizer synthesis, the economic importance of nanofertilizers and their advantage over conventional chemical fertilizers.

Keywords: Chemical fertilizers, Cost-effective, Nanofertilizers, Nanotechnology.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Soil is a storehouse of nutrients that serve as the medium in which plants grow. Nutrients are lost from the soil in several ways such as crop harvest, weeds,

Juhi Saxena, Abhijeet Singh and Anupam Jyoti (Eds.) All rights reserved-© 2023 Bentham Science Publishers

<sup>\*</sup> **Corresponding authors Ali Asger Bhojiya and Devendra Jain**: Faculty of Science, U.S. Ostwal Science, Arts and Commerce College, Mangalwad, Chittorgarh, Rajasthan, India and Department of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, Maharana Pratap University of Agriculture and Technology, Udaipur, Rajasthan 313001, India; Tel: +91 9887861073 and +91 9929840357; E-mails: aliasger786in@yahoo.com and devroshan@gmail.com

leaching, volatilization, and erosion thereby affecting the fertility status of the soil, thus affecting productivity. Therefore, these losses when combined altogether, a significant amount of nutrients are lost from the soil such that the crop requirement exceeds the soil supplying power thus nutrients are applied from external sources [1].

The bush-fallow strategy, which allows arable land to switch back to fallow after 3-4 years of intensive cropping, was once the conventional technique of sustaining soil fertility and production when the human population was low. With the increasing growth of the human population and other socioeconomic demands, an attempt was made to substitute the fallow system with the use of manures, mainly where significant numbers of animals were present. This highlights the agricultural benefits of organic manures such as farmyard manure, compost, green manure, poultry droppings, cow dung, and household refuse, among others. Nowadays, agriculture became more demanding with the usage of crops giving high yields. But such crops require more nutrition to grow than the natural nutrients present in the soil. It became evident that manures could not fulfill the nutrient requirements of these crops for increased productivity, and could not be procured in adequate quantities to meet farmers' needs. Even when manure is readily accessible, transportation and labor costs (inevitably) restrict its frequent use. In this case, mineral fertilizers were considered a viable alternative [2].

Fertilizers, also referred to as inorganic fertilizers are the mineral source of plant nutrients that are industrially manufactured and their nutritional content is higher than that of farmyard manures and are almost released instantly, thus meeting the nutrient demand of the crop. Fertilizers supply macronutrients such as Nitrogen (N), Phosphorus (P) and Potassium (K) which are necessary for plant growth and development. Fertilizers also supply micronutrients such as Zinc (Zn), Sulphur (S), and Iron (Fe) for plant uptake and utilization in various metabolic processes. Fertilizers can be straight fertilizers (such as Urea, SSP and MoP) containing only one type of primary macronutrients or complex containing two or more primary macronutrients that are chemically bound together [3].

Commercial chemical fertilizers are expensive and include substances that are harmful to the skin or respiratory system. Because of their huge particle size and low solubility, they are less bioavailable to plants. Furthermore, they cause toxicity and disrupt the soil's ecological equilibrium. Implementing nanoparticles in sustainable agricultural practice might be defined as using modern and advanced agro-nanofertilizers over conventional fertilizers in a sequence of environmentally and farmer-friendly inputs [4].

## **CONVENTIONAL FERTILIZERS**

Today's agriculture is growing increasingly intensive, requiring higher dosages of chemicals such as fertilizers, herbicides, and pesticides to achieve maximum productivity per unit area to fulfill the demands of an ever-increasing human population. These chemicals have with no doubt increased crop productivity but simultaneously usage of these chemicals is more than optimum, severely affecting natural resources and ecosystem services.

## **Challenges of Fertilizers In Present-Day Agriculture Practices**

Fertilizers play a significant role in obtaining higher crop yields as they contribute up to 40-60% of agricultural productivity [5]. However, applying higher doses of fertilizer than optimum does not guarantee an increase in crop productivity rather it results in several problems such as soil health degradation, environmental pollution, multi-nutrient deficiency (especially micro-nutrients), element toxicity, rise in the cost of production, among others [6]. The use of inorganic fertilizer helps in increasing the yield of the crop but it increases the cost of production (cost of fertilizer plus cost of transportation) and applying higher doses leads to environmental pollution. In addition, the application of higher rates of chemical fertilizer leads to significant land problems as a result of over-exploitation of land and land pollution [7]. Furthermore, applying higher doses of fertilizer more than crop requirement leads to losses of nutrients through various sources such as leaching (especially for nitrate), volatilization, immobilization, etc. The nutrients lost will not be utilized by the plant as such will increase the cost of crop production. Nutrients lost through leaching cause groundwater pollution while those lost through volatilization such as  $NO_2$  (especially in rice field) is among the greenhouse gases that cause climate change. Pandey and Awasthi [8] concluded that using too many chemical fertilizers reduces soil health quality attributes (physical, chemical, and biological qualities) as well as crop productivity.

## Solutions to the Use of Fertilizer

Despite all these challenges regarding the use of a fertilizer, it plays a significant role in obtaining higher productivity (contributes 40-60% of crop yield) as its nutrient concentration is high and is released immediately to the soil for plant uptake. Therefore, the use of fertilizer cannot be eliminated and this paved the way for several nutrient management practices to be employed in present-day agriculture to minimize many problems linked with the usage of fertilizer. The concept of integrated nutrition management is one of these nutrient management strategies. To improve crop and soil productivity as well as the sustainability of

production systems, integrated nutrient management entails a balanced use of mineral fertilizers in combination with organic and biological sources of plant nutrients. The combined use of organic manures and chemical fertilizers is effective in halting productivity declines by increasing fertilizer use efficiency (FUE) and correcting marginal deficiencies of secondary and micronutrients, as well as their beneficial impacts on the soil's physical and biological properties [3].

In addition, fertilizer nutrient use efficiency is another way of reducing the hazardous effect caused by the usage of fertilizer. Fertilizer nutrient use efficiency involves the efficient utilization of nutrients by applying the required amount of fertilizer to a crop at the right time at the right place using the right method. Adopting and using the techniques for increasing fertilizer use efficiency assist in limiting nutrient loss due to leaching, immobilization and volatilization, thus reducing environmental hazards and cost of production.

Moreover, the present-day agriculture being highly chemically intensive is also concerned with producing a higher yield of crops with little or no emphasis on the quality of crop production. However, in addition to quantity, there is also a need to produce a crop with a high nutritive value that is rich in protein, minerals, as well as other vital elements for human and animal intake. Therefore, to produce a higher yield of the crop with high quality while reducing the negative impacts of chemical fertilizers, the usage of nano-fertilizers is required. Nano-fertilizers are fertilizers developed using nano-technology. Nano-fertilizers are effective tools in agriculture for combating the negative impacts caused by the use of chemical fertilizers because of their small particle size, more penetration capacity, higher surface area and use efficiency. Nano-fertilizer particles are less than 100nm in size, allowing them to penetrate the plant more easily. The smaller particle size increases the surface area of the particles which boosts the rate of uptake and chemical reaction such as photosynthesis in the plant. Nano-fertilizers are characterized by the high use efficiency because they release nutrients slowly to the plant and are thus made available to the plant throughout the growth period hence no residue that may cause environmental hazards is left. Nano-fertilizer is thus served as an effective technology that has a great role to play in crop production as it is ecologically friendly and also ensures the sustainability of production systems and economic stability [6].

## NANO FERTILIZERS (NF)

The word "Nano" is a Greek word that means "Dwarf" measured in one-billionth. The term "Nanotechnology" is defined as the understanding and control of matter typically in the size of 1-100 nm, unique properties of large surface area and extremely small size for nanomaterials such as biological, optical and physical

make the novel application possible [9]. The advances in science and technology such as Biology, Physics, Chemistry, Permaceuticals and engineering resulted in the emergence of the field of nanotechnology. They occurred naturally, but can also be engineered. Nanotechnology is now being explored in many fields like agriculture for new opportunities owing to its extremely small size [10]. Currently, the area of nanotechnology is currently receiving greater emphasis in the agricultural sector for the great potential of nanoparticles with characteristics such as; adherence effects, higher reactivity, surface effects and enhanced bioavailability [11].

The use of synthetic chemical fertilizers solely as a nutrient source remains a major constraint in agricultural production, it resulted in many environmental problems and poor nutrient use efficiency, which is a worse scenario that becomes a hindrance to agricultural sustainability [12]. Additionally, the profit margins for the framers reduce significantly due to the cost rises caused by the overuse of chemical fertilizers. The reasons for inadequate nutrient use efficiency are manifold; among which is usually the consequences of the high rate of conventional fertilizers release beyond the required nutrient requirement of the plants and/or inaccessible of nutrients/fertilizers to crops despite their transformation in the soil [13]. As a result, there has been a surge of interest in the direction of new innovative fertilizer sources that will enhance the efficiency of fertilizers used by crops [14]. Because of the regular consumption of fertilizers in the agricultural sector, the production of nanofertilizers is regarded as the most essential role of nanotechnology in the agricultural field, particularly in developing nations [15].

## Effects of Nanofertilizers on Fertilizers

Food production in many countries has been achieved with the use of chemical fertilizers. In developing countries, the consumption of these fertilizers increases with the introduction of fertilizer-responsive crops and high-yielding varieties. Notwithstanding, the yield of many crops as a result of decreased soil organic matter and imbalanced fertilization has begun to decline [16]. Moreover, the major cause of groundwater pollution and eutrophication in aquatic ecosystems is considered to be the consequences of excessive applications of N and P types of fertilizers. The fact that the fertilizer use efficiency is 20% to 50% and 10% to 25% for nitrogen and phosphorus respectively, implies that efficiency in food production will have to be increased much more than previously [17].

To boost fertilizer use efficiency, a variety of solutions have been proposed, including split or targeted fertilizer application, precision fertilization, fertigation, and the use of nanofertilizers [18]. In the sustainable agriculture context, the

Agriculture-Futuristic Approach

Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications 93

development of new fertilizers through the application of nanotechnology is viewed as one of the potentially likely options to attain sustainability, especially under the current scenario of climate change in addition to increased food production for the ever-increasing population food demands [19, 20]. Interestingly, nanofertilizers in a recommended dose can in a controlled manner feed the plants gradually without under or over application [21]. The side effects like environmental hazards, rate of leaching, and volatilization from the excessive use of chemical fertilizers can significantly be reduced while at the same time improving the fertilizer use efficiency [22]. In addition, enhancing the plants' ability for nutrient absorption [23]. Furthermore, the field of nanotechnology attracted more attention due to the increased efficacy and bioavailability of nanofertilizers applied to the soils and reduced risk of environmental pollution as a result of nutrient loss [24].

## Advantages of Nanofertilizers

Mineral chemical fertilizers have been used and served the purpose of increasing crop yields in agriculture since the beginning of the dramatic increase in the human population. However, the overuse of these chemical fertilizers instead of increasing crop yield may contrarily reduce soil fertility and its productivity thereby causing crop loss in the near future. Nanofertilizers comprise the most important field of agriculture owing to their high capability to improve fertility, increase yield, mitigate pollution and make a favorable environment for soil microbes. The role of these smart fertilizers in plant and soil systems has been well-documented and can act efficiently for the enhancement of agricultural productivity [25].

Nanotechnology is crucial in the development and deployment of novel fertilizers because of its distinctive attributes, like high surface-to-volume ratio, controlled-release kinetics to defined locations, and sorption potential [20]. Nanofertilizers are nutrients that have been encapsulated or coated with nanomaterials to allow for the controlled and gradual release of one or more nutrients to meet plants' critical nutrient requirements [26]. These "smart fertilizers" are currently viewed as a potential option [27] and in some situations, are considered to be the preferred kind of fertilizer over conventional fertilizers [28, 29]. The nutrient delivery system of nanofertilizers has significant advantages over conventional chemical fertilizers [30]. They employ controlled and timely release strategies to regulate the nutrient availability in crops. Nanomaterials are coated with nutrients that have been connected to such slow nutrient delivery [22]. Delivery of nutrients is steady, gradual, and long-term which is beneficial for the producers to improve crop development. Nutrients, for example, can be supplied slowly over 40–50

Bhojiya et al.

days rather than the 4-10 days required by conventional fertilizers [31]. In traditional nutrient management methods, 50 percent of the fertilizer applied to the field is lost to run-off or becomes inaccessible to the crops due to high availability, obstructing root absorption and occasionally generating harmful effects. In addition, nanofertilizers cut down on transportation and application expenses [32]. Another benefit of utilizing in minimal amounts is that the soil does not become laden with salts, which can occur when using conventional fertilizers in either short- or long-term applications [33]. Another benefit of employing nanofertilizers is that they may be tailored to the nutrient requirements of the crops they are meant for [34]. In this case, biosensors could be coupled to a new novel fertilizer that regulates nutrient supply based on soil nutrient status, crop development period, or environmental variables<sup>33</sup>. Plants are susceptible to the availability of micronutrients throughout crop growth, and this has detrimental repercussions on nutritionally deficient fruits and vegetables [35, 36]. It is difficult to restrict the micronutrient distribution to a particular plant in a traditional nutrient management system, but nanofertilizers give the ability to distribute suitable levels of nutrients [37]. Since most horticultural growing areas across the world are deficient in key micronutrients, such as zinc and iron [36], nanofertilizers can be used as efficient and appropriate enrichment products for crops and perishable foods. Nanofertilizers promote nutrient absorption by having a large specific surface area, a small size, and high reactivity [30]. Nanofertilizers, on the other hand, help the plant to withstand diverse biotic and abiotic challenges by supplying balanced nourishment.

## **Limitations of Nanofertilizers**

The widespread use of nanofertilizers in agriculture could have several significant drawbacks, such as new environmental and health-related issues that could restrict the technology's utility in horticulture crop productivity. Phytotoxicity from nanoparticles is also a concern in this area, as plants react differently to different nanomaterials at different doses [12]. These materials' reactivity and unpredictability are also a source of concern. This raises issues about the safety of farmers who may be exposed to xenobiotics as a result of their application [38]. This includes not just individuals who are involved in nanofertilizers' synthesis, but as well as those who have been involved in the application of nanofertilizers in the field. Given the expected benefits, it is necessary to investigate the practicality and applicability of these novel smart fertilizers. Indeed, transportation, toxicity, and bioavailability limitations, as well as unforeseen environmental consequences from contact with biological systems, restrict their applications in agriculture and horticulture [20]. Identifying and assessing the risks of nanomaterials, as well as nanomaterial or fertilizer life cycle evaluation

and toxicological research priorities, are crucial. This is especially true in light of nanoparticles' accumulation in plants and associated health risks. Food safety, along with human and food security, has been raised as a result of the use of nanofertilizers produced from nanomaterials [39, 40]. Nanoparticles' uptake, translocation, transformation, and accumulation in plants are influenced by species, dose, and application method, as well as NP composition, size, shape, and surface features [41]. It is critical to investigate the extent to which NP is harmful to specific crops. It is required for the examination and evaluation of nanofertilizer uptake and translocation. It also illustrates the various changes that occur in nanoparticles when they interact with soil compounds and phytochemicals. The accumulation of NPs in various plant locations can also be determined [42].

## Comparative Analysis of Nanofertilizers over Conventional Chemical Fertilizers

Recent research has focused on a wide range of nanotechnological applications in the agricultural research area, with techniques being developed at the level of both academia and industry [43]. By inventing new techniques for plant disease treatment and pathogen identification, nanotechnology has the potential to improve every aspect of the current agriculture and food economy [44, 45], and improve the ability of plants to absorb nutrients [23, 46]. Furthermore, nanotechnology has begun to garner more interest in the agricultural field, particularly in the development of new nanofertilizers to increase the efficiency and bioavailability of these novel fertilizers while lowering the amount of material lost to the environment [24].

Many studies have shown that using nanofertilizers enhanced agromorphological parameters, photosynthesis, and crop yield. The result from the two years field experiment conducted in Egypt by Ahmed Shebl [47], revealed that; the spray of manganese oxide nanoparticles on the leaves of *Cucurbita pepo L*. delivers the best vegetative outcomes in terms of photosynthetic pigment, fruits, and yield. On the contrary, zinc oxide nanoparticles give the highest value of protein, energy, lipids, and organic matter content on the squash fruits. The application of ZnO-NPs on wheat plants and common beans improved both the vegetative and reproductive growth stages of the crops [24, 48], by the application as a foliar fertilizer. Furthermore, Khodakovskaya *et al.* [49] discovered that carbon nanoparticles improve tomato plant characteristics and yield. In a study conducted by Du *et al.* [50], ZnO-NPs were found to be more effective than ZnSO<sub>4</sub> in the germination and growth of wheat. The field experiment conducted by Nouraein [51] reported that the use of nanofertilizers (nanoboron, nanozinc and
nanocomplete) was observed to give the best result in protein percent, chlorophyll content and straw yield of maize crop compared to NPK mineral fertilizers and NP biofertilizers and control treatment respectively.

### **BIOSYNTHESIS OF NANO-FERTILIZERS: GENERAL APPROACH**

The term "Nano fertilizers" can be defined as the nutrients which can be delivered to crops either by encapsulating them in nano-materials or by delivering them as particles or emulsions of nanoscale dimensions. This statement clarifies the definition of nano fertilizers, describing them as nanomaterials that are either nutrients (micro- or macro-nutrients) or operate as carriers/additives for nutrients (*e.g.*, by compositing with minerals) [34].

Nutrient encapsulation with nanoparticles can be accomplished in three different ways:

1. Plant nutrients are encapsulated in nanoparticles of variable origin and chemical composition.

2. Using a thin layer of nanoparticles, such as polymer film, to coat the nutritional particles.

3. Providing nutrients in the form of emulsions and particles with dimensions in the nanoparticle range.

The major processes of metal nanoparticle biogenic synthesis for nanoparticle formation include nucleation, nanoparticle growth, stabilization, and capping agents, which result in capped and persistent metal nanoparticles. The synthesis of nanoparticles (required for encapsulation) is carried out through a nucleation reaction between the metallic salts and the capping /reducing agent at a specific temperature. The actual entities involved could be electrons from the reducing/capping agent's functional group as well as electrons from metallic ions. These reactions are further assisted by a stabilizing agent which improves the procedure of nanoparticles' synthesis along with by-products. Engineered nanoparticles are made up of a variety of metals (Ag, Au, Zn, Pd, Pt), metal oxide nanoparticles, and even non-metal nanoparticles [52]. Various compounds including organic compounds such as sodium citrate, glycol ethylene, N, Ndimethylformamide, etc. with reducing properties are some of the common reducing agents utilized during the process. Various chemicals like polyethylene glycol (PEG), carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC), thiols, cellulose, etc. are used as stabilizers in nanoparticle formulation.

Agriculture-Futuristic Approach

#### **Approaches for the Synthesis of Nano Based Fertilizers**

Nanotechnology is regarded as the future industrial revolution in the 21<sup>st</sup>century. The word nanomaterial refers to materials having a size between (1–100 nm) with distinctive structural, physical, and chemical properties [53]. Because the synthesis of nanoparticles by various chemical and physical methods has a harmful influence on the environment and living organisms, it is necessary to produce them utilizing bio-inspired agents. Currently, the green synthesis of NPs is a method that is regarded as environmental-friendly because no hazardous chemical is used in green approaches. By inventing new methods for plant disease cures, pathogen detection, and increasing plant physiological activities, nanotechnology can advance the overall existing agricultural and food sector [54]. Furthermore, nanotechnology has begun to bring further advances to the field of agriculture. New nano fertilizers are being developed to increase their efficacy and bioavailability while also reducing the loss of these components to the environment [24]. The advantages and disadvantages of top-down and bottom-up approaches in nanofabrication are described in Table **1**.

Synthesis and fabrication of nanomaterials can be done using two approaches as shown in Fig. (1);



Fig. (1). Different approaches for synthesizing nanoparticles.

Table 1. Advantages and Disadvantages of approaches for the synthesis and fabrication of nanomaterials.

_	Approaches			
_	Top-down	Bottom-up		
	Chemical purification is not needed.	The parameters of the deposition can be controlled.		
Advantages		Cheaper technique.		
Tuvunugos	It is useful for large-scale production.	on. Controlling ultra-fine nanoparticles, nanoshells, ar nanotubes is possible.		
Disadvantages Controlling the deposi	Controlling the deposition parameters is difficult.	Nanoparticles must be chemically purified.		
	Expensive technique	Large-scale production is difficult.		

#### 1. Top-down approach

It is the process of slicing or cutting bulk material into nano-sized particles in a series of steps. In the top-down approach, the starting material is in a solid state. The method used in this approach involves mechanical methods such as cutting, etching, grinding, *etc.*, and lithographic techniques such as photolithography and electron beam lithography.

#### 2. Bottom-up approach

It refers to the atom-by-atom and molecule-by-molecule buildup of material from the bottom. Atom-by-atom deposition causes atoms/molecules and clusters to self-assemble, eventually forming self-assembled monolayers on the substrate's surface. A gaseous or liquid form of matter is used as the starting ingredient. This method employs several strategies;

#### • Physical techniques

I. The process of vapor phase species condensing is known as physical vapor deposition (PVD).

II. Evaporation (Thermal and e beam)

III. Sputtering

IV. Plasma arcing

V. Laser ablation

• Chemical techniques

I. The deposition of the vapor phase of reaction species is known as chemical vapor deposition (CVD).

II. Electrolytic deposition, sol-gel technique, microemulsion approach, and pyrolysis are all used to create self-assembled monolayers.

# Methods of Biosynthesis of Nano-fertilizers

A variety of methods including physical, chemical, aerosol-based and biological have been developed which utilize either the bottom-up or top-down approach for the synthesis of nanoparticles. The advantages and disadvantages of methods of biosynthesis of nano-fertilizers are described in Table 2. The methods are further discussed below:

Methods	Size of Nanoparticles	Advantages	Disadvantages	
Aerosol	100nm	Particle size and shape may be controlled to a high degree • Controlled nanocomposite synthesis. • Within a few percent, there is mono dispersion. • Surface passivation	Large aggregates are formed.	
Physical	15-100nm	Rapid and scale-up synthesis.	Broad PSD and wide range of shapes.	
Chemical	100nm	• Controlling the morphology of metal nanoparticles with precision.	Surface coating with harmful chemicals • Comparatively lesser biocompatibility	
Biological	100nm	Environmentally benign • Natural macro and micro biomolecules are used to coat the surface. • Biocompatible	Particle synthesis occurs at a slow rate • Natural resources are required. • Broad PSD and wide range of shapes.	

Table 2 Advant	and and Diaderates		a anne Ale a at a f as a se a	C
Table 2. Advant	age and Disadvantag	es of methods of bi	osvninesis of nano-	ferfilizers.
			oby meneors or mano	

# **Physical Methods**

The top-down method, which involves crushing the bulk material into fine particles, is often used in the physical method of nanoparticle production. External forces like crushing, impact, disruption, deterioration, cutting, cryo-grinding, grinding, processing, and homogenization are used to accomplish this. For the physical synthesis of metallic nanoparticles, a variety of techniques such as milling, attrition, sputtering, pyrolysis, and laser ablation can be used.

Micro-particle fracturing is a characteristic of the milling process, which can be processed using ball milling, high-energy ball milling (HEBM), grinding, cryogrinding, refining, and homogenization, including medium-pressure homogenization (UHPH) and high process homogenization (HPH). A sizereducing process grinds macroscale or microscale particles in the same way that it grinds macroscale or microscale particles in the attrition process (*e.g.*, an ordinary or a planetary ball mill). The oxidized nanoparticles are then separated from the rest of the particles by air classification. The properties of the resultant nanoparticles are influenced by the milling material and time, as well as the atmospheric medium.

Sputtering is the process of ejecting atoms off the surface of a substance (the target) by bombarding it with strong particles. Sputtering is a momentum transfer phenomenon that occurs when bombardment ions push atoms away from a cathode/target. Sputtered atoms travel until they come into contact with a substrate, where they deposit to create the required layer. Pyrolysis is the process of forcing an organic precursor (either a liquid or a gas) through an opening under high pressure and burning it. To recover oxidized nanoparticles, the ash is air categorized. Laser ablation is the process of removing material from a solid (or often liquid) surface by irradiating it with a laser beam.

However, the production rate of these previously mentioned "physical" processes for attaining metallic nanoparticle synthesis is relatively poor, and the cost is also quite high. Even though these physical processes are adaptable strategies for producing larger nanoparticles in terms of size, diameter, and volume, they nevertheless produce surface flaws, mixed-phase crystals, and contamination, and are more expensive and time-consuming. The flaw regarding efficient and expensive maintenance was caused by biological entities traveling across space and nanoparticle production processes [55].

Chemical and biological processes are among the numerous options for making nanoparticles.

# **Chemical Methods**

Wet-chemical processes are the most extensively utilized methods for the production of metallic nanoparticles. These chemical preparations use a bottomup approach to generate nanoparticles in a liquid media containing a variety of reactants, including reducing agents and stabilizing agents. Various chemical preparation methods, such as co-precipitation, Hydrothermal synthesis, sol-gel process, and chemical vapor deposition (CVD) microemulsion process are available to produce nanoparticles.

#### Agriculture-Futuristic Approach

#### Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications 101

In co-precipitation reactions, the processes of nucleation, growth, coarsening, and/or agglomeration all occur simultaneously. The metal nanoparticles are formed in an aqueous solution through reduction from non-aqueous solutions, electrochemical reduction, and decomposition of metallo-organic precursors. However, co-precipitation reactions are sometimes assisted with sonication or microwaves.

In hydrothermal synthesis, nanoparticles are produced by reacting chemical components in a sealed closed heated solution above ambient temperature and pressure. Mineral solubility in hot water at high pressure is required for nanoparticles' creation using this method. At the opposing ends of the growing chamber, a temperature gradient is maintained. The nutrients are dissolved at the hotter end, while the seeds are supported as they grow at the cooler end.

In another process termed CVD, a solid is deposited on a hot surface by a chemical reaction from the vapor or gas phase. To proceed with the CVC reaction, activation energy is required to break the chemical link between the reactant molecules. Several methods can be used to provide this energy: thermal, plasma, laser, and photo-laser.

The sol-gel method can be used for producing small nanoparticles using solid materials. The sol (or solution) progressively transforms into a gel-like diphasic structure throughout this chemical process. It comprises a liquid phase as well as a solid phase, with morphologies ranging from single particles to vast polymer networks. The microemulsion method is yet another technique used for the preparation of inorganic nanoparticles. Reactants are mixed in this technique, and exchange happens when water droplets collide in the microemulsion, resulting in a precipitation reaction in the nano-droplets. This is accompanied by primary particle nucleation and coagulation, forming final nanoparticles that are stabilized by surfactants and surrounded by water.

Ultrasonic irradiation can be used to induce ultrasonic cavitation in liquids. The production of nanoparticles with controllable morphologies is enabled by cavitation, which generates a unique condition for chemical interactions to occur under extreme circumstances.

Chemical processes are low-cost for large quantities, but they have downsides such as contamination from precursor chemicals, the use of hazardous solvents, and the formation of toxic by-products. As a result, there is a growing need to create high-yield, low-cost, non-toxic, and environment-friendly metallic nanoparticle production processes. As a result, the biological approach to nanoparticle manufacturing becomes critical [19].

#### Aerosol Route For Nanoparticle Synthesis

A gaseous suspension of solid/liquid particles is known as an aerosol. The Vapour phase method is considered the building block of nanotechnology for the synthesis of NPs [56]. Metal nanoparticles are prepared using a variety of inert gases through the evaporation method. Various processes such as atomization, chemical vapor deposition, flame, furnace, and electrospray are used to create nanoparticles utilizing aerosol. Nucleation and condensation of the initial gases and vapor molecules are the fundamental stages in all gas/vapor phase nanoparticle formation. Cluster formation and coagulation occur next, resulting in the production of primary particles, which can then be sintered, agglomerated, and aggregated to form aggregates [19].

The most common method for synthesizing metal nanoparticles is inert gas condensation (IGC). In an ultrahigh vacuum chamber containing helium (He) or argon (Ar) gas at a very high pressure, IGC vaporizes metals. Metal atoms lose kinetic energy when they evaporate and condense into small particles when they collide with the gas. Brownian coagulation and coalescence are then used to transform these particles into nanocrystals.

#### **Biological Methods**

Biological approaches involve the synthesis of nanoparticles from living things or substances. Plants and plant products, bacteria, fungi, viruses, algae, and yeast are just a few of the biological resources accessible in nature that could be used to make nanoparticles. Intracellular and extracellular inorganic minerals have been found in both unicellular and multicellular species. Metal nanoparticles may be made in the presence of metal salts from a variety of plant extracts that contain resins, latex, flavonoids, phenols, alcohols, and proteins. Because plants, fungus, bacteria, yeasts, and algae, are used as reducing and stabilizing agents, the biological approach is also known as "green synthesis." It is a more energyefficient, safer, and waste-free procedure than the other techniques [57].

# **GREEN SYNTHESIS OF NANO-BASED FERTILIZERS**

The use of environmentally friendly resources such as bacteria, fungus, and plants in the synthesis of NPs is known as green synthesis. It conserves energy and conducts the reaction at a very low temperature or pressure within the physiological regime. It is characterized as;

#### Agriculture-Futuristic Approach

- A sustainable route
- Low toxic
- Environmentally benign
- Cost-effective, and
- More efficient modules.

There are three biological routes of nanomaterials synthesis, they are;

- Micro-organisms aided biogenesis
- Bio-template aided biogenesis
- Plant extract aided biogenesis

### **Micro-Organisms Assisted Biogenesis**

Micro-organisms such as Prokaryotic, Bacteria, Fungi, Yeast, and Actinomycetes are used to synthesize nanomaterials, they are also called "Bioreactors". Through enzymes produced by biological activity, these bacteria convert the metal ion into element metal. Therefore, the synthesis can be classified as;

I. Inter-cellular

II. Extra-cellular

Inter-cellular

Inter-cellular method occurs inside the cell (downstream processing) which involves enzymatic reactions at a very low temperature and pressure by transforming the metal into the microbial cell from nanomaterials.

### Extra-cellular

The extracellular procedure occurs at the bacteria' surface, and it entails trapping the metal ion on the surface of cells and decreasing it in the presence of the enzyme.

### **Bio-Template Assisted Biogenesis**

The following biomolecules are used as a template to design nanomaterials;

a) Nucleic acid

Bhojiya et al.

- 104 Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications
- b) Membrane
- c) Viruses
- d) Diatoms (single-cell algae)

Among them, the nucleic acid is known as an excellent biomolecule template, especially for transition metal nanomaterial synthesis.

# Plant Extract Assisted Biogenesis

This process is one of the most efficient, quick, clean, non-toxic, and environmentally friendly methods available [47]. It has mostly been used to make noble metal nanomaterials, metal oxides, and biometallicalloids. Because plant phytochemicals exhibit better decreases and stability, plant extracts are commonly employed [58].

# Green synthesis of Iron (Fe) Nanoparticles

Green synthesis, which uses plant extract or biomass, is said to be more stable and has a faster rate of synthesis than traditional procedures because it is non-toxic, eco-friendly, cost-effective, simple, and easy to carry out [59].

The biosynthesis of  $Fe_2O_3NPs$  is mostly done with plant extracts, different proportions of plant extract and different iron precursor solutions are needed. When added together, the resulting mixture is sonicated at a certain temperature not higher than 40°C and at a certain period of time no longer than 30 minutes. The appearance of black color in the solution signifies the presence of  $Fe_2O_3NPs$  [60].

Bibi *et al.* [59] employed pomegranate fruit extract to produce iron oxide nanoparticles and tested their photocatalytic activity for textile dye degradation in an experiment. It was observed that pomegranate seed extract may be utilized to synthesize  $Fe_2O_3$  NPs in an environmentally friendly and cost-effective manner. Further, these synthesized NPs act as a photocatalyst to degrade dyes in wastewater.

In another experiment, Vitta *et al.* [60] also used an extract (aqueous) of *Eucalyptus robusta Sm* for the synthesis of  $Fe_2O_3NPs$  and to evaluate its antioxidant and antimicrobial activity on different pathogenic micro-organisms *viz. Bacillus subtilis, Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa,* and *Staphylococcus aureus.* On the various bacteria tested, the nanoparticles produced under various synthesis conditions demonstrated antibiotic action.

#### Green Synthesis of Silver (Ag) Nanoparticles

To make silver nitrate (AgNO<sub>3</sub>), different concentrations of AgNO<sub>3</sub> and varied amounts of plant extract are required. The setup is incubated in a dark chamber to reduce photo-activation of the AgNO<sub>3</sub> at room temperature. The appearance of a brown color solution from a colorless solution confirms the reduction of silver ions to the silver nanoparticle [47].

For the first time, a plant extract of *Salvia spinosa* cultivated *in vitro* was used to biosynthesize silver nanoparticles (Ag NPs). The study was carried out to identify the functional groups that existed in the plant extract responsible for the reduction of Ag ions to Ag NPs by using Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) analysis. Both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria were shown to be inhibited by the biosynthesized Ag NPs [61]. Also, bark extract of *Saracaasoca* indicated the presence of hydroxylamine and carboxyl groups responsible for the reduction of Ag ions to Ag NPs [62].

#### Green Synthesis of Zinc (Zn) Nanoparticles

As per the method of Elumalai and Velmurugan [63] for green synthesis of Zn NPs, the plant extract is heated on a magnetic stirrer, and a certain amount of Zinc precursor is added when the temperature reaches about 60°C and is left for a while till white precipitate appeared. The mixture is then left in an oven at 60°C for a period of time or till a creamy paste is formed. In the end, the paste is washed with a solution of distilled water: Ethanol (3:1) and heated in a furnace at 400 °C for 2 h until the resultant white powder is obtained. Chemical vapor deposition, microwave-assisted procedures, precipitation, Sol-gel, hydrothermal, spray pyrolysis, and ultrasonic techniques have all been established for the synthesis of Zinc NPs [64 - 70]. Jain *et al.* [71] reported the low-cost bacterium-based "eco-friendly" efficient synthesis of ZnO nanoparticles by using the zinc-tolerant bacteria *Serratia nematodiphila*.

*Laurus nobilis* L. leaves' aqueous extract is the potential for the synthesis of Zn NPs in a simple, fast and eco-friendly way [72]. Alamdari *et al.* [73] used *Sambucus ebulus* leaf extract to prepare and characterize Zn NPs, which showed strong antibacterial activity against a variety of species as well as the tolerable photocatalytic breakdown of methylene blue dye pollutants.

#### CONCLUSION

Nutrient shortage in soils has resulted in considerable agricultural productivity losses and significant economic losses in agriculture. Conventional fertilizers boost crop output, but their widespread use is unsustainable in the long run since they are inaccessible to plants. Furthermore, most of the macronutrients are less bioavailable with a low utilization rate as they change to an insoluble form in the soil. The delivery of macro-and micronutrients to plants is a crucial part of nanotechnology's application in agriculture. Nanostructured materials are used as carriers or vectors for the controlled release of fertilizers. Thus, these smart fertilizers can increase nutrient use efficiency while minimizing environmental pollution costs. In response to environmental changes and biological demands, a nanofertilizer precisely releases its active chemicals. For nano-fertilizer delivery to plants, both in vitro and in vivo approaches can be used. The uptake and transport of nanoparticles in plants are yet unknown, which has led to a slew of ethical and safety concerns about using nanofertilizers to boost crop plant output. Quantitative assessments are needed to better understand possible health consequences, environmental clearance, and safe and secure removal of nanomaterials, which will lead to better nanofertilizer design.

#### **CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION**

Not applicable.

### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The author declares no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to U.S. Ostwal Science, Arts & Commerce College, Chittaurgarh, Rajasthan, and Mewar University, Chittaurgarh, Rajasthan for providing all the necessary facilities to carry out this work.

#### REFERENCES

- Pol F, Traore B. Soil nutrient depletion by agricultural production in Southern Mali. Fert Res 1993; 36(1): 79-90.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00749951]
- [2] Sindhi SJ, Thanki JD, Desai LJ. A review on integrated nutrient management (INM) approach for maize. J Pharmacogn Phytochem 2018; 7(4): 3266-9.
- [3] Mohammadi NK, Pankhaniya RM, Maitrik PJ, Patel KM. Influence of inorganic fertilizer, vermicompost and biofertilizer on yield & economic of sweet corn and nutrient status in soil. Int J Appl Res 2017; 3(5): 183-6.
- [4] Fatima F, Hashim A, Anees S. Efficacy of nanoparticles as nanofertilizer production: a review.

Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 2021; 28(2): 1292-303. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-11218-9] [PMID: 33070292]

- [5] Dharaiya BK, Asodariya KB, Vaghela TD, Baladaniya BK. Effect of nutrient management on quality parameter, nutrient uptake, soil fertility and economics of Rabi sweet corn (*Zea mays L. var. saccharata sturt*). Int J Chem Stud 2018; 6(3): 2101-4.
- [6] Singh MD, Chirag G, Prakash PO, Mohan MH, Prakasha G. Vishwajit. Nano-Fertilizers is a New Way to Increase Nutrients Use Efficiency in Crop Production. Int J Agric Sci 2017; 9(7): 3831-3.
- [7] Mahapatra A, Behera MP. Integrated nutrient management on baby corn (Zea mays L.): A review. Int J Chem Stud 2019; 7(3): 1-6.
- [8] Pandey KK, Awasthi A. Integrated nutrient management in the maize (*Zea mays* L.) yield and soil properties. Int J Agric Sci 2014; 10(1): 244-6.
- [9] Rawtani D, Khatri N, Tyagi S, Pandey G. Nanotechnology-based recent approaches for sensing and remediation of pesticides. J Environ Manage 2018; 206: 749-62.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.11.037] [PMID: 29161677]
- [10] Mikkelsen R. Nanofertilizer and Nanotechnology: A quick look. Better Crops Plant Food 2018; 102(3): 18-9.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.24047/BC102318]
- [11] Gutierrez FJ, Mussons ML, Gaton P, Rojo R. https://www.intechopen.com/chapters/27382 Nanotechnology and Food Industry, Scientific, Health and Social Aspects of the Food Industry, Benjamin Valdez, IntechOpen 2012. [http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/33458]
- [12] Zulfiqar F, Navarro M, Ashraf M, Akram NA, Munné-Bosch S. Nanofertilizer use for sustainable agriculture: Advantages and limitations. Plant Sci 2019; 289: 110270. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2019.110270] [PMID: 31623775]
- [13] Chhipa H. Nanofertilizers and nanopesticides for agriculture. Environ Chem Lett 2017; 15(1): 15-22. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10311-016-0600-4]
- [14] Van Eerd LL, Turnbull JJD, Bakker CJ, Vyn RJ, McKeown AW, Westerveld SM. Comparing soluble to controlled-release nitrogen fertilizers: storage cabbage yield, profit margins, and N use efficiency. Can J Plant Sci 2018; 98(4): 815-29. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjps-2017-0277]
- [15] Ghahremani A, Akbari K, Yousefpour M, Ardalani H. Effects of Nano-Potassium and Nano-Calcium Chelated Fertilizers on Qualitative and Quantitative Characteristics of *Ocimum basilicum*. Int J Pharma Res Scholars 2014; 3(2): 235-41.
- [16] Veronica N, Guru T, Thatikunta R, Reddy SN. Role of Nano fertilizers in agricultural farming. Int J Environ Sci Technol 2015; 1(1): 1-3.
- J CT, Shikha S, Ramesh R. Nanotechnology: Interdisciplinary science of applications. Afr J Biotechnol 2013; 12(3): 219-26.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.5897/AJB12.2481]
- [18] Lü S, Feng C, Gao C, *et al.* Multifunctional environmental smart fertilizer based on L-aspartic acid for sustained nutrient release. J Agric Food Chem 2016; 64(24): 4965-74. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.6b01133] [PMID: 27244106]
- [19] Raliya R, Saharan V, Dimkpa C, Biswas P. Nanofertilizer for precision and sustainable agriculture: current state and future perspectives. J Agric Food Chem 2018; 66(26): 6487-503. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b02178] [PMID: 28835103]
- [20] Feregrino-Perez AA, Magaña-López E, Guzmán C, Esquivel K. A general overview of the benefits and possible negative effects of the nanotechnology in horticulture. Sci Hortic (Amsterdam) 2018; 238: 126-37.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2018.03.060]

- [21] Chhipa H, Joshi P. Nanofertilisers, Nanopesticides and Nanosensors in Agriculture.Nanoscience in Food and Agriculture 1 Sustainable Agriculture Reviews. Springer Cham 2016; Vol. 20. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-39303-2 9]
- [22] Solanki P, Bhargava A, Chhipa H, Jain N, Panwar J. Nano-fertilizers and Their Smart Delivery System.Nanotechnologies in Food and Agriculture. Cham: Springer 2015. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-14024-7 4]
- [23] Subramanian KS, Manikandan A, Thirunavukkarasu M, Rahale CS. Nano-fertilizers for balanced crop nutrition.Nanotechnologies in food and agriculture. Cham: Springer 2015; pp. 69-80.
- [24] Salama DM, Osman SA, Abd El-Aziz ME, Abd Elwahed MSA, Shaaban EA. Effect of zinc oxide nanoparticles on the growth, genomic DNA, production and the quality of common dry bean (Phaseolus vulgaris). Biocatal Agric Biotechnol 2019; 18: 101083. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bcab.2019.101083]
- [25] Jain D, Sanadhya S, Saheewala H, Joshi A, Bhojiya AA, Mohanty SR. The Role of Nanofertilizers in Smart Agriculture: An Effective Approach to Increase Nutrient Use Efficiency. Agricultural Biotechnology: Latest Research and Trends. Singapore: Springer 2021. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-2339-4 21]
- [26] Zuverza-Mena N, Martínez-Fernández D, Du W, *et al.* Exposure of engineered nanomaterials to plants: Insights into the physiological and biochemical responses-A review. Plant Physiol Biochem 2017; 110: 236-64. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.05.037] [PMID: 27289187]
- [27] Rameshaiah GN, Pallavi J, Shabnam S. Nano fertilizers and nano sensors-an attempt for developing smart agriculture. Int J Eng Res Gen Sci 2015; 3(1): 314-20.
- [28] Iavicoli I, Leso V, Beezhold DH, Shvedova AA. Nanotechnology in agriculture: Opportunities, toxicological implications, and occupational risks. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 2017; 329: 96-111. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2017.05.025] [PMID: 28554660]
- [29] Dimkpa CO, Bindraban PS. Nanofertilizers: new products for the industry? J Agric Food Chem 2018; 66(26): 6462-73.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b02150] [PMID: 28535672]
- [30] Liu R, Lal R. Potentials of engineered nanoparticles as fertilizers for increasing agronomic productions. Sci Total Environ 2015; 514: 131-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.01.104] [PMID: 25659311]
- [31] Chen J, Wei X. Controlled-Release Fertilizers as a Means to Reduce Nitrogen Leaching and Runoff in Container-Grown Plant Production. Nitrogen in Agriculture - Updates. https://www.intechopen.com/chapters/58762 [http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.73055]
- [32] Fan S. Ending hunger and undernutrition by 2025: the role of horticultural value chains. Acta Hortic 2016; (1126): 9-20. [http://dx.doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2016.1126.2]
- [33] León-Silva S, Arrieta-Cortes R, Fernández-Luqueño F, López-Valdez F. Design and Production of Nanofertilizers.Agricultural Nanobiotechnology. Cham: Springer 2018. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-96719-6\_2]
- [34] Kah M, Kookana RS, Gogos A, Bucheli TD. A critical evaluation of nanopesticides and nanofertilizers against their conventional analogues. Nat Nanotechnol 2018; 13(8): 677-84. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41565-018-0131-1] [PMID: 29736032]
- Srivastava AK, Malhotra SK. Nutrient use efficiency in perennial fruit crops—A review. J Plant Nutr 2017; 40(13): 1928-53.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2016.1249798]

- [36] López-Valdez F, Miranda-Arámbula M, Ríos-Cortés AM, Fernández-Luqueño F, de-la-Luz V. Nanofertilizers and Their Controlled Delivery of Nutrients.Agricultural Nanobiotechnology. Cham: Springer 2018. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-96719-6 3]
- [37] Kyriacou MC, Rouphael Y. Towards a new definition of quality for fresh fruits and vegetables. Sci Hortic (Amsterdam) 2018; 234: 463-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2017.09.046]
- [38] Gopalakrishnan Nair PM. Toxicological Impact of Carbon Nanomaterials on Plants.Nanotechnology, Food Security and Water Treatment Environmental Chemistry for a Sustainable World. Cham: Springer 2018; pp. 163-83. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-70166-0 5]
- [39] López-Moreno ML, Cassé C, Correa-Torres SN. Engineered NanoMaterials interactions with living plants: Benefits, hazards and regulatory policies. Curr Opin Environ Sci Health 2018; 6: 36-41. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2018.07.013]
- [40] White JC, Gardea-Torresdey J. Achieving food security through the very small. Nat Nanotechnol 2018; 13(8): 627-9.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41565-018-0223-y] [PMID: 30082813]
- [41] Ebbs SD, Bradfield SJ, Kumar P, White JC, Musante C, Ma X. Accumulation of zinc, copper, or cerium in carrot (*Daucus carota*) exposed to metal oxide nanoparticles and metal ions. Environ Sci Nano 2016; 3(1): 114-26. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C5EN00161G]
- [42] Priester JH, Ge Y, Mielke RE, et al. Soybean susceptibility to manufactured nanomaterials with evidence for food quality and soil fertility interruption. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2012; 109(37): E2451-6.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1205431109] [PMID: 22908279]

- [43] Kim DY, Kadam A, Shinde S, Saratale RG, Patra J, Ghodake G. Recent developments in nanotechnology transforming the agricultural sector: a transition replete with opportunities. J Sci Food Agric 2018; 98(3): 849-64. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.8749] [PMID: 29065236]
- [44] Sharon M, Choudhary AK, Kumar R. Nanotechnology in agricultural diseases and food safety. J Phytol 2010; 2(4): 83-92.
- [45] Zuo P, Li X, Dominguez DC, Ye BC. A PDMS/paper/glass hybrid microfluidic biochip integrated with aptamer-functionalized graphene oxide nano-biosensors for one-step multiplexed pathogen detection. Lab Chip 2013; 13(19): 3921-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c3lc50654a] [PMID: 23929394]
- [46] Basavegowda N, Baek KH. Current and future perspectives on the use of nanofertilizers for sustainable agriculture: the case of phosphorus nanofertilizer. 3 Biotech 2021;11:357. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13205-021-02907-4]
- [47] Ahmed S, Saifullah AM, Ahmad M, Swami BL, Ikram S. Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles using *Azadirachta indica* aqueous leaf extract. Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences 2016; 9(1): 1-7.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jrras.2015.06.006]
- [48] Munir T, Rizwan M, Kashif M, et al. Effect of zinc oxide nanoparticles on the growth and Zn uptake in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) by seed priming method. Dig J Nanomater Biostruct 2018; 13(1): 315-23.
- [49] Khodakovskaya MV, Kim BS, Kim JN, et al. Carbon nanotubes as plant growth regulators: effects on tomato growth, reproductive system, and soil microbial community. Small 2013; 9(1): 115-23. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/smll.201201225] [PMID: 23019062]

- [50] Du W, Yang J, Peng Q, Liang X, Mao H. Comparison study of zinc nanoparticles and zinc sulphate on wheat growth: From toxicity and zinc biofortification. Chemosphere 2019; 227: 109-16. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.03.168] [PMID: 30986592]
- [51] Nouraein M. Effect of Nanofertilizers and Biofertilizers on Yield of Maize: Biplot Analysis. Botanica 2019; 25(2): 121-30.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.2478/botlit-2019-0014]
- [52] Husen A, Siddiqi K. Carbon and fullerene nanomaterials in plant system. J Nanobiotechnology 2014; 12(1): 16.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1477-3155-12-16] [PMID: 24766786]

- [53] Zafar MN, Dar Q, Nawaz F, Zafar MN, Iqbal M, Nazar MF. Effective adsorptive removal of azo dyes over spherical ZnO nanoparticles. J Mater Res Technol 2019; 8(1): 713-25. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2018.06.002]
- [54] Shebl A, Hassan AA, Salama DM, El-aziz MEA, Elwahed MSAA. Green Synthesis of Nanofertilizers and Their Application as a Foliar for Cucurbita pepo L. J Nanomater2019; 2019: 3476347, 11. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2019/3476347]
- [55] Bharimalla AK. Chapter 4. In: Training-Manual Advances in applications of Nanotechnology, ICAR, Central Institute for Research on Cotton Technology (ICAR-CIRCOT) D.A.R.E, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Govt of India. 2018. pp. 28-38.
- [56] Swihart MT. Vapor-phase synthesis of nanoparticles. Curr Opin Colloid Interface Sci 2003; 8(1): 127-33.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1359-0294(03)00007-4]
- [57] Prasad C, Gangadhara S, Venkateswarlu P. Bio-inspired green synthesis of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> magnetic nanoparticles using watermelon rinds and their catalytic activity. Appl Nanosci 2016; 6(6): 797-802. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13204-015-0485-8]
- [58] Mohamad NAN, Arham NA, Jai J, Hadi A. Plant Extract as Reducing Agent in Synthesis of Metallic Nanoparticles: A Review. Adv Mat Res 2013; 832: 350-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.832.350]
- [59] Bibi I, Nazar N, Ata S, et al. Green synthesis of iron oxide nanoparticles using pomegranate seeds extract and photocatalytic activity evaluation for the degradation of textile dye. J Mater Res Technol 2019; 8(6): 6115-24. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2019.10.006]
- [60] Vitta Y, Figueroa M, Calderon M, Ciangherotti C. Synthesis of iron nanoparticles from aqueous extract of *Eucalyptus robusta* Sm and evaluation of antioxidant and antimicrobial activity. Mater Sci Energy Technol 2020; 3: 97-103. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mset.2019.10.014]
- [61] Pirtarighat S, Ghannadnia M, Baghshahi S. Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles using the plant extract of Salvia spinosa grown in vitro and their antibacterial activity assessment. J Nanostructure Chem 2019; 9(1): 1-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40097-018-0291-4]
- [62] Banerjee P, Nath D. A Phytochemical Approach to Synthesize Silver Nanoparticles for Non-Toxic Biomedical Application and Study on their Antibacterial Efficacy. NanoScience and Technology 2015. [http://dx.doi.org/10.15226/2374-8141/2/1/00123]
- [63] Elumalai K, Velmurugan S. Green synthesis, characterization and antimicrobial activities of zinc oxide nanoparticles from the leaf extract of Azadirachta indica (L.). Appl Surf Sci 2015; 345: 329-36. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2015.03.176]
- [64] Omri K, Najeh I, Dhahri R, El Ghoul J, El Mir L. Effects of temperature on the optical and electrical properties of ZnO nanoparticles synthesized by sol-gel method. Microelectron Eng 2014; 128: 53-8.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mee.2014.05.029]

- [65] Khorsand Zak A, Razali R, Abd Majid WHB, Darroudi M. Synthesis and characterization of a narrow size distribution of zinc oxide nanoparticles. Int J Nanomedicine 2011; 6: 1399-403. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S19693] [PMID: 21796242]
- [66] Lu CH, Yeh CH. Influence of hydrothermal conditions on the morphology and particle size of zinc oxide powder. Ceram Int 2000; 26(4): 351-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0272-8842(99)00063-2]
- [67] Okuyama K, Wuled Lenggoro I. Preparation of nanoparticles *via* spray route. Chem Eng Sci 2003; 58(3-6): 537-47.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2509(02)00578-X]
- [68] Wu J-J, Liu S-C. Low-Temperature Growth of Well-Aligned ZnO Nanorods by Chemical Vapor Deposition, Adv Mater 2002; 14(3): 215-218.
- [69] Wei YL, Chang PC. Characteristics of nano zinc oxide synthesized under ultrasonic condition. J Phys Chem Solids 2008; 69(2-3): 688-92. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpcs.2007.07.094]
- [70] Wang Y, Zhang C, Bi S, Luo G. Preparation of ZnO nanoparticles using the direct precipitation method in a membrane dispersion micro-structured reactor. Powder Technol 2010; 202(1-3): 130-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2010.04.027]
- [71] Jain D. Shivani, Bhojiya AA, Singh H, Daima HK, Singh M, Mohanty SR, Stephen BJ, Singh A. Microbial Fabrication of Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles and Evaluation of Their Antimicrobial and Photocatalytic Properties. Front Chem 2020; 8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2020.00778]
- [72] Fakhari S, Jamzad M, Kabiri Fard H. Green synthesis of zinc oxide nanoparticles: a comparison. Green Chem Lett Rev 2019; 12(1): 19-24.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17518253.2018.1547925]
- [73] Alamdari S, Sasani Ghamsari M, Lee C, et al. Preparation and characterization of zinc oxide nanoparticles using leaf extract of Sambucus ebulus. Appl Sci (Basel) 2020; 10(10): 3620. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/app10103620]

# **CHAPTER 5**

# **Nanobiotics for the Treatment of MDR Infections**

Mrinalini Roy<sup>1</sup>, Surbhi Mewara<sup>1</sup>, Prashant Sharma<sup>1</sup>, Anupam Jyoti<sup>2</sup>, Vijay Kumar Srivastava<sup>1</sup> and Sanket Kaushik<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Amity Institute of Biotechnology, Amity University Rajasthan, Jaipur-303002, India

<sup>2</sup> Department of Biotechnology, University Institute of Biotechnology, Chandigarh University, Chandigarh, India

Abstract: Nanoparticles are those agents that are made-up of single or a combination of single or multiple materials which are very small in size ranging from 1 to 100 nanometers. Several studies reveal that nanoparticles have features that interact effectively with microorganisms and can help in treating multidrug-resistant organisms. These have intrinsic antimicrobial activity and are of various types broadly divided into organic and inorganic nanoparticles. Nanoparticles can engage with bacteria and travel across the bacterial cells and host cell membranes, and help treat ESKAPE pathogens which are among the most notorious multidrug resistant superbugs. These pathogens have MDR features and have multiple types of MDR mechanisms including drug inactivation/alteration, modification of drug binding sites/targets, reduced intracellular drug accumulation and biofilm formation. For targeting different types of MDR, there are multiple types of nanoparticles such as metal nanoparticles, nanostructures, leukocyte membrane-coated nanoparticles, red blood cell membrane-coated nanoparticles, cancer cell membrane-coated nanoparticles, and platelet membranecoated nanoparticles among others. Antimicrobial nanobiotics identified and synthesized to date harbor a vast diversity of intrinsic and modified physicochemical properties and have applications in diagnostics. No technology is without its challenges and the same is true for nanobiotics. The major challenges in this field of nanobioticbased therapeutics are their allergic responses, assembly and pharmacokinetics. This chapter will elaborate on the mechanisms of action of various types of nanobiotics present as cost-effective solutions useful in a variety of applications in the treatment of MDR pathogens with a special focus on ESKAPE pathogens.

**Keywords:** Antimicrobial resistance, ESKAPE, Multi drug resistance, Nano biotics, Nanoparticles.

### **INTRODUCTION**

The first antibiotic Penicillin was discovered and commercially produced in 1928. From the 1920s to the present, we have taken for granted that every infection can be cured completely by antibiotics. Because of the generous unchecked use of antibiotics in human therapy; it has resulted in the birth of pathogenic bacteria resistant to multiple drugs [1]. MDR is a serious threat to public health. Efforts for

Juhi Saxena, Abhijeet Singh and Anupam Jyoti (Eds.) All rights reserved-© 2023 Bentham Science Publishers

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author Sanket Kaushik: Amity Institute of Biotechnology, Amity University Rajasthan, Jaipur-303002, India; Tel: +91 99283 11963; E-mail: skaushik@jpr.amity.edu

#### Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications 113

controlling MDR in "ESKAPE" pathogen (Enterococcus faecium, Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Acinetobacter baumannii, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Enterobacter spp.) have been hampered by their ability to escape drugs. They can cause life-threatening nosocomial infections. These pathogens also known as "Superbugs" [2] carry MDR genes on the bacterial chromosome, plasmid, or transposons. Drug resistance mechanisms fall into several categories, some bacteria produce enzymes that can irreversibly modify and inactivate the these are  $\beta$ -lactamases, aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes, drug: or chloramphenicol acetyltransferases. Some bacteria perform modifications of drug binding sites/targets to avoid recognition. The balance of antibiotic uptake and elimination determines the susceptibility of bacteria to a particular drug. Bacterial cells often reduce intracellular drug accumulation to develop antibiotic resistance. Biofilm formation [3] contributes to 65% to 80% of microbial infections and is advantageous in the survival of bacteria. Biofilm can be produced in recurrent tonsillitis, cystic fibrosis lung infection, urinary tract infections and chronic wounds. Clinical illnesses attributed to bacterial adhesion to implants and medical device-related infections are among the most challenging issues to be addressed in MDR infections [4]. Owing to the multidrug resistance nature of pathogens and the failure of the treatments, we must find a better option for treating these microorganisms. Nanobiotics, a revolutionary concept can be seen as a future of drugs for MDR [4].

Nanobiotics are small materials 1- 100 nanometers in size. Nanobiotics also known as Nano particles are categorized into numerous classes; these classes are based on their size, forms and qualities [5]. These categories include numerous subcategories which are elaborated further in the chapter. A brief glimpse into nanobiotics reveals that inorganic nanoparticles are introduced as nanobiotics and used for drug carriage [6]. Nanoparticles that are covered by metal oxide shell are known as metallic nanoparticles. After chemical modification, metal nanoparticles can be used in diagnostic imaging, and targeted drug delivery [7]. Organic nanoparticles focus on the utilization of nanoparticle-based materials having an organic structure [8]. Leukocyte membrane-coated nanoparticles, red blood cell membrane-coated nanoparticles [10] are additional nanoparticles' categories that have been recently designed. In this chapter, we will be discussing about the roles and use of nanoparticles in MDR treatment and diagnostics.

# Nanobiotics

Nanobiotics, also known as nanoparticles, are small materials that range in size from 1 to 100 nanometers. They are categorized into numerous classes based on

their qualities, forms, and sizes. Nanoparticles may consist of a single material or a combination of different materials. They are used in research and technology because of their small size and unique features. Nanoparticles show various physical as well as chemical properties that include the optical, mechanical, and magnetic properties [5]. Optical properties like absorption, transmission, reflection, and light emission of nanoparticles are dynamic. The optical property of nanoparticles is of great importance in several ways. It was discovered that their optical qualities are influenced by their internal electronic structure, providing a thorough understanding of the structure. They can use their electrical properties to develop quantum effects which may lead to variations in size, shape, and color they produce. The optical properties of nanoparticles can be recognized by using various spectroscopic techniques [11]. Nanoparticles' magnetic characteristics have a wide range of applications, including drug administration, therapeutic treatment, MRI imaging, and in-vitro diagnostics. According to one study, nanoparticles perform best when their size is less than the critical value, which is 10-20 nm. Nanoparticles' magnetic characteristics can dominate more effectively at this low scale, making them cost-effective and useful in a variety of applications. Nanoparticles have a magnetic property due to their unequal electrical distribution [12]. The unique mechanical properties of nanoparticles have numerous applications in the field of surface engineering, nanofabrication, and nanomanufacturing. Different mechanical parameters such as elastic modulus, hardness, stress and strain, adhesion, and friction can be examined to better understand the mechanical nature of nanoparticles. Surface coating, coagulation, and lubrication, in addition to these characteristics, play a role in the mechanical properties of nanoparticles. Controlling the mechanical properties of nanoparticles and their interaction with any type of surface, on the other hand, is critical for highlighting surface quality [13].

#### The Amalgamation of Nanoparticles with Antimicrobials

Antimicrobial resistance to hazardous bacteria is on the rise across the world, posing a serious threat to human health. This has led the researchers to look for new therapeutic options. One of the approaches that have been explored currently includes drug-associated nano systems. Several studies have revealed the intrinsic antimicrobial activity of various types of organic and inorganic nanoparticles. These nanoparticles have many unique properties, including small size and a high surface-area-to-volume ratio in comparison to bulk material, both of which are important for antimicrobial activity. Nanoparticles' special features allow them to engage with bacteria and rapidly traverse the bacterial and host cell membranes, obstructing the main microbial metabolic pathways and allowing the eradication of intracellular infections where antibiotics typically fail [5]. Nanoparticles can functionalize the surface, especially when it comes to linking chemical functional

groups for targeted medication delivery and antibiotic action enhancement. Nanoparticles, often known as "nano antibiotics," have antibacterial properties and can also operate as drug delivery vehicles for conventional antibiotics. As a result, nanoparticles have acquired favor in the scientific community as new generation antibiotics, allowing researchers to investigate many aspects of antibacterial action [14].

#### Multidrug Resistant ESKAPE Pathogens

'ESKAPE' pathogens consist of *Enterococcus faecium*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Acinetobacter baumannii, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and *Enterobacter* species that show potent virulence and multidrug resistance. These bacteria include both Gram-positive and Gram-negative species that can avoid or escape the bactericidal action of commonly used antibiotics and therapies because of their antimicrobial resistance property. In immunocompromised patients, ESKAPE pathogens are a common source of nosocomial infections. Antimicrobials are unable to combat these diseases due to a variety of resistance mechanisms, including changes in cell permeability, drug disintegration, modification of drug attachment sites/targets, and/or mutation [15]. They also form biofilms that prevent antibiotics as well as the host's immune-responsive cells to inhibit the pathogen. The continuous use of antibiotics gave rise to the development of extensively drug-resistant (XDR) and multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria, which renders even the most effective drugs, ineffective. Bacterial biofilms provide various survival advantages against antimicrobials. It has been found that biofilms contribute about 80% and 65% to chronic and microbial infections [3, 4]. Implants are used to replace and support body structures. Microorganisms clinging to the surface of the implant cause biofilm formation. Dental caries, chronic rhinosinusitis, recurrent tonsillitis, cystic fibrosis lung infection, urinary tract infections, chronic wounds, periodontitis, and devicerelated infections were among the clinical illnesses connected to bacterial adhesion to implants. ESKAPE pathogens are involved in the contamination of urinary catheters, central venous catheters, biofilm formation on mechanical heart valves among others. Because biofilms are resistant to antibiotics and the host's immune system, surface modification of implants is critical for enhancing their biocompatibility and anti-infection properties [16].

#### Nano Biotics-the Perfect Solution For MDR- broad-Spectrum Activity

The ability of microorganisms to withstand antibiotics has become one of the most pressing challenges in public health. Antimicrobial resistance has evolved through a variety of mechanisms, including enzyme inactivation, decreased cell

overexpression of efflux pumps [17]. Infections produced by multidrug-resistant organisms (MDROs) and the lack of novel antimicrobials are major causes of

morbidity and mortality worldwide due to this acquired antimicrobial resistance feature of bacteria. These clinical issues underline the urgent need for new antibacterial methods that are both effective and safe. Several strategies are being investigated to overcome this challenge, including the use of nanostructured materials. Nanoparticles represent a viable technique for controlling MDRO infections due to their unique features and uses. Nanoparticles (NPs) may easily penetrate pathogenic bacteria's cell membrane and disrupt major molecular pathways, allowing them to bypass bacteria's common resistance mechanism [18], [19]. Nanoparticles have antimicrobial efficacy through a variety of methods, including direct contact with the bacterial cell wall, biofilm inhibition, innate and adaptive host immunological responses, the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), and activation of intracellular effects. As a result, nanoparticles are an excellent way to tackle MDROs [17]. In bacteria, quorum sensing systems are one of the most significant pathogenic regulating mechanisms, specifically in biofilm formation. Biofilm generation, which permits bacteria to suppress antibiotic action, is one of the main causes of bacterial resistance to antibiotics. Biofilms are bacteria's most active component, consisting of cells connected on the surface within an extracellular polymeric substance (EPS) matrix. EPS acts as a barrier to antibiotic penetration and aids phagocytes in bypassing the innate immune system, creating antibiotic resistance, and posing a severe health danger to humans. Many studies have shown that nanoparticles can disrupt bacterial cell membranes and interfere with biofilm formation by interacting with EPS and quorum sensing, lowering the chances of bacterial survival [20]. The main target of most of the recent nanoparticles-based techniques to inhibit bacterial biofilm formation is to interfere with quorum sensing molecules. Quorum sensing system allows bacteria to communicate with one another *via* production and detection of signal molecules like auto-inducers which helps them to synchronize their gene expression, obtaining the advantage to react to environmental changes. In one of the investigations, it was shown that nanoparticles functionalized with cyclodextrin (-CD) or N-acylated homoserine lactonase proteins (AiiA) can disrupt signaling molecules, preventing these molecules from attaching to their receptor and so turning off quorum sensing. In this way, nanoparticles provide a potential alternative strategy to disrupt bacterial biofilms and hence quorum sensing with the possibility to use antibiotic-free and antibiotic-coated techniques [17].

permeability, target protection, changed target site, and enhanced efflux by the

Roy et al.

### **TYPES OF NANOPARTICLES**

#### **Inorganic Nanoparticles**

As a result of recent breakthroughs in nanotechnology, various inorganic nanoparticles have been introduced, and several of these inorganic nanoparticles have been used as drug carriers. Preclinical research on inorganic nanoparticles as diagnostic and therapeutic systems in oncology for several applications, including tumor imaging and medication administration, has gotten a lot of attention. In comparison to organic materials, inorganic nanoparticles are biocompatible, nontoxic, hydrophilic, and highly stable [6].

### Metal Nanoparticles

Metallic nanoparticles (MNPs) have an inorganic metal or metal oxide core that is surrounded by an organic or inorganic substance or metal oxide shell. Metallic nanoparticles are commonly employed in the fabrication of metal-based biopolymer composites due to features such as optical polarizability, biocompatibility, electrical conductivity, antibacterial activity, and chemical properties. MNPs can be modified with a variety of chemical functional groups to allow them to bind with ligands, antibodies, and medicines, allowing them to be used in biotechnology, diagnostic imaging, and targeted drug delivery [7]. Nanoparticles, such as gold, silver, and magnetic nanoparticles, have gained popularity in recent years. Despite the enormous advances of MNPs, they are highly toxic to living cells. Although there are some studies on MNPs toxicity suggesting that they might affect the biological systems at the cellular level, complete knowledge of MNPs toxicity is needed for large-scale production [21].

# **Gold Nanoparticles**

Gold NPs are promising agents for cancer therapies and are important in imaging, drug carriers, and thermotherapy. Gold nanoparticles have unique physical and chemical features that improve medication efficacy, drug loading, and biocompatibility, allowing them to easily reach the target location with blood flow [22]. The various types of GNPs are gold nanoshells, gold nanorods, gold nanocages, and gold nanospheres. Gold nanoshells have recently been employed as imaging and therapeutic agents for cancer. The unusual core-shell nanostructure formed of a spherical dielectric core material such as silica or polystyrene, or sodium sulfide coated by a gold coating is the cause for their identification. Many in vitro experiments employing gold nanoshells targeting cancer cells have demonstrated that when exposed to near-infrared radiation, cancer cells are effectively destroyed [23].

### Iron Oxides Nanoparticles

Iron oxide nanoparticles (NPs) are one of the most common types of inorganic materials. Iron oxide NPs are divided into two types based on their size: superparamagnetic and ferromagnetic. Superparamagnetic iron oxide NPs (SPIONs) are one of the most investigated inorganic materials for drug administration, hyperthermia therapy, and imaging. Superparamagnetic iron oxides have a significant magnetic response to external magnetic fields. They are non-toxic, biocompatible, and can be effectively removed from the human body using iron metabolism routes. Heike *et al.* proved that iron oxide NPs have an intrinsic therapeutic effect on tumors [24]. Iron oxide NPs treated tumor cells have a slower growth rate than that of control. Iron oxide NPs also exhibit an intrinsic enzyme activity. Due to their potential as MRI contrast agents combined with the ability for selective targeting, iron oxide nanoparticles play an essential role in MRI-based imaging and diagnostics. Other major applications of iron oxide nanoparticles are protein separation and purification, bio-sensing, and drug delivery [25].

# Silver Nanoparticles

As a bactericide, silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) have been employed in a variety of products. Many human cancer cells, including breast cancer cells, have been tested with nano-sized silver particles and their anticancer properties. Silver nanoparticles can be produced by a variety of processes, including physical, chemical, and biological ones, and they can be utilized as biosensor materials. They have optical properties and can be used in the medical sector due to their antibacterial, antifungal, and anti-inflammatory effects [26, 27].

#### Nanostructures

Nanostructures are extremely essential in the field of nanoscience and nanotechnology. A nanostructure is a structure with at least one dimension of 100 nm or less. Nanostructures offer a variety of physical and chemical properties that can be exploited to create essential functional polymers, resins, and elastomers. Different types of nanostructures that are available are nanoparticles, nanotubes, nanorods, nanopores, nanowires, nanoribbons and nano scaffolds. The fact that these structures are size-dependent is their greatest advantage. Metallic nanoparticles, for example, produce tunable radiation and absorption wavelengths based on their aspect ratio and coating. These distinct properties are the characteristics of localized surface plasmon resonance (LPSR). Some nanostructured surfaces are appropriate for increased cell adhesion and

proliferation [28]. Carbon nanotubes possess extremely high current carrying capacity. Nanowires play a very important role in various sensing techniques like electrochemical, electrical, optical, and mass-based strategies. By taking advantage of nanoparticles' biodistribution, nanostructure-mediated medication delivery improves therapeutic impact and decreases side effects. Many scientists have created hybrid nanostructures that combine one or more nanoparticles. Hybrid nanostructures address fundamental issues in oncology, such as drug resistance and tumor heterogeneity, by combining many treatment modalities in a single nanocarrier and releasing it at the disease site in a regulated manner [29].

### **Organic Nanoparticles**

Various studies are focusing on the utilization of nanoparticle-based materials having organic structure for bone, cartilage, skin, and dental tissue regeneration. Polymeric nanoparticles, carbon nanotubes, liposomes, and biomimetic nanoparticles are all examples of organic nanoparticles [8].

# **Polymeric Nanoparticles (NPs)**

Polymeric nanoparticles (NPs) are colloidal particles that range in size from 10nm- 1µm. Polymeric nanoparticles (NPs) have several applications due to their properties arising from their small size. Polymeric NPs are known to clear heavy metals that are toxic to living cells. Nanoparticles affect their toxicity due to quantum size effects associated with genotoxicity, cytotoxicity, and oxidative stress. Polymer-NP composite materials have unique features like good electrical conductivity, high mechanical strength, optical and thermal properties. For oral drug delivery of quercetin. Kumar *et al.* produced a biodegradable polymeric NP. poly- $\varepsilon$ -caprolactone (PCL) was the polymer used which is nontoxic, approved by FDA, biocompatible, permeable, and biodegradable. The authors concluded that the particles can be used in the pharmaceutical industry as they allow controlled release of the drug [30]. Nanosphere and nano capsules are the two forms of structures that can be formed by polymeric nanoparticles depending on the method of preparation. Polymeric nanoparticles can be produced from synthetic polymers. There are two types of synthetic polymers available - biodegradable and nonbiodegradable. For the treatment of cancer and advanced diagnosis, biodegradable polymeric nanoparticles show therapeutic potential for accurate drug delivery. Poly (d,l-lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) is a biodegradable polymer and has been used for the transdermal delivery of Spantide II, and ketoprofen. Polyacrylates are nonbiodegradable polymers. Polyacrylates are also used for dermal and transdermal drug delivery but to a lesser degree compared to biodegradable polymers. For the systematic transfer of chemotherapeutic drugs to tumor cells with the least damage to the healthy tissues, targeted PNPs are usually used. PNPs can be viewed as ideal candidates for targeted antibiotics delivery, vaccine delivery, and cancer therapy [31]

#### **Carbon Nanotubes**

Carbon nanotubes are carbon allotropes. Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are carbonbased tubes with diameters measured in nanometers. Their unique physical, chemical, and electronic properties offer great opportunities for nanometer-scale electronic applications. Carbon nanotubes can either be metallic or semiconducting based on their structure [32]. Macroscopic CNT-enabled materials are the most studied carbon nanotube composites. Single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs), double-walled carbon nanotubes (DWCNTs), and multiwalled carbon nanotubes are the three types of carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs). One or two graphene cylinders are found in SWCNTs and DWCNTs respectively, whereas MWCNTs contain many concentric graphene sheets. Because of their huge surface area, flexibility, remarkable strength, current capacity, low weight, and semiconducting properties, CNTs have been widely used in a variety of applications. Carbon nanotubes have sparked attention as a novel adsorbent due to their hollow and multilayer architectures, as well as their high chemical and thermal resilience [33].

### Liposomes

Liposomes are phospholipid vesicles that are made up of one or more concentric lipid bilayers that surround discrete aqueous gaps that resemble the biological cell membrane. Because of their unique capacity to entrap lipophilic and hydrophilic molecules, these vesicles can encapsulate and transport a wide spectrum of medications. Hydrophilic molecules are integrated into the bilayer, while hydrophobic ones are confined in the aqueous center. Phospholipids such as phosphatidylcholines, phosphatidylethanolamines, phosphatidyl serines, and phosphatidylglycerol, as well as cholesterol, are typical liposome substituents. The most frequent nanocarriers for delivering targeted drugs are liposomes. They are known for developing more effective remedies for several biological problems. Due to its flexibility and versatility, a liposome is one of the most popular nanomedicines in cancer therapy and bioimaging, as well as a remarkable delivery mechanism. As a drug delivery method, liposomes have various advantages, including the ability to self-assemble, the ability to carry big pharmaceuticals, biocompatibility, and a wide range of physicochemical and biophysical properties. A lipid bilayer made up of cationic, anionic, or neutral lipids, as well as cholesterol, surrounds an aqueous center in traditional liposomes [34]. By altering pharmacokinetics and biodistribution to promote therapeutic

#### Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications 121

delivery to damaged tissue, traditional liposomal formulations reduce chemical toxicity *in vivo*. Ligand-targeted liposomes are used to deliver drugs to specific organs *in vivo* by selectively expressing certain ligands (receptors or cell adhesion molecules) at the ailment site. Commonly used ligands are antibodies, peptides/proteins, and carbohydrates. Polyethylene glycol (PEG), a hydrophilic polymer believed to be the best choice for manufacturing sterically stabilized liposomes, was discovered to improve liposomes' stability, and circulation time in the blood [35, 36].

### **Biomimetic Nanoparticles**

Nanoparticles have one inherent property that they can be easily recognized as foreign substances by an immune system. Therefore, they have poor drug targeting effects. Biomimetic nanoparticles (NPs) are a new type of NP that has recently been identified as a unique drug delivery system that increases medication biocompatibility and specificity at the desired target region [37]. Nanoparticles (NPs) disguised in cell membranes are one of the most advanced biomimetic platforms for mimicking some of the functions and composition of cell membranes. This membrane-coating technology has lowered the constraints of nano-systems, such as quick elimination in circulation, allowing them to navigate more effectively throughout the body. Because of the various functional molecules present on the surface, cell membrane-based nanoparticles (CMBNPs) can interact with the complicated biological environment of tumor cells. According to the purpose and target disease, cell membrane coating can be produced from different cell lines such as platelets, RBCs, leukocytes, cancer, and stem cells, thus involving a wide variety of plasma membranes [9].

# Leukocyte membrane-coated nanoparticles

WBCs, also called as leukocytes, are immune system cells that defend the body from pathogens and infectious diseases. WBCs can easily migrate to and from blood arteries to extravascular tissues due to amoeboid mobility. The progression of tumor cells is aided by a significant number of inflammatory cells, such as neutrophils, dendritic cells, macrophages, eosinophils, and mast cells, as well as lymphocytes [38]. Leukocytes are attracted by several chemokines and cytokines produced by tumor cells. Macrophages are considered one of the largest populations of cancer-related leukocytes. Nanoparticles coated with macrophage membranes have a prolonged blood circulation time, the ability to overcome vascular barriers and the ability to recognize tumor cells by molecular recognition. These NPs were also able to bind specifically to inflamed regions, allowing drug transport across the vasculature [39].

#### **Red Blood Cell Membrane-coated Nanoparticles**

RBCs, also referred to as erythrocytes, are common cells in human blood, with a total number of around 20-30 trillion. CD47 (transmembrane protein) is expressed on the cell membrane of red blood cells and is identified as a self-component that leads to long-term RBC circulation *in vivo*. CD47 binds to the inhibitory receptor signal regulatory protein alpha and releases the "do not eat me" signal, which prevents immune cells from phagocytosing RBCs. The CD47 signal indicated circulation time of RBCs. When injected into mice, different types of nanoparticles coated with RBC-membrane were found to have a longer circulation period and a regulated release of the encapsulated medicines, such as DOX (doxorubicin), with a higher LC50 [39].

# Cancer Cell Membrane-coated Nanoparticles (CCNPs)

Cancer cells differ from blood cells in their limitless replicative potential, immune evasion, and homogenous targeting ability. Cancer cells can be easily separated *via in vitro* cell culture due to their proliferative potential. Homotypic cancer cell aggregation is critical for the formation of secondary lesions in various organs and tissues [37]. Because cancer cells have innate immune escape and homologous adhesion capabilities, many cancer-CMC nanoparticles are being designed for tumor targeting detection and therapy. A cancer cell membrane-cloaked-up conversion nanoprobe, was designed and it demonstrated low immunogenicity and homogeneous targeting effects [40].

# **Platelet Membrane-coated Nanoparticles**

Platelet membranes have received a lot of attention because of their availability and distinct physiological significance. Because they have CD47 and P-selectin on their surfaces, they can address vascular damage and interact with circulating cancer cells, making them a unique platform for cancer targeting. Moreover, platelet-biomimetic NPs show higher blood circulation time. The presence of specific ligands like CD47 and CD55/59 on their surface platelet membranes provides potential advantages for nanoparticle coating like immune evasion and avoidance of complementing activation respectively. Hu *et al.* created platelet membrane-coated nanovesicles (PMNVs) with DOX and TRAIL ligands that can induce apoptosis in target cells in a recent study. Their ability to trigger apoptosis in MDA-MB-231 cells by delivering TRAIL to their membranes has been demonstrated. DOX-loaded platelet membrane-coated NPs containing RGD peptides were also demonstrated to evade immune-mediated purging and target cancer vasculature [38].

#### **CHALLENGES IN NANOBIOTICS**

#### Allergic Responses

Despite the potential advantages of employing nanoparticles in industry and health, there is growing concern regarding their biosafety. The interaction of manmade nanoparticles with the immune system has become vital and significant. These interactions between nanoparticles and the immune system can result in a variety of immunological reactions, which can alter the immune system and potentially cause immunotoxicity. For example, nanoparticles increase the level of reactive oxygen species in cells during a pro-inflammatory state, which can cause damage to protein, lipid, and membrane of human cells. Constant activation of the immune system can lead to the production of allergic and autoimmune diseases. Therefore, complete knowledge of the immunomodulatory effect of nanoparticles is very important for developing nanoparticles for biological purposes [18, 41]. Engineered nanomaterials have large biodistribution and tissue accumulation which can be serious from an allergic point of view. Boraschi et al. discovered that human primary monocyte-based in vitro tests may be used to evaluate the impact of manufactured nanoparticles on human innate immune responses. In one of the studies, it was shown that regardless of nanomaterial type i.e., single, or multi-walled, intranasal, or subcutaneous administration of carbon nanotubes (CNTs) increase the allergen potential of egg albumin. Another study demonstrated that the blood concentration of IgE was remarkably enhanced following iron oxide nanoparticles' single dose intratracheal administration. Furthermore, the mechanisms behind nanoparticle immunotoxicity are still not well understood and need more research to be determined effectively [42].

#### Assembly of NPs

Drug development areas have made extensive use of nanotechnology. Nanoparticle-based pharmaceuticals can efficiently deliver hydrophobic medications and biologics to target areas by crossing biological barriers. Despite these major advantages, only a small percentage of nanoparticle-based drugs have been licensed for clinical use, owing to a few roadblocks and barriers encountered throughout the research process. According to reports, the complexity of nanoparticles as a 3-Dconstruct necessitates careful design and engineering, detailed orthogonal analysis methods, and a repeatable production and scale-up process to achieve a consistent product with the required physicochemical properties, biological patterns, and pharmacological characteristics. Small variations in numerous parameters might alter the safety and efficacy of nanoparticle-based therapies, thus they must be thoroughly studied in preclinical and clinical research, particularly in terms of biodistribution and immunological

toxicities. The ability to construct simultaneous control structures on different length scales and their modification in time or on-demand is one of the most significant difficulties in nanoparticle self-assembly [43, 44].

# **Pharmacokinetics of NPs**

Nanomedicines have physicochemical features, shape, particle size, and size distribution, and slight variations in the composition induced by manufacturing process deviations might influence the pharmacokinetics, biodistribution, and safety profiles of nanoparticle-based medicine. The achievement of a proper pharmacological and pharmacokinetic profile appropriate for the specified indication is critical for effective nanomedicine. The application of smallmolecule pharmacokinetic criteria to the pharmacokinetics of nanomedicines poses several obstacles. Because only a small portion of the medication supplied reaches its intended location, the conventional criterion for assessing pharmacokinetic in the blood as the primary measure of nanoparticle in vivo behavior may be incorrect. With many nanomedicines available, it is doubtful that standard pharmacological approaches would be relevant to characterize their behavior. It was reported that there is no successful approach to engineer and design a nanoparticle-based medicine to attain an intended pharmacokinetic profile. The most popular method is to use nanomedicines with prolonged circulation times to take advantage of the EPR effect or target them. However, this technique may not always be appropriate for the intended reason, and it may compromise therapeutic efficacy and increase systemic exposure needlessly in some circumstances. Furthermore, rather than assessing plasma pharmacokinetic physicochemical properties, it may be more relevant to look at drug concentrations or accumulation at the intended sickness site to investigate the repeatability and activity of nanomedicines [43, 45].

# **Application of Nanobiotics in Treatment of MDR Infections**

Antimicrobial nanobiotics identified and synthesized to date (i.e metal, metal oxide and organic and polymeric NPs) harbor a vast diversity of intrinsic and modified physicochemical properties. These properties are instrumental in their broad-spectrum effects which operate by numerous modes of action. This section explains how the various nanobiotics are used to treat bacterial MDR infections with a focus on their mechanism of action (MOA) and bioavailability.

# **Metallic and Inorganic Nanobiotics**

Due to their ease of synthesis and tunable properties, metallic nanobiotics have been widely exploited for treating MDR bacteria in chronic wounds, infectious diseases, sepsis, and inflammatory syndromes. The intrinsic antibacterial effects

#### Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications 125

of metallic NPs are exerted through a range of broad-spectrum mechanisms. The small size enables high-level interaction with microbial membranes and protein transport activity. Metallic NPs engage in electrostatic interactions with sulfur proteins present abundantly in the bacterial cell envelope. This causes irreversible damage to cell wall structure resulting in disruption of cell membrane integrity and creating a leaky bacterial cell that exudes its cellular contents into the host environment; these can easily be detected and cleared by immune cells. Thus, metallic NPs offer an excellent alternative to traditional antibiotics for treating MDR bacteria. The Gram-negative ESKAPE pathogens have negatively charged lipopolysaccharides (LPS) on their surface which enables strong adhesion with metallic NPs which contain positive charges on their surface. This makes them even more susceptible to nanobiotic therapy. The free radicals are toxic to all the ESKAPE pathogens by directly damaging their DNA, proteins, and lipid biomolecules. In addition to the above, inorganic NPs modulate cell signaling and destabilize protein synthesis machinery.

Inorganic and metallic NPs have a large surface area to volume ratio and possess high cell permeability capabilities. Along with their intrinsic broad-spectrum bactericidal effects, these present themselves as viable candidates for carrying a variety of antibiotics. Gold nanoparticles combined with antibiotics generate nanobiotics with improved bactericidal action against a variety of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, according to efficacy tests. When compared to single antibiotics, these combined nanobiotics have a longer shelf life. Integrating inorganic NPs such as silica and graphene with metallic NPs enhances the bactericidal effects along with increased stability of drug release. One such example is the nano-assembly of ferric oxide (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) core with a shell of mesoporous silica  $(mSiO_2)$  coated with graphene oxide and loaded with antibiotic cargo, which displays stable release of the drug. The high-affinity binding of metallic NP-antibiotic complex to the outer envelope of the bacterial cell enables an increase in local concentration and bioavailability of the drug and thus, antibacterial effects are seen at a much lower dose of the antibiotic. Integrating these particles into a polyethyleneimine surface resulted in an extension to control infections in biomedical applications. Several studies have shown that gentamicin and vancomycin can be successfully conjugated to gold NPs and these Au nanobiotics demonstrated increased antimicrobial activity against vancomycinresistant enterococci (VRE) and S. aureus (VRSA). Importantly, the gold–conjugated antibiotics are quite stable even under harsh storage conditions as compared to the free antibiotic. Another group has shown increased absorption and activity of ciprofloxacin in the presence of ZnO nanoparticles. Interestingly, metallic/inorganic NPs can mimic the catalysis of natural enzymes, and these are termed as nanozymes. These nanozymes respond to changes in pH, GSH (glutathione) levels, and free radical contents of the human host, and accordingly

generate therapeutic agents through catalytic mimicry of enzymes. This effect has mainly been observed in antitumor nanobiotic therapy where inorganic nanoassemblies mimic peroxidases to generate toxic ROS to cause targeted tumor apoptosis. This has yet to be explored in detail for ESKAPE pathogens.

### **Liposomal Nanobiotics**

Hydrophobic chemicals are loaded in the lipid bilayer with nano-sized liposomes, while hydrophilic substances are placed in the aqueous core. Antibiotics are better loaded into small unilamellar vesicles (SUVs) with a diameter of about 100 nm. These SUVs offer a flexible drug-carrier system that can be designed with the desired set of pharmacokinetic properties. Entrapment in liposomes also reduces the side effects of commercial antimicrobials.

Polyethylene glycol (PEG)-coated liposomal nanobiotic formulations improve the plasma circulations time of the antibiotic and consequently enhance clearance of infectious extracellular bacteria and their biofilms. The encapsulation in liposomes also protects the antibiotics from enzymatic hydrolysis which is a major resistance mechanism employed by ESKAPE pathogens. Previous studies have also shown that liposomal nanobiotics are highly effective and much less toxic, operating at lower doses for treating methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA). In a remarkable experiment, the MDR variants of *Klebsiella pneumonia* regained susceptibility to levofloxacin *in vitro*, by administration of liposomal nanobiotics are proficient in reducing drug toxicity and are mainly used to transform toxic drugs into safe therapeutic options.

# **Carbon based Nanobiotics**

Carbon nanostructures were created as a revolutionary material with potential for several applications. One such recent application is the creation of nanobiotics. Functional carbon dots (CDs) have shown promise as bacterial inactivation and detection agents when conjugated with antibiotics. This field is in its nascent stages and a few initial studies have shown that CDs conjugated with ciprofloxacin provide controlled drug delivery under physiological conditions and display high antibacterial activity against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. These carbon nanostructures are also useful in increasing the stability and loading capacity of other drug carriers such as calcium alginate beads covered with CDs. Carbon nanodots hold the application in the detection of bacteria as well; this will be explored later in this chapter.

#### **Polymeric Nanobiotics**

Antibiotics can be incorporated in polymer-based nanoparticles or conjugated to them. Polymeric NPs are nano-sized colloidal particles made up of biocompatible materials including poly (lactic acid), poly (lactic-co-glycolic acid), chitosan, and others. Polymeric NPs are efficient agents for stabilized drug release, drug solubilization, and targeted activity. These also have higher bioavailability and protect the antibiotic from rapid degradation in the alimentary canal by digestive enzymes and HCl. Polymeric NPs can be designed in the desired manner based on the targeted region. Their high permeability and stability allow drug administration through different routes apart from the oral route, such as nasopharyngeal and intravenous. The selection of polymer is based on the desired drug release profile, to allow effective and safe concentration of the antimicrobial at the site of infection. Biofilms are difficult to clear and are a major cause of chronic ESKAPE infections. A formulation of synthetic PLGA- nanobiotic dual conjugated with nitric oxide and gentamicin was able to effectively reduce 90% viability of P. aeruginosa biofilms. Other such PGLA- nanobiotics were able to penetrate through the thick mucus blocking airways of chronic pulmonary patients infected with P. aeruginosa.

Another polymeric nanostructure type is supramolecular gelatin nanoparticles (SGNPs). Substantial clearance of *S. aureus* infection was observed with SGNP formulation decorated with red blood cells and embedded with vancomycin. This hybrid nanobiotic was observed to be only effective against Gram-positive bacteria and showed no antibacterial against the Gram-negative ones. A great advantage of this hydrogel formulation is the capability of an extremely controlled on-demand antimicrobial release strategy. Chitosan, alginates and hyaluronic acid are natural polymeric NPs. Alginates and HA have been extensively used for drug delivery, whereas chitosan-based NPs are more useful for mucoadhesion and intracellular permeability. Antimicrobial and anticancer capabilities are found in AMPs, which are lengthy peptides containing 2-100 amino acids. Another component of nanomedicine that is being investigated to treat infectious diseases is the use of amplifiers and nanotechnology. An alginate-based spherical hydrogel containing AuNPs and nisin exhibiting AMPs on its surface could inhibit the growth of ESKAPE pathogens such as *S. aureus* and *E. faecalis*.

#### **Biomimetic Nanobiotics**

The amalgamation of biomimetic nanobiotics into the field of nanomedicine was done to explore the creation and application of therapeutic options adaptive to the complex functioning of the human body during the onslaught of infection. This technology has majorly been applied for vaccines and antitumor drugs but has been showing promise for antibacterial therapy. In brief, biomimetic NPs are created by extracting cellular components for *in vitro* engineering of naked NPs to disguise parent cells. An example is the synthesis of leukocyte-mimicking liposomes (leukosomes) created by integrating extracted leukocyte membrane proteins into a liposomal NP. To further the adaptive properties of biomimetic NPs, the entire cell membrane is coated on the surface of inorganic or polymeric NPs. RBC membrane is the most common biomimetic membrane, mentioned in the previous section, coating synthetic NPs with RBC surface properties for avoiding macrophage capture, and also quenches the PAMPS such as a bacterial toxin,  $\beta$ -hemolysin/cytolysin of group *B Streptococcus*.

#### The Synergy Between Antibiotics and Nanoparticles

Antibiotics are one of the major medical inventions of the 20th century that have the capability to treat and cure bacterial diseases and harmful pathogens. But continuous inappropriate and overuse of antibiotics have resulted in the development of microbial drug resistance causing an adverse impact on mankind. Due to increasing bacterial resistance, antibiotics are becoming less powerful. New approaches for handling bacterial infections are instantly required and hence nanomaterials may be a useful approach. Nanobiotics as antibacterials complementary to antibiotics can perform the functions where antibiotics usually fail and can reduce the toxic effect of synthetic antibiotics and can reduce the problem of increasing bacterial multidrug resistance. Therefore, for antibiotics delivery, the use of nanoparticles has also been widely studied [40]. Most studies reported on nanoparticles as antibiotic carriers are confined only to some wellknown antibiotic drugs like ciprofloxacin and penicillin. But recently, attempts have been made for the development of drug delivery approaches such as new lipophilic  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics to solve bacterial drug resistance problems. For example, Poly (ethyl cyanoacrylate) (PECA) nanoparticles have been explored to entrap  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics for using colloidal suspensions in aqueous media. In one of the studies, to produce amoxicillin-loaded poly(cyanoacrylate) nanoparticles, Fontana utilized pluronic (nonionic polyoxyethylene polyoxypropylene block copolymers) of different molecular weights. These PECA nanoparticles are specifically useful for delivering β-lactams to the stomach on a site-specific basis [41].

#### **Application of Nanobiotics in Diagnostics**

Treatment success is determined by the accuracy and speed with which a diagnosis is made, the better the prognosis, the faster and more accurate the diagnosis. Nanomaterials-based diagnostic platforms are much better equipped to display and amplify the signal of detection- associated molecules such as antigens

#### Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications 129

or antibodies owing to the high surface area-to-volume ratios and versatile surface chemistry. Metallic NPs have an added advantage of the nanoplasmonic effect which essentially means that light exposure (EM spectrum: visible to nearinfrared) rapidly transforms the interactive events between detection-associated molecules and the NPs to generate outputs visualized optically and measured by photometrics.

Another sophisticated nanodiagnostic platform is biosensors. Biosensors can be of many types out of which a microfluidic chip based on biomimetic NPs is the most popular type. The microvesicles derived from nanoscale membrane fragments of leukocytes and neutrophils are embedded onto the chip and the diagnosis is done through fluorescence readings in a short response time of just 1.5 hours. Furthermore, nanobiotics can also be used as diagnostics through optical signal generation using an antibiotic conjugated with a fluorescence probe. Gao *et al.* created a biosensor with a vancomycin-fluorescent probe attached to a FePt magnetic NP. Van-FLA can recognize bacterial surface peptide D-Ala-D-

Ala through hydrogen bonds. This diagnostic chip can detect bacterial infection in under two hours with a low limit of detection of 10 CFU/ml and is more sensitive for Gram-positive bacteria like S. aureus. Gram-negative bacteria can be detected using a similar nano-biosensor with a polymixin B antibiotic that binds to the LPS. Another nanodiagnostic has been developed by Mou *et al.* that is based on a colorimetry strategy of redox chemical reactions. This quantitative diagnostic is made of azide and alkyne modified AuNPs that aggregate and changes color from red to blue *via* a chemical reaction catalyzed by copper ions (Cu+) generated by the redox enzyme system of bacteria that reduce exogenous  $Cu^{2+}$  to Cu+. This nanodiagnostic has been practically generated to identify and quantify E. coli in an experimental blood sample containing a mixed suspension of bacteria. The color signal in this nanodiagnostic platform is colorimetrically quantified through a smartphone at an LOD of 40 CFU/ml in a response time of under 1 hour. Carbon nanostructures can also act as theranostic (therapeutic and diagnostic) agents. One such notable example is if nano carbon dots (CDs) are conjugated with vancomycin for accurate detection of Gram-positive bacteria, including S. aureus, and Listeria monocytogenes. Thus, nanoplatforms present to us unique, rapid and sensitive diagnostic tools which might be the future of accurate detection and disease mitigation.

### **CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION**

Not applicable.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The author declares no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none.

#### REFERENCES

- Nikaido H. Multidrug resistance in bacteria. Annu Rev Biochem 2009; 78(1): 119-46. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.78.082907.145923] [PMID: 19231985]
- [2] Kamurai B, Mombeshora M, Mukanganyama S, Khamesipour F. Repurposing of Drugs for Antibacterial Activities on Selected ESKAPE Bacteria Staphylococcus aureus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Int J Microbiol 2020; 2020: 1-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2020/8885338] [PMID: 33061985]
- [3] Santajit S, Indrawattana N. Mechanisms of Antimicrobial Resistance in ESKAPE Pathogens, BioMed Research International, vol. Hindawi Limited 2016. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/2475067]
- Pandey R, Mishra SK, Shrestha A. Characterisation of eskape pathogens with special reference to multidrug resistance and biofilm production in a nepalese hospital. Infect Drug Resist 2021; 14: 2201-12. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S306688] [PMID: 34163185]
- [5] Khan I, Saeed K, Khan I. Nanoparticles: Properties, applications and toxicities. Arab J Chem 2019; 12(7): 908-31.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2017.05.011]

- [6] Quinten M. Optical properties of nanoparticle systems: Mie and beyond. John Wiley & Sons 2010.
- Kolhatkar A, Jamison A, Litvinov D, Willson R, Lee T. Tuning the magnetic properties of nanoparticles. Int J Mol Sci 2013; 14(8): 15977-6009.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms140815977] [PMID: 23912237]
- [8] Guo D, Xie G, Luo J. Mechanical properties of nanoparticles: basics and applications. J Phys D Appl Phys 2014; 47(1): 013001. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0022-3727/47/1/013001]
- Baptista PV, McCusker MP, Carvalho A, *et al.* Nano-strategies to fight multidrug resistant bacteria—"A Battle of the Titans". Front Microbiol 2018; 9: 1441. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01441] [PMID: 30013539]
- [10] Vassallo A, Silletti MF, Faraone I, Milella L. Nanoparticulate antibiotic systems as antibacterial agents and antibiotic delivery platforms to fight infections. J Nanomater 2020; 2020: 1-31. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2020/6905631]
- [11] Lee NY, Ko WC, Hsueh PR. Nanoparticles in the treatment of infections caused by multidrug-resistant organisms. Front Pharmacol 2019; 10: 1153.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2019.01153] [PMID: 31636564]
- [12] Gupta A, Mumtaz S, Li CH, Hussain I, Rotello VM. Combatting antibiotic-resistant bacteria using nanomaterials. Chem Soc Rev 2019; 48(2): 415-27. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C7CS00748E] [PMID: 30462112]
- [13] Qayyum S, Khan AU. Nanoparticles vs. biofilms: a battle against another paradigm of antibiotic resistance. MedChemComm 2016; 7(8): 1479-98.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C6MD00124F]

#### Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications 131

- [14] Mulani MS, Kamble EE, Kumkar SN, Tawre MS, Pardesi KR. Emerging Strategies to Combat ESKAPE Pathogens in the Era of Antimicrobial Resistance: A Review. *Frontiers in microbiology*, 10, 539. Pandey, R., Mishra, S. K., & Shrestha, A. (2021). Characterisation of ESKAPE Pathogens with Special Reference to Multidrug Resistance and Biofilm Production in a Nepalese Hospital. Infect Drug Resist 2019; 14: 2201.
- Pandey R, Mishra SK, Shrestha A. Characterisation of ESKAPE Pathogens with Special Reference to Multidrug Resistance and Biofilm Production in a Nepalese Hospital. Infect Drug Resist 2021; 14: 2201-12. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S306688] [PMID: 34163185]
- Santajit S, Indrawattana N. Mechanisms of Antimicrobial Resistance in ESKAPE Pathogens. BioMed Res Int 2016; 2016: 1-8.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/2475067] [PMID: 27274985]
- [17] Bhaskar B, Dutta J, Mosahari P V, Kalita J, Buragohain P, Bora U. Nanoparticle-Based Antimicrobial Coating on Medical Implants. Nanostructures for Antimicrobial and Antibiofilm Applications 2020; 79.
- [18] Gao Y, Tang Z. Design and application of inorganic nanoparticle superstructures: current status and future challenges. Small 2011; 7(15): 2133-46.
- [19] Kulkarni N, Muddapur U. Biosynthesis of metal nanoparticles: a review. J Nanotechnol 2014; 2014.
- [20] Pareek V, Bhargava A, Gupta R, Jain N, Panwar J. Synthesis and applications of noble metal nanoparticles: a review. Adv Sci Eng Med 2017; 9(7): 527-44. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1166/asem.2017.2027]
- Sardar R, Funston AM, Mulvaney P, Murray RW. Gold nanoparticles: past, present, and future. Langmuir 2009; 25(24): 13840-51.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/la9019475] [PMID: 19572538]
- [22] Ghosh P, Han G, De M, Kim C, Rotello V. Gold nanoparticles in delivery applications. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2008; 60(11): 1307-15. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2008.03.016] [PMID: 18555555]
- [23] Teja AS, Koh PY. Synthesis, properties, and applications of magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles. Prog Cryst Growth Charact Mater 2009; 55(1-2): 22-45. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pcrysgrow.2008.08.003]
- [24] Rahman MM, Hafez I, Tajvidi M, Amirbahman A, Aisiri AM. Iron oxide nanoparticles. Nanomaterials (Basel) 2021; 11(11): 43-67. [PMID: 34835582]
- [25] Mulfinger L, Solomon SD, Bahadory M, Jeyarajasingam AV, Rutkowsky SA, Boritz C. Synthesis and study of silver nanoparticles. J Chem Educ 2007; 84(2): 322. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ed084p322]
- [26] Pandey P, Dahiya M. A brief review on inorganic nanoparticles. J Crit Rev 2016; 3(3): 18-26.
- [27] Nasrollahzadeh M, Sajadi MS, Atarod M, Sajjadi M, Isaabadi Z. An introduction to green nanotechnology. Academic press 2019.
- [28] Rafique M, Tahir MB, Rafique MS, Safdar N, Tahir R. Nanostructure materials and their classification by dimensionality.Nanotechnology and Photocatalysis for Environmental Applications. Elsevier 2020; pp. 27-44. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-821192-2.00002-4]
- [29] Virlan M, Miricescu D, Radulescu R, et al. Organic Nanomaterials and Their Applications in the Treatment of Oral Diseases. Molecules 2016; 21(2): 207. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/molecules21020207] [PMID: 26867191]
- [30] Elsabahy M, Wooley KL. Design of polymeric nanoparticles for biomedical delivery applications.
Chem Soc Rev 2012; 41(7): 2545-61. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c2cs15327k] [PMID: 22334259]

- [31] Soppimath KS, Aminabhavi TM, Kulkarni AR, Rudzinski WE. Biodegradable polymeric nanoparticles as drug delivery devices. J Control Release 2001; 70(1-2): 1-20. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-3659(00)00339-4] [PMID: 11166403]
- [32] Haddon RC. Carbon Nanotubes. Acc Chem Res 2002; 35(12): 997-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ar020259h] [PMID: 12484786]
- [33] Dresselhaus MS, Dresselhaus G, Eklund PC, Rao AM. Carbon nanotubes. The physics of fullerenebased and fullerene-related materials. Dordrecht: Springer 2000; pp. 331-79. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-4038-6\_9]
- [34] Saifuddin N, Raziah AZ, Junizah AR. Carbon nanotubes: a review on structure and their interaction with proteins. J Chem 2013; 2013: 1-18. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/676815]
- [35] Rodrigues ARO, Gomes IT, Almeida BG, Araújo JP, Castanheira EMS, Coutinho PJG. Magnetic liposomes based on nickel ferrite nanoparticles for biomedical applications. Phys Chem Chem Phys 2015; 17(27): 18011-21.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C5CP01894C] [PMID: 26095537]
- [36] Malam Y, Loizidou M, Seifalian AM. Liposomes and nanoparticles: nanosized vehicles for drug delivery in cancer. Trends Pharmacol Sci 2009; 30(11): 592-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tips.2009.08.004] [PMID: 19837467]
- [37] Cheung C, Al-Jamal WT. Liposomes-based nanoparticles for cancer therapy and bioimaging.Nanooncology. Cham: Springer 2018; pp. 51-87. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-89878-0\_2]
- [38] Gong Y, Winnik FM. Strategies in biomimetic surface engineering of nanoparticles for biomedical applications. Nanoscale 2012; 4(2): 360-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C1NR11297J] [PMID: 22134705]
- [39] Sushnitha M, Evangelopoulos M, Tasciotti E, Taraballi F. Cell membrane-based biomimetic nanoparticles and the immune system: immunomodulatory interactions to therapeutic applications. Front Bioeng Biotechnol 2020; 8: 627. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2020.00627] [PMID: 32626700]
- [40] Li R, He Y, Zhang S, Qin J, Wang J. Cell membrane-based nanoparticles: a new biomimetic platform for tumor diagnosis and treatment. Acta Pharm Sin B 2018; 8(1): 14-22. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apsb.2017.11.009] [PMID: 29872619]
- [41] Guido C, Maiorano G, Cortese B, D'Amone S, Palamà IE. Biomimetic Nanocarriers for Cancer Target Therapy. Bioengineering (Basel) 2020; 7(3): 111.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering7030111] [PMID: 32937963]
- [42] Jin J, Bhujwalla ZM. Biomimetic nanoparticles camouflaged in cancer cell membranes and their applications in cancer theranostics. Front Oncol 2020; 9: 1560. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2019.01560] [PMID: 32039028]
- [43] Zhang M, Du Y, Wang S, Chen B. A review of biomimetic nanoparticle drug delivery systems based on cell membranes. Drug Des Devel Ther 2020; 14: 5495-503. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/DDDT.S282368] [PMID: 33363358]
- [44] Narang D, Tityal JS, Jain A, Kulshreshtra R, Khan F. Nanobiotics: Challenging the anti-microbial perspective - The game changer? Int J Bioassays 2017; 6(10): 5518-473. [http://dx.doi.org/10.21746/ijbio.2017.6.10.2]
- [45] Abeylath SC, Turos E, Bonilla AR, Muniz KP. Nanobiotics to combat bacterial drug resistance. In Antibiotic resistance: causes and risk factors, mechanisms and alternatives. 2009; 425-465.

#### **MDR** Infections

[46] Hoshyar N, Gray S, Han H, Bao G. The effect of nanoparticle size on *in vivo* pharmacokinetics and cellular interaction. Nanomedicine (Lond) 2016; 11(6): 673-92. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2217/nnm.16.5] [PMID: 27003448]

# **Metallic Nanoparticles as Antibacterial Agents**

Samridhi Sharma<sup>1</sup>, Monika<sup>1</sup>, Dong Wen-Liang<sup>2</sup>, Shavkatjon Azizov<sup>3,4</sup> and Deepak Kumar<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Shoolini University, Solan-173229, India

<sup>2</sup> School of Pharmacy, Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Jinan 250355, People's Republic of China

<sup>3</sup> Laboratory of Biological Active Macromolecular Systems, Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry, Academy of Sciences Uzbekistan, Tashkent-100125, Uzbekistan

<sup>4</sup> Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Tashkent Pharmaceutical Institute, Tashkent-100015, Uzbekistan

**Abstract:** Metallic nanoparticles against bacteria have increased recently due to their unique properties. Many metals like silver, gold, copper, aluminum, zinc and their oxides have been shown to have antibacterial properties. The activity of the nanoparticles is affected by their physico-chemical properties. Different types of mechanisms are proposed for the antibacterial actions against various types of bacteria. The metal-based nanoparticles are synthesized by the top-down methods and bottom-up methods. However, the latter methods are used effectively against many types of bacteria including antibiotic-resistant bacteria.

**Keywords:** Antibacterial activity, Antibiotic resistant, Metallic nanoparticle, Physico-chemical properties, Synthesis.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Nanoparticles are exceptionally tiny particles that vary from 1-100 nanometer. These particles possess different chemical and physical properties in contrast to their larger counterparts. Since the particle size is extremely small, thus they follow the Brownian movement and do not sediment. Moreover, these are not seen by the naked eyes and with an ordinary microscope. Nanotechnology is one of the several techniques, which is employed in biology, chemistry, environment, food industry, agriculture, engineering, therapeutic application, sensor and medicines [1, 2]. The pharmaceutical field in medicine is mainly used for the

Juhi Saxena, Abhijeet Singh and Anupam Jyoti (Eds.) All rights reserved-© 2023 Bentham Science Publishers

<sup>\*</sup> **Corresponding author Deepak Kumar:** Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Shoolini University, Solan-173229, India; E-mail: guptadeepak002@gmail.com

improvement of drug solubility, bioavailability and delivery to various sites of action and real-time monitoring of drugs [3].

Nanoparticles are classified into different categories based on their physical and chemical properties. These may be metal nanoparticles, non-metal nanoparticles, ceramic nanoparticles, semiconductor nanoparticles, ceramic based nanoparticles, ipid-based nanoparticles, polymeric nanoparticles, organic based nanoparticles, *etc.* Among different types, metal-based particles are important in pharmacy. They are used in drug and gene delivery for their effectiveness against micro-organisms, in diagnostic assay, in thermal ablation and anticancer properties [4, 5].

Since ancient times, metals are used to cure several types of diseases and to combat infections against many micro-organisms like bacteria, fungi, viruses *etc.* Many types of metals are used among which silver, copper, gold, aluminum oxide, copper oxide, and titanium oxide have found their wide application against various diseases. In this chapter, we have discussed the properties, mode of action and the preparation of metallic nanoparticles.

## PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF METAL NANOPARTICLES

Metal nanoparticles have quite distinctive features in comparison to their larger counterparts. Such properties provide them the mechanism of toxicity to different types of bacteria. Different types of physico-chemical properties affect their toxicity which are detailed as under:

## Size of Nanoparticles

The size as well as the surface area of metal nanoparticles is crucial for the antimicrobial activity. The small size of nanoparticles has the larger surface area relative to volume that makes the nanoparticles more active by facilitating their entry in the bacterial cell membrane in comparison to larger nanoparticles [6]. The nano shape of the nanoparticles facilitates better contact with the plasma membrane of the bacteria mostly because of their larger surface area showing preferable interaction with the membrane than the larger nanoparticles [7]. The size of the nanoparticles thus greatly affects the various types of biological mechanisms [8, 9]. It clearly indicates that size and surface area of the particle govern the systems [10]. Due to the smaller size, nanoparticles are able to enter the biological system [11] due to which modification of various biomolecules takes place [12] which ultimately interferes the biological functioning of the cell. Although various mechanisms are attributed to the toxicity of nanoparticles, yet the production of ROS (reactive oxygen species) that is because of the creation of

#### 136 Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications

free radicals since the liberated electrons try to make a stable bond is prime mechanism. The size of nanoparticles is essential in the generation of ROS which imparts hazardous effects on DNA in comparison to their larger counterparts [13, 14]. Besides, the size less than 100nm causes adverse respiratory effects in human beings in comparison to the larger nanoparticles. However, it is not necessary that the size of nanoparticles alone is responsible for antibacterial activity, other physico-chemcial properties of NPs should also be considered for antibacterial mechanism [15].

## Shape of Nanoparticles

The shape of metal nanoparticles is important for their antibacterial activity. Shapes of nanoparticles interact with periplasmic enzymes of the bacterial cell thereby causing bacterial cell damage [16]. In nanoparticles, the most common shape is spherical however, other shapes like triangular, cubical, hexagonal, oval, helical, prism, tubes and rod-shaped are also found which impart toxicity and influence the wrapping process in the membrane during endocytosis and phagocytosis [17]. It has been shown that triangular and truncated (cut-off corners) sized nanoparticles show better inhibition [18] whereas nanotubes and rod shapes have been reported to be more effective due to their exposed planes. The exposed planes having higher density facets help in increasing reactivity because of the large surface area to volume proportion thus facilitating to increase the adsorption and binding of nanoparticles [8].

## **Charge of Nanoparticles**

Charge on nanoparticles is a pivotal factor for the antibacterial property. Positively charged nanoparticles are attracted to the anionic cell wall of bacteria electrostatically thus alter the functioning of electron transport chain in bacteria which results in the creation of ROS [8]. Whereas, the negatively charged nanoparticles do not stick to the bacterial cell wall, however, the higher concentration of the negatively charged bacteria leads to interaction between bacteria and the nanoparticles due to molecular overcrowding [19]. The potential of nanoparticles increases vascular permeability [20].

## **Acidic Conditions**

Acidic conditions favour bindings of the nanoparticles to the bacterial cell wall through electrostatic interaction [21]. Acidic conditions have been shown to increase the dissolution and release of  $Zn^+$  [22]. In acid medium, the silver

#### Antibacterial Agents

#### Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications 137

nanoparticles liberate the silver ions rapidly [23] whereas zero-valent copper nanoparticles possess the highest toxicity under acidic conditions [24].

## **Concentration of Nanoparticles**

The concentration of nanoparticles has a direct effect on causing toxicity to bacteria. The higher concentration of nanoparticles releases more ions. Increased concentrations of silver ions produce oxidative stress in bacteria [25, 26]

## **MODE OF ACTION AGAINST BACTERIA**

## **General Structure of Bacteria**

Bacteria are the single-celled structure, which have no nucleus thus are called prokaryotic organisms. The DNA of bacteria is either present in the plastids or floats freely in nucleoids. Also present in the bacteria is a spherical structure which is known as a ribosome in which protein synthesis takes place by encoding the information from rRNA (Fig. 1).



Fig. (1). General structure of bacteria

There are various structural differences in gram positive and gram negative bacteria. In the former, the outer wall has a thick laced layer of peptidoglycan protein and other polymers as teichoic acids whereas the latter is composed of multiple layers of peptidoglycan in which the outer membrane forms a barrier to the passage of many chemicals [27](Fig. 2).



Fig. (2). Structural differences between Gram positive and gram negative bacteria.

## Nanoparticles' Antibacterial Mechanism

Since nanoparticles are incredibly minute, they must be in close vicinity to the bacterial cell wall. The walls of bacteria are negatively charged, which is accomplished through a variety of mechanisms, involving electrostatic attraction, receptor ligand, van der Waals forces, and hydrophobic contact. In general, the nanoparticles are neutral so they cannot cross the cell membrane therefore the ions released by the nanoparticles cross the membrane which interferes the functioning of the cell membrane. Gathering of ions inside the cells leads to the disruption of functioning of cell organelles and enzymes. Oxidative stress, heterogeneous modifications, modifications in membrane permeability, and electrolyte imbalance are all induced by these processes. Furthermore, gene expression is influenced by enzyme inhibition and protein deactivation [28 - 30].

Various types of mechanisms are proposed for the antibacterial mechanism of nanoparticles (Fig. 3). These are: Oxidative stress; Metal ion release and Non oxidative mechanisms.





Fig. (3). Antibacterial mechanism of nanoparticles.

## **Oxidative Stress**

This arises when the concentration of ROS is increased inside the cell. ROS are the highly reactive molecules that are formed due to the electron receptivity of oxygen which plays an important role in microbial metabolism. ROS related oxidative stress causes a change in the permeability of bacterial cell causing impairment [31]. The macromolecules (protein, lipid, carbohydrate and DNA) are also affected due to oxidative stress [32, 33]. ZnO has been found to possess antibacterial property and oxidative stress mechanism in a bacterial species, *E. coli* [34]. Intracellular oxidative stress has been found to lose the integrity of some bacteria when  $Al_2O_3$  nanoparticles cross the bacterial cell membrane [35]. Nano silver ions check the multiplication of bacteria or kill them. These ions activate the oxygen in the air thus become reactive oxygen ions which are harmful to bacteria [28, 30]. ROS also been reported to play an active role by facilitating the relationship between DNA and bacterial cells [36].

## Metal Ion Release

Metal ions are responsible for toxicity to bacteria. These ions are released slowly from their metal or their oxide nanoparticles. When these ions enter the bacteria by crossing the cell membrane, the cellular activity of the cell is disrupted which ultimately causes toxicity to bacteria [37, 38]. The toxicity to bacteria by these ions is directly proportional to their release. Besides, other mechanisms are also involved in causing toxicity to bacteria [38 - 43]. The release of ions depends on the metal from which these are formed. Copper nanoparticles release more nanoparticles than silver nanoparticles [44]. Silver and mercury that are heavy metals were found to have a detrimental effect on the physiology of bacterial cell [19] (Slavin *et al*, 2017).

## Non-oxidative Mechanism

The non-oxidative energy system does not require oxygen to generate ATP. The antibacterial activities of MGO were studied by using nanomaterial-based techniques against a gram-negative bacterium (*E. coli*) and it was found that MgO in two was not able to form the ROS [45]. On the other hand, MgO in one could form only small ROS. The main mechanism of such a phenomenon was that lipopolysaccharides and phosphatidyl ethanolamine were not oxidised due to which the gene expressing ROS did not increase. This reveals that the toxicity was not only due to oxidative stress but it was due to the direct contact of MgO, the effect on pH and the slow release of Mg<sup>2+</sup> ions [45 - 47].

## SYNTHESIS OF NANOPARTICLES AND ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY

There are two methods for the synthesis of nanoparticles (Fig. 4); Top down method and Bottom up method or wet method.



Fig. (4). Synthesis of metal based nanoparticles.

#### **Top-down Methods**

Also known as physical or mechanical methods, in which the body of a substance is mechanically reduced into tiny particles/molecules, resulting in the material's size being reduced to the nanoscale. Because of the particles' dispersion, these procedures are not suited for the synthesis of nanoparticles, despite the fact that the size of any nanoparticle has a significant impact on toxicity. The methods include Milling; Sputtering; Pulsed wire evaporation and Nanolithography.

## **Bottom-up Methods**

In this method, the formation of nanoparticles takes place from nucleating atomicsized materials by creating nanomaterials and objects within the same nanosphere. The methods are processed in such a way that allows the increase in the functioning of the structure of processed materials. Bottom-up approaches are categorized in many ways, the two approaches are more common: Chemical method and Biological methods.

## **Chemical Methods**

In these methods, organic solvents are used. The synthesis encounters many types of problems associated with those which include the stability of products, aggregation of particles on long-term exposures, *etc.* Moreover, the toxic chemicals that are used for the synthesis limit their use for a long duration [48]. The metal nanoparticles synthesized in this way are detailed in Table 1.

NP	Size (nm)	<b>Bacterial Strain</b>	Antibacterial Activity	References
Silver	12.4	E. coli	Damage to cell occurs as a result of the development of pits in the bacterial cell wall and the deposition of nanoparticles in the bacterial membrane, which further causes the membrane's permeability to increase, leading to apoptosis.	[49]
Silver	1-10	Salmonella typhimurium, Vibrio cholera	Bacterial activity is size dependent with direct interaction with bacteria.	[41]
Silver	3-10	E. coli	Enhanced cell wall permeability leads to membrane damage and apoptosis.	[41, 49, 50, 51, 52]
Silver	1-10	Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Vibrio cholera	Interaction with the cell membrane, cellular membrane damage and DNA damage	[41]
Silver	3	Bacillus subtilis	Bactericide, damage of cell wall.	[52]
Silver	39	E. coli	Change in cell membrane and cell death.	[53]
Silver	13.5	E. coli	Free radical generation effect causes inhibition of bacteria.	[40]
Silver	92	E. coli	Antibacterial activity is due to smaller size.	[54]

Table 1. Antibacterial activity of metal nanoparticles

142 Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications (Table 1) cont.....

Sharma et al.

NP	Size (nm)	Bacterial Strain	Antibacterial Activity	References
Silver	22.5	E. coli, S. aureus	Enhanced the activity of some antibiotics.	[55]
Silver	3	Staphylococcus aureus	Disruption to the cell wall.	[52]
Silver	20-25	A. baumanii, S. aureus, B. subtilis, P. aeruginosa, M. smegmatis, E. coli, M. bovis	Cytotoxicity including DNA damage and cell viability.	[56]
Silver	10	Gram+ and Bacillus	Toxicity is determined by the shape, size, and capping agent.	[57]
Silver	-	B.subtilis, B. barbaricus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Klebsiella pneumonia	Cell viability is changed due to a change in zeta potential	[58]
Silver	1.5-10	P. aeriginosa	The size, form and composition of nanoparticles influence bacterial function.	[59]
Silver	9, 19, 43, 18, 23	E. coli	<i>E. coli</i> Size-dependent biological effect.	
Silver	5-15	L. monocytogenes	Penetration of cell wall and plasma membrane of bacteria. Plasmolysis is triggered by the detachment of the plasma membrane from the cell wall.	[44]
Silver	95	S. mutans	<i>S. mutans</i> The activity depends on size and concentration. Cytotoxic effect.	
Silver	7.1	E. coli, P. aeruginosa	Production of ROS. Mechanical damage to membrane.	[61]
Gold	8.4	A. baumannii, S. aureus, P. aeruginosa, E. cile	Inhibition of cell growth.	[62]
Gold	55, 100	S. oneidensis	Surface attachment	[63]
Copper	10-40	E. coli	Antibacterial activity depends on type of copper nanoparticles.	[64]
Copper oxide	2 and 30	E. coli and S. aureus	<i>S. aureus</i> Nanoparticles create ROS, which have antibacterial properties.	
Copper	3	S. aureus and E. coli	nd E. coli -	
Copper oxide	33	Gram negative and Gram positive bacteria	Both kinds of bacteria generate ROS.	
Copper	4-18	Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus aureus	Inhibitory effect	[68]
Copper	63-160	S. aureus, E. coli	Sustained released of antimicrobial agent.	[69]
Copper	20-50	E. coli and S. aureus	coli and S. aureus Antimicrobial activity	

Antibacterial Agents

Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications 143

NP	Size (nm)	Bacterial Strain Antibacterial Activity		References
Copper	10	S. aureus	S. aureus ROS production	
Copper	800	S. aureus and Enterococcus	Depolarization and damage of cell membrane of bacteria	[72]
Copper	191	S. aureus	Antimicrobial activity	[73]
Copper	10	E. coli	Antimicrobial activity	[74]
Copper	8	S. aureus and E. coli	The inhibition zone in bacteria is increased due to an increase in the amount of copper nanoparticles.	[75]
Copper	30-40	E. coli, K. pneumonia, S. aureus	Excellent antimicrobial activity.	[76]
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	11	E. coli	Toxicity is determined by the chemical makeup, size, surface charge, and form of the substance.	[77]
CeO <sub>2</sub>	7	E. coli	Damage to cell membrane	[78]
CeO <sub>2</sub>	6, 15, 22, 40	B. subtilis, E. coli	Growth inhibition of bacteria	[79]
CeO <sub>2</sub>	2-4	L. monocytogenes -		[44]
ZnO	12	Ecoli	Surface oxygen species of nanoparticles and abrasiveness.	[80]
ZnO	-	E. coli, P. aeruginosa, Staphylococcus aureus, Enterococcus hirae, Bacteroides fragilis	Loss of viability	[81]
ZnO	19	E. coli	Toxicity in aqueous media is caused by free zinc ions and its complexes.	[82]
Cu <sub>2</sub> O	40	E. coli	Production of ROS	[83]
CuO	20-95	E. coli, S. aureus, P. aeruginosa	The emission of copper ions promotes antibacterial action.	[42]
CuO	30	E. coli	Production of ROS	[83]
MgO	4	B. subtilis, B. megaterium,	Bactericide. Disruption and damage to cell walls.	[84]
TiO <sub>2</sub>	20	Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Bacteroides fragilis, Enterococcus hirae	Bactericide. Viability loss	[81]
TiO <sub>2</sub>	12,17,21 25	E. coli	Damage to the cell membrane	[77]
TiO <sub>2</sub>	23	E. coli	Massive cell leakage of K+ and depletion of intracellular ATP level	[85]

## **Biological Methods**

These methods are based on the green-synthesis processes in which different types of microorganisms and plants are used. Various types of microorganisms are associated for the microbe-mediated synthesis of well-structured nanoparticles (details below) [86]. These are classified as Actinomycetes-based synthesis, algae-based synthesis, bacteria-based synthesis, fungi-based synthesis, yeast-based synthesis and plant extract-based synthesis.

The antibacterial activities of various biogenic metal nanoparticles are presented in Table 2 and the biogenic species included in this table are bacteria, fungus and plant species.

<b>Biogenic Species</b>	NanopArticle	Shape	Name of Bacteria	Antibacterial Activity	Reference
Deinococeus radiodurans	Gold	Spherical, triangular & irregular	E. coli, S. aureus	Cytoplasmic membrane of bacteria is damaged	[87]
Shewanella loihica	Copper	Spherical	E. coli	Cell damage due to ROS. Destruction of cell membrane and cytoplasmic components.	[88]
Enterococcus faecalis	Se	Spherical	S. aureus	Accumulation of nanostructure as extracellular deposits.	[89]
Bacillus mycoides	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Spherical	E. coli	Antimicrobial activity	[90]
Aeromonas hydrophila	ZnO	Spherical	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	Inhibition of bacteria.	[91]
E. faecalis	Copper	Spherical	Both type of bacteria	Antibiofilm activity of nanoparticles upregulated	[92]
Aspergillus niger	Ag	Spherical	Staphylococcus aureus, E. coli	Antibacterial activity	[93]
Penicillium	Ag	Spherical	E. coli, S. aureus, P. aeruginosa, B. cereus,	Inhibition of bacteria	[94]

Table 2. Antibacterial activity of biogenic metal nanoparticles.

Antibacterial Agents

Biogenic Species	NanopArticle	Shape	Name of Bacteria	Antibacterial Activity	Reference
Streptomyces sp	Gold	Spherical	E. coli, K. pneuminaw, P. mirabilis, S. infantis, P. aeruginosa and B. subtilis	Antibacterial activity	[95]
Sreptomyces viridogens	Gold	n.a.0F	S. aureus and E. coli	Antibacterial activity	[96]
Trichoderma hamatum	Gold	Spherical, pentagonal & hexagonal	P. aeruginosa, Serratia sp., B. subtilis, S.aureus	Antibacterial activity	[97]
Aspergillus flavus	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Oval	S. aureus, E. coli, P. aeruginosa and B. subtilis	Bacterial inhibition	[98]
Aeromonas hydrophila	ZnO	Spherical	P. aeruginosa	Bacterial inhibition	[91]
Pichia kudriavzevii (yeast)	ZnO	Hexagonal	E. coli, S. aureus, B. subtilis, S. epidermis, S. marcescens,	Bacterial inhibition	[99]
Ananas comosus leaf extract	Silver	Spherical	S. aureus, S. pneuminiae, P. mirabilis, E. coli	Bacterial inhibition	[100]
Justica adhatoda leaf extract	Silver	Spherical	P. aeruginosa	Bacterial growth is inhibited.	[101]
<i>Eriobotrya japonica</i> leaf extract	Silver	Spherical	E. coli and S aureus	Antibacterial action	[102]
<i>Melissa officinalis</i> leaf extract	Silver	Spherical	S. aureus, E. coli	Inhibitory activity	[103]
Houttuyniacordata (PE)	Gold	Spherical	A. subtillis, S. typhimurium, P. cereus, E. coli	Antibacterial activity. Gram positive bacteria have more inhibition effect than Gram negative bacteria.	[104]

146 Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications (Table 2) cont.....

Sharma et al.

Biogenic Species	NanopArticle	Shape	Name of Bacteria	Antibacterial Activity	Reference
Cresentia cujete	Gold	Spherical	E. coli, O. aeruginosa, V. cholera, Salmonella typi, S. flexneri, B. subtilis	Difference in cellular membrane thickness makes the Gram negative bacteria have a more inhibition effect than Gram positive bacteria	[105]
Disoscorea batata (rhizome)	Ag	Spherical	C. albicans, S. cerevisiae	Antimicrobial property	[106]
Citrus sinensis peel extract	Ag	Spherical	E. coli, S. aureus, P. aeruginosa,	Antimicrobial property	[107]
Croton sparsiflorus morinaga leavesleaves	AgNO3	Spherical	S. aureus, E. coli, B. subtilis	Antimicrobial property	[108]
<i>Gum karaya</i> (plant gum)	CuO	-	E. coli, S. aureus	Antimicrobial activity is due to the smaller size of nanoparticles.	[109]
Malva sylvestris leaf extract	CuO	Spherical	Both gram + and gram – bacteria	Significant effect against both types of bacteria	[110]
Phyllanthus amarus leaf extract	CuO	Spherical	B. subtilis, E. coli, P. aeruginosa, S. aureus	Inhibitory effect	[111]
Gloriosa superba leaf extract	Ruthenium	-	Effective against gram + and gram – bacteria	Antimicrobial property	[112]
<i>Gloriosa superba</i> leaves	CuO	Spherical	S. aureus, Klebsiella aeroegenes, P. desmolyticum, E. coli	Antimicrobial property	[113]
Cystoseira trinodis(Brown alga)	CuO	Spherical to irregular	E. coli, Enterococcus faecalis, Salmonella typhimurium, Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus subtilis, Streptococcus faecalis	Inhibitory activity	[114]

Antibacterial Agents

Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications 147

Table 2) cont							
<b>Biogenic Species</b>	NanopArticle	Shape	Name of Bacteria	Antibacterial Activity	Reference		
Costus pictus plant extract	ZnO	Hexagonal	S. aureus, B. subtilis, E. coli, S. paratyphi	Antimicrobial property	[115]		
Camellia sinensis leaves	ZnO	-	K. pneumonia, E. coli and P.aeruginosa	Antimicrobial property	[116]		
A. halicacabum	ZnO	Spherical & rod	E. coli, S. aureus	Gram-negative bacteria have more antibacterial properties than Gram-positive bacteria.	[117]		

## CONCLUSION

The studies on the antibacterial property of various metal nanoparticles clearly reveal their potential and further their exploitation in this area. Various types of bacterial species have been reported to be susceptible to metal nanoparticles, thus these can be considered effective agents for antibiotic drug-resistant bacteria. However, the exact mechanism for their mode of action against different types of bacteria needs to be investigated despite various types of proposed mechanisms.

## **FUTURE PERSPECTIVE**

Since the widespread and uncontrolled use of antibiotics against bacteria has been exacerbated, the use of metal-based nanoparticles has increased and offered tremendous promise as antibacterial agents. However, detailed studies are required about their possible side effects before their introduction in a large scale to the market since some studies indicate their effect on human cells. Further, the investigation of the exact effective concentration, synthesis and formulations of metal-based nanoparticles may open new vistas in this field.

## **CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION**

Not applicable.

## **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The author declares no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none.

#### REFERENCES

- Prince Manta, Deepak Kumar and Kapoor DN. A novel gold nano sensor and 'Blockade-of-Binding' based immunochromatographic rapid antigen test kit for Zika Virus. Mater Lett In Press.
- Sahu S, Sharma A, Mukherjee S, *et al.* Role of nanofibers in encapsulation of the whole cell. Int. J. Poly. Sci 2021.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2021/4250122]
- [3] Attri A, Thakur D, Kaur T, *et al.* Nanoparticles incorporating a fluorescence turn-on reporter for realtime drug release monitoring, a chemo enhancer and a stealth agent: Poseidon's trident against cancer? Mol Pharm 2021; 18(1): 124-47.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.0c00730] [PMID: 33346663]
- [4] Yadav R, Das J, Lalhlenmawia H, *et al.* Targeting Cancer using phyto-constituents based drug delivery, advanced drug delivery systems in the management of cancer. 2021; 1: 499-508.
- [5] Mansi K, Kumar R, Kaur J, et al. DL-Valine assisted fabrication of quercetin loaded CuO nanoleaves through microwave irradiation method: Augmentation in its catalytic and antimicrobial efficiencies. Environ Nanotechnol Monit Manag 2020; 14: 100306. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enmm.2020.100306]
- [6] Singh L, et al. "Targeting Molecular and Cellular Mechanisms in Tuberculosis" Targeting Cellular Signalling Pathways in Lung Diseases. Singapore: Springer 2021; pp. 337-53. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-981-33-6827-9\_14]
- [7] Gurunathan S, Han JW, Kwon DN, Kim JH. Enhanced antibacterial and anti-biofilm activities of silver nanoparticles against Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. Nanoscale Res Lett 2014; 9(1): 373.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1556-276X-9-373] [PMID: 25136281]
- [8] Rai MK, Deshmukh SD, Ingle AP, Gade AK. Silver nanoparticles: the powerful nanoweapon against multidrug-resistant bacteria. J Appl Microbiol 2012; 112(5): 841-52. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2012.05253.x] [PMID: 22324439]
- [9] Aillon KL, Xie Y, El-Gendy N, Berkland CJ, Forrest ML. Effects of nanomaterial physicochemical properties on *in vivo* toxicity. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2009; 61(6): 457-66. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2009.03.010] [PMID: 19386275]
- [10] Nel A, Xia T, M\u00e4dler L, Li N. Toxic potential of materials at the nanolevel. Science 2006; 311(5761): 622-7.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/asigneg.1114207] [DMID: 1645(0711)]

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1114397] [PMID: 16456071]

- [11] Powers KW, Palazuelos M, Moudgil BM, Roberts SM. Characterization of the size, shape, and state of dispersion of nanoparticles for toxicological studies. Nanotoxicology 2007; 1(1): 42-51. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17435390701314902]
- [12] Lovrić J, Bazzi HS, Cuie Y, Fortin GRA, Winnik FM, Maysinger D. Differences in subcellular distribution and toxicity of green and red emitting CdTe quantum dots. J Mol Med (Berl) 2005; 83(5): 377-85.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00109-004-0629-x] [PMID: 15688234]
- [13] Aggarwal P, Hall JB, McLeland CB, Dobrovolskaia MA, McNeil SE. Nanoparticle interaction with plasma proteins as it relates to particle biodistribution, biocompatibility and therapeutic efficacy. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2009; 61(6): 428-37. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2009.03.009] [PMID: 19376175]

Antibacterial Agents

- [14] Risom L, Møller P, Loft S. Oxidative stress-induced DNA damage by particulate air pollution. Mutat Res 2005; 592(1-2): 119-37.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2005.06.012] [PMID: 16085126]
- [15] Donaldson K, Stone V. Current hypotheses on the mechanisms of toxicity of ultrafine particles. Ann Ist Super Sanita 2003; 39(3): 405-10.
   [PMID: 15098562]
- [16] Deplanche K, Caldelari I, Mikheenko IP, Sargent F, Macaskie LE. Involvement of hydrogenases in the formation of highly catalytic Pd(0) nanoparticles by bioreduction of Pd(II) using *Escherichia coli* mutant strains. Microbiology (Reading) 2010; 156(9): 2630-40. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.036681-0] [PMID: 20542928]
- [17] Cha SH, Hong J, McGuffie M, Yeom B, VanEpps JS, Kotov NA. Shape-dependent biomimetic inhibition of enzyme by nanoparticles and their antibacterial activity. ACS Nano 2015; 9(9): 9097-105.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.5b03247] [PMID: 26325486]
- [18] Verma A, Stellacci F. Effect of surface properties on nanoparticle-cell interactions. Small 2010; 6(1): 12-21.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/smll.200901158] [PMID: 19844908]

- Slavin YN, Asnis J, Häfeli UO, Bach H. Metal nanoparticles: understanding the mechanisms behind antibacterial activity. J Nanobiotechnology 2017; 15(1): 65.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12951-017-0308-z] [PMID: 28974225]
- [20] Arakha M, Pal S, Samantarrai D, et al. Antimicrobial activity of iron oxide nanoparticle upon modulation of nanoparticle-bacteria interface. Sci Rep 2015; 5(1): 14813. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep14813] [PMID: 26437582]
- [21] Maeda H. Tumor-selective delivery of macromolecular drugs *via* the EPR effect: background and future prospects. Bioconjug Chem 2010; 21(5): 797-802.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/bc100070g] [PMID: 20397686]
- [22] Mu H, Tang J, Liu Q, Sun C, Wang T, Duan J. Potent antibacterial nanoparticles against biofilm and intracellular bacteria. Sci Rep 2016; 6(1): 18877. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep18877] [PMID: 26728712]
- [23] Happy A, Soumya M, Venkat Kumar S, *et al.* Phyto-assisted synthesis of zinc oxide nanoparticles using *Cassia alata* and its antibacterial activity against *Escherichia coli*. Biochem Biophys Rep 2019; 17: 208-11.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrep.2019.01.002] [PMID: 30723810]
- [24] Jacob JM, John MS, Jacob A, et al. Bactericidal coating of paper towels via sustainable biosynthesis of silver nanoparticles using Ocimum sanctum leaf extract. Bactericidal Coat Pap Towels Sustainable Biosynth Silver Nanopart Ocimum sanctum Leaf Extr 2019; 6(4): 045401.
- [25] Mahmoodi S, Elmi A, Hallaj Nezhadi S. ElmiandAsghar, Hallaj-NezhadiSomayeh. Copper Nanoparticles as antibacterial agents. J Mol Pharm Org Process Res 2018; 6(1): 1. [http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2329-9053.1000140]
- [26] Soltani Nezhad S, Rabbani Khorasgani M, Emtiazi G, Yaghoobi MM, Shakeri S. Isolation of copper oxide (CuO) nanoparticles resistant *Pseudomonas* strains from soil and investigation on possible mechanism for resistance. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 2014; 30(3): 809-17. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11274-013-1481-3] [PMID: 24146307]
- [27] Qing Y, Cheng L, Li R, *et al.* Potential antibacterial mechanism of silver nanoparticles and the optimization of orthopedic implants by advanced modification technologies. Int J Nanomedicine 2018; 13: 3311-27.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S165125] [PMID: 29892194]
- [28] Shrivastava S, Bera T, Roy A, Singh G, Ramachandrarao P, Dash D. Characterization of enhanced

antibacterial effects of novel silver nanoparticles. Nanotechnology 2007; 18(22): 225103. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0957-4484/18/22/225103]

- [29] Xu Y, Wei MT, Ou-Yang HD, et al. Exposure to TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles increases Staphylococcus aureus infection of HeLa cells. J Nanobiotechnology 2016; 14(1): 34. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12951-016-0184-y] [PMID: 27102228]
- [30] Yang W, Shen C, Ji Q, et al. Food storage material silver nanoparticles interfere with DNA replication fidelity and bind with DNA. Nanotechnology 2009; 20(8): 085102. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0957-4484/20/8/085102] [PMID: 19417438]
- [31] Cheloni G, Marti E, Slaveykova VI. Interactive effects of copper oxide nanoparticles and light to green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Aquat Toxicol 2016; 170: 120-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2015.11.018] [PMID: 26655656]
- [32] Fang J, Lyon DY, Wiesner MR, Dong J, Alvarez PJ. Effect of a fullerene water suspension on bacterial phospholipids and membrane phase behavior. Environ Sci Technol 2007; 41(7): 2636-42. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es062181w] [PMID: 17438827]
- [33] Kohen R, Nyska A. Oxidation of biological systems: oxidative stress phenomena, antioxidants, redox reactions, and methods for their quantification. Toxicol Pathol 2002; 30(6): 620-50. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01926230290166724] [PMID: 12512863]
- [34] Zhang L, Jiang Y, Ding Y, Povey M, York D. Investigation into the antibacterial behaviour of suspensions of ZnO nanoparticles (ZnO nanofluids). J Nanopart Res 2007; 9(3): 479-89. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11051-006-9150-1]
- [35] Ansari MA, Khan HM, Alzohairy MA, et al. Green synthesis of Al2O3 nanoparticles and their bactericidal potential against clinical isolates of multi-drug resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 2015; 31(1): 153-64. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11274-014-1757-2] [PMID: 25304025]
- [36] Pramanik A, Laha D, Bhattacharya D, Pramanik P, Karmakar P. A novel study of antibacterial activity of copper iodide nanoparticle mediated by DNA and membrane damage. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces 2012; 96: 50-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2012.03.021] [PMID: 22521682]
- [37] Kittler S, Greulich C, Diendorf J, Köller M, Epple M. Toxicity of silver nanoparticles increases during storage because of slow dissolution under release of silver ions. Chem Mater 2010; 22(16): 4548-54. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cm100023p]
- [38] McQuillan JS, Shaw AM. Differential gene regulation in the Ag nanoparticle and Ag <sup>+</sup> -induced silver stress response in *Escherichia coli* : A full transcriptomic profile. Nanotoxicology 2014; 8(sup1) (Suppl. 1): 177-84.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/17435390.2013.870243] [PMID: 24392705]
- [39] Ivask A, ElBadawy A, Kaweeteerawat C, et al. Toxicity mechanisms in Escherichia coli vary for silver nanoparticles and differ from ionic silver. ACS Nano 2014; 8(1): 374-86. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nn4044047] [PMID: 24341736]
- [40] Kim JS, Kuk E, Yu KN, et al. Antimicrobial effects of silver nanoparticles. Nanomedicine 2007; 3(1): 95-101.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nano.2006.12.001] [PMID: 17379174]
- 41] Morones JR, Elechiguerra JL, Camacho A, *et al.* The bactericidal effect of silver nanoparticles.
- [41] Morones JR, Elechiguerra JL, Camacho A, *et al.* The bactericidal effect of silver nanoparticles. Nanotechnology 2005; 16(10): 2346-53.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0957-4484/16/10/059] [PMID: 20818017]
- [42] Ren G, Hu D, Cheng EWC, Vargas-Reus MA, Reip P, Allaker RP. Characterisation of copper oxide nanoparticles for antimicrobial applications. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2009; 33(6): 587-90. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2008.12.004] [PMID: 19195845]
- [43] Wang L, He H, Yu Y, et al. Morphology-dependent bactericidal activities of Ag/CeO<sub>2</sub> catalysts against

#### Antibacterial Agents

Escherichia coli. J Inorg Biochem 2014; 135: 45-53. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2014.02.016] [PMID: 24662462]

Tamayo LA, Zapata PA, Vejar ND, et al. Release of silver and copper nanoparticles from [44] polyethylene nanocomposites and their penetration into Listeria monocytogenes. Mater Sci Eng C 2014: 40: 24-31. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2014.03.037] [PMID: 24857461]

- [45] Leung YH, Ng AMC, Xu X, et al. Mechanisms of antibacterial activity of MgO: non-ROS mediated toxicity of MgO nanoparticles towards Escherichia coli. Small 2014; 10(6): 1171-83. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/smll.201302434] [PMID: 24344000]
- Hemeg H. Nanomaterials for alternative antibacterial therapy. Int J Nanomedicine 2017; 12: 8211-25. [46] [http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S132163] [PMID: 29184409]
- [47] Shaikh Sibhghatulla. Mechanistic Insights into the Antimicrobial Actions of Metallic Nanoparticles and Their Implications for Multidrug Resistance. Int J Mol Sci 2019; 20(10): 2468.
- [48] Radhika Rajasree SR, Suman TY. Extracellular biosynthesis of gold nanoparticles using a Gram negative bacterium Pseudomonas fluorescens. Asi Pacific J Tropic Dis 2012; pp. 795-9.
- [49] Sondi I, Salopek-Sondi B. Silver nanoparticles as antimicrobial agent: a case study on E. coli as a model for Gram-negative bacteria. J Colloid Interface Sci 2004; 275(1): 177-82. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2004.02.012] [PMID: 15158396]
- Baker C, Pradhan A, Pakstis L, Pochan D, Shah SI. Synthesis and antibacterial properties of silver [50] nanoparticles. J Nanosci Nanotechnol 2005; 5(2): 244-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1166/jnn.2005.034] [PMID: 15853142]
- [51] Gogoi SK, Gopinath P, Paul A, Ramesh A, Ghosh SS, Chattopadhyay A. Green fluorescent proteinexpressing Escherichia coli as a model system for investigating the antimicrobial activities of silver nanoparticles. Langmuir 2006; 22(22): 9322-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/la060661v] [PMID: 17042548]
- [52] Ruparelia JP, Chatterjee AK, Duttagupta SP, Mukherji S. Strain specificity in antimicrobial activity of silver and copper nanoparticles. Acta Biomater 2008; 4(3): 707-16. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2007.11.006] [PMID: 18248860]
- Pal S, Tak YK, Song JM. Does the antibacterial activity of silver nanoparticles depend on the shape of [53] the nanoparticle? A study of the Gram-negative bacterium Escherichia coli. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007; 73(6): 1712-20. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02218-06] [PMID: 17261510]
- [54] Lok CN, Ho CM, Chen R, et al. Silver nanoparticles: partial oxidation and antibacterial activities. J Biol Inorg Chem 2007; 12(4): 527-34. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00775-007-0208-z] [PMID: 17353996]
- Shahverdi AR, Fakhimi A, Shahverdi HR, Minaian S. Synthesis and effect of silver nanoparticles on [55] the antibacterial activity of different antibiotics against Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli. Nanomedicine 2007; 3(2): 168-71. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nano.2007.02.001] [PMID: 17468052]
- [56] Martinez-Gutierrez F, Olive PL, Banuelos A, et al. Synthesis, characterization, and evaluation of antimicrobial and cytotoxic effect of silver and titanium nanoparticles. Nanomedicine 2010; 6(5): 681-8 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nano.2010.02.001] [PMID: 20215045]
- [57] El Badawy AM, Silva RG, Morris B, Scheckel KG, Suidan MT, Tolaymat TM. Surface chargedependent toxicity of silver nanoparticles. Environ Sci Technol 2011; 45(1): 283-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es1034188] [PMID: 21133412]
- [58] Khan SS, Mukherjee A, Chandrasekaran N. Studies on interaction of colloidal silver nanoparticles (SNPs) with five different bacterial species. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces 2011; 87(1): 129-38.

#### 152 Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2011.05.012] [PMID: 21640562]

- [59] Bera RK, Mandal SM, Raj CR. Antimicrobial activity of fluorescent Ag nanoparticles. Lett Appl Microbiol 2014; 58(6): 520-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/lam.12222] [PMID: 24460988]
- [60] Pérez-Díaz MA, Boegli L, James G, et al. Silver nanoparticles with antimicrobial activities against Streptococcus mutans and their cytotoxic effect. Mater Sci Eng C 2015; 55: 360-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2015.05.036] [PMID: 26117766]
- [61] Ramalingam B, Parandhaman T, Das SK. ThanusuParandhamanand Sujoy K Das. Antibacterial effects of biosynthesized silver nanoparticles on surface ultrastructure and nanomechanical properties of Gram-negative bacteria viz. Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 2016; 8(7): 4963-76. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsami.6b00161] [PMID: 26829373]
- [62] Lai HZ, Chen WY, Wu CY, Chen YC. Potent antibacterial nanoparticles for pathogenic bacteria. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 2015; 7(3): 2046-54. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/am507919m] [PMID: 25584802]
- [63] Jahnke JP, Cornejo JA, Sumner JJ, Schuler AJ, Atanassov P, Ista LK. Conjugated gold nanoparticles as a tool for probing the bacterial cell envelope: The case of *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1. Biointerphases 2016; 11(1): 011003.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1116/1.4939244] [PMID: 26746161]
- [64] Delgado K, Quijada R, Palma R, Palza H. Polypropylene with embedded copper metal or copper oxide nanoparticles as a novel plastic antimicrobial agent. Lett Appl Microbiol 2011; 53(1): 50-4. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2011.03069.x] [PMID: 21535046]
- [65] Applerot G, Lellouche J, Lipovsky A, *et al.* Understanding the antibacterial mechanism of CuO nanoparticles: revealing the route of induced oxidative stress. Small 2012; 8(21): 3326-37. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/smll.201200772] [PMID: 22888058]
- [66] Chowdhury MNK, Beg MDH, Khan MR, Mina MF. Synthesis of copper nanoparticles and their antimicrobial performances in natural fibres. Mater Lett 2013; 98: 26-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2013.02.024]
- [67] Laha D, Pramanik A, Laskar A, Jana M, Pramanik P, Karmakar P. Shape-dependent bactericidal activity of copper oxide nanoparticle mediated by DNA and membrane damage. Mater Res Bull 2014; 59: 185-91.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.materresbull.2014.06.024]
- [68] Betancourt-Galindo R, Reyes-Rodriguez PY, Puente-Urbina BA, et al. Synthesis of copper nanoparticles by thermal decomposition and their antimicrobial properties. J Nanomater 2014; 2014: 1-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/980545]
- [69] Villanueva ME, Diez AMR, González JA, et al. Antimicrobial activity of starch hydrogel incorporated with copper nanoparticles. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 2016; 8(25): 16280-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsami.6b02955] [PMID: 27295333]
- [70] Gutierrez E, Burdiles PA, Quero F, Palma P, Olate-Moya F, Palza H. 3D Printing of antimicrobial alginate/bacterial-cellulose composite hydrogels by incorporating copper nanostructures. ACS Biomater Sci Eng 2019; 5(11): 6290-9.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.9b01048] [PMID: 33405536]
- [71] Amorim A, Mafud AC, Nogueira S, *et al.* Copper nanoparticles stabilized with cashew gum: Antimicrobial activity and cytotoxicity against 4T1 mouse mammary tumor cell line. J Biomater Appl 2019; 34(2): 188-97.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0885328219845964] [PMID: 31042123]
- [72] Zhou J, Xiang H, Zabihi F, Yu S, Sun B, Zhu M. Intriguing anti-superbug Cu2O@ZrP hybrid

nanosheet with enhanced antibacterial performance and weak cytotoxicity. Nano Res 2019; 12(6): 1453-60. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12274-019-2406-8]

- [73] Balcucho J, Narváez DM, Castro-Mayorga JL. Antimicrobial and biocompatible polycaprolactone and copper oxide nanoparticle wound dressings against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Nanomaterials (Basel) 2020; 10(9): 1692. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/nano10091692] [PMID: 32872095]
- [74] Bocarando-ChacónJ. Surface-enhanced Raman scattering and antibacterial properties from copper nanoparticles obtained by green chemistry. ApplPhys A 2020; 126:530 (9pp). 2020.
- [75] Jayaramudu T, Varaprasad K, Reddy KK, Pyarasani RD, Akbari-Fakhrabadi A, Amalraj J. Chitosanpluronic based Cu nanocomposite hydrogels for prototype antimicrobial applications. Int J Biol Macromol 2020; 143: 825-32.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.09.143] [PMID: 31715225]
- [76] Marković D, Vasiljević J, Ašanin J, et al. The influence of coating with aminopropyl triethoxysilane and CuO/Cu<sub>2</sub> O nanoparticles on antimicrobial activity of cotton fabrics under dark conditions. J Appl Polym Sci 2020; 137(40): 49194. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/app.49194]
- [77] Simon-Deckers A, Loo S, Mayne-L'hermite M, et al. Size-, composition- and shape-dependent toxicological impact of metal oxide nanoparticles and carbon nanotubes toward bacteria. Environ Sci Technol 2009; 43(21): 8423-9.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es9016975] [PMID: 19924979]
- [78] Thill A, Zeyons O, Spalla O, et al. Cytotoxicity of CeO2 nanoparticles for Escherichia coli. Physicochemical insight of the cytotoxicity mechanism. Environ Sci Technol 2006; 40(19): 6151-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es060999b] [PMID: 17051814]
- [79] Pelletier DA, Suresh AK, Holton GA, *et al.* Effects of engineered cerium oxide nanoparticles on bacterial growth and viability. Appl Environ Microbiol 2010; 76(24): 7981-9.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00650-10] [PMID: 20952651]
- [80] RajagopalanVijayaraghavan. Enhanced bioactivity of ZnO nanoparticles—an antimicrobial study. Sci Technol Adv Mater 2008; 9(3): 1-7.
- [81] Tsuang YH, Sun JS, Huang YC, Lu CH, Chang WHS, Wang CC. Studies of photokilling of bacteria using titanium dioxide nanoparticles. Artif Organs 2008; 32(2): 167-74. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1594.2007.00530.x] [PMID: 18269355]
- [82] Li M, Zhu L, Lin D. Toxicity of ZnO nanoparticles to *Escherichia coli*: mechanism and the influence of medium components. Environ Sci Technol 2011; 45(5): 1977-83. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es102624t] [PMID: 21280647]
- [83] Meghana S, Kabra P, Chakraborty S, Padmavathy N. Understanding the pathway of antibacterial activity of copper oxide nanoparticles. RSC Advances 2015; 5(16): 12293-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C4RA12163E]
- [84] Stoimenov PK, Klinger RL, Marchin GL, Klabunde KJ. Metal oxide nanoparticles as bactericidal agents. Langmuir 2002; 18(17): 6679-86. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/la0202374]
- [85] Sohm B, Immel F, Bauda P, Pagnout C. Insight into the primary mode of action of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles on *Escherichia coli* in the dark. Proteomics 2015; 15(1): 98-113. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pmic.201400101] [PMID: 25346333]
- [86] Gahlawat G, Choudhury AR. A review on the biosynthesis of metal and metal salt nanoparticles by microbes. RSC Advances 2019; 9(23): 12944-67. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C8RA10483B] [PMID: 35520790]
- [87] Li J, Li Q, Ma X, et al. Biosynthesis of gold nanoparticles by the extreme bacterium Deinococcus

#### 154 Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications

*radiodurans* and an evaluation of their antibacterial properties. Int J Nanomedicine 2016; 11: 5931-44. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S119618] [PMID: 27877039]

[88] Lv Q, Zhang B, Xing X, *et al.* Biosynthesis of copper nanoparticles using *Shewanella loihica* PV-4 with antibacterial activity: Novel approach and mechanisms investigation. J Hazard Mater 2018; 347: 141-9.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.12.070] [PMID: 29304452]

- [89] Shoeibi S, Mashreghi M. Biosynthesis of selenium nanoparticles using *Enterococcus faecalis* and evaluation of their antibacterial activities. J Trace Elem Med Biol 2017; 39: 135-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jtemb.2016.09.003] [PMID: 27908405]
- [90] Órdenes-Aenishanslins NA, Saona LA, Durán-Toro VM, Monrás JP, Bravo DM, Pérez-Donoso JM. Use of titanium dioxide nanoparticles biosynthesized by *Bacillus mycoides* in quantum dot sensitized solar cells. Microb Cell Fact 2014; 13(1): 90. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12934-014-0090-7] [PMID: 25027643]
- [91] Jayaseelan C, Rahuman AA, Kirthi AV, et al. Novel microbial route to synthesize ZnO nanoparticles using Aeromonas hydrophila and their activity against pathogenic bacteria and fungi. Spectrochim Acta A Mol Biomol Spectrosc 2012; 90: 78-84. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2012.01.006]
- [92] Ashajyothi C, Harish KH, Dubey N, Chandrakanth RK. Antibiofilm activity of biogenic copper and zinc oxide nanoparticles-antimicrobials collegiate against multiple drug resistant bacteria: a nanoscale approach. J Nanostructure Chem 2016; 6(4): 329-41. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40097-016-0205-2]
- [93] Gade AK, Bonde P, Ingle AP, Marcato PD, Durán N, Rai MK. Exploitation of Aspergillus nigerfor synthesis of silver nanoparticles. J Biobased Mater Bioenergy 2008; 2(3): 243-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1166/jbmb.2008.401]
- [94] Maliszewska I, Sadowski Z. Synthesis and Antibacterial Activity of Silver Nanoparticles, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, IOP Publishing 2009; 146: 012024 (6pp).
- [95] Składanowski M, Wypij M, Laskowski D, Golińska P, Dahm H, Rai M. Silver and gold nanoparticles synthesized from Streptomyces sp. isolated from acid forest soil with special reference to its antibacterial activity against pathogens. J Cluster Sci 2017; 28(1): 59-79. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10876-016-1043-6]
- [96] Balagurunathan R, Radhakrishnan M, Rajendran RB, Velmurugan D. Biosynthesis of gold nanoparticles by actinomycete Streptomyces viridogens strain HM10. Indian J Biochem Biophys 2011; 48(5): 331-5. [PMID: 22165291]
- [97] Abdel-Kareem MM, Zohri AA. Extracellular mycosynthesis of gold nanoparticles using *Trichoderma hamatum*: optimization, characterization and antimicrobial activity. Lett Appl Microbiol 2018; 67(5): 465-75.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/lam.13055] [PMID: 30028030]
- [98] Rajakumar G, Rahuman AA, Roopan SM, *et al.* Fungus-mediated biosynthesis and characterization of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles and their activity against pathogenic bacteria. Spectrochim Acta A Mol Biomol Spectrosc 2012; 91: 23-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2012.01.011]
- [99] Moghaddam AB, Moniri M, Azizi S, et al. Biosynthesis of ZnO nanoparticles by a new Pichia kudriavzevii yeast strain and evaluation of their antimicrobial and antioxidant activities. Molecules 2017; 22(6): 872. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/molecules22060872] [PMID: 28538674]
- [100] Emeka EE, Ojiefoh OC, Aleruchi C, et al. Evaluation of antibacterial activities of silver nanoparticles green-synthesized using pineapple leaf (Ananas comosus). Micron 2014; 57: 1-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.micron.2013.09.003] [PMID: 24268599]

#### Antibacterial Agents

- [101] Bose D, Chatterjee S. Antibacterial activity of green synthesized silver nanoparticles using Vasaka (Justicia adhatoda L.) leaf extract. Indian J Microbiol 2015; 55(2): 163-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12088-015-0512-1] [PMID: 25805902]
- [102] Rao B, Tang RC. Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles with antibacterial activities using aqueous Eriobotrya japonica leaf extract. Adv Nat SciNanosciNanotechnol 2017; 8(1): 015014 (8pp).
- [103] de Jesús Ruíz-Baltazar Á, Reyes-López SY, Larrañaga D, Estévez M, Pérez R. Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles using a Melissa officinalis leaf extract with antibacterial properties. Results Phys 2017; 7: 2639-43.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2017.07.044]
- [104] Sreekanth T. Eom In-Yong. Biogenic gold nanoparticles and its antibacterial activities: *Houttuyniacordata* leaf extract, advanced materials research. Adv Mat Res 2014; 1051: 392-7.
- [105] Seetharaman P, Chandrasekaran R, Gnanasekar S, Mani I, Sivaperumal S. Biogenic gold nanoparticles synthesized using *Crescentia cujete* L. and evaluation of their different biological activities. Biocatal Agric Biotechnol 2017; 11: 75-82. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bcab.2017.06.004]
- [106] Nagajyothi PC, Lee KD. Synthesis of plant-mediated silver nanoparticles using Dioscoreabatatas rhizome extract and evaluation of their antimicrobial activities. J Nanomater. 2011; Available from: https://www.hindawi.com/journals/jnm/2011/573429/
- [107] Kaviya S, Santhanalakshmi J, Viswanathan B, Muthumary J, Srinivasan K. Biosynthesis of silver nanoparticles using citrus sinensis peel extract and its antibacterial activity. Spectrochim Acta A Mol Biomol Spectrosc 2011; 79(3): 594-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2011.03.040] [PMID: 21536485]
- [108] Kathiravan V, Ravi S, Ashokkumar S, Velmurugan S, Elumalai K, Khatiwada CP. Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles using *Croton sparsiflorus morong* leaf extract and their antibacterial and antifungal activities. Spectrochim Acta A Mol Biomol Spectrosc 2015; 139: 200-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2014.12.022] [PMID: 25561298]
- [109] Thekkae Padil VV, Černík M, Cerník M. Green synthesis of copper oxide nanoparticles using gum karaya as a biotemplate and their antibacterial application. Int J Nanomedicine 2013; 8: 889-98. [PMID: 23467397]
- [110] Awwad AM, Albiss BA, Salem NM. Antibacterial activity of synthesized copper oxide nanoparticles using *Malvasylvestris* leaf extract. SMU Med J 2015; 2: 91-01.
- [111] Acharyulu NPS, Dubey RS, Swaminadham V, et al. Green synthesis of CuO nanoparticles using *Phyllanthusamarus* leaf extract and their antibacterial activity against multidrug resistance bacteria. Int J Eng Res Technol (Ahmedabad) 2014; 3: 639-41.
- [112] Gopinath K, Karthika V, Gowri S, Senthilkumar V, Kumaresan S, Arumugam A. Antibacterial activity of ruthenium nanoparticles synthesized using *Gloriosa superba* L. leaf extract. J Nanostructure Chem 2014; 4(1): 83. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40097-014-0083-4]
- [113] Naika HR, Lingaraju K, Manjunath K, et al. Green synthesis of CuO nanoparticles using Gloriosa superba L. extract and their antibacterial activity. J Taibah Univ Sci 2015; 9(1): 7-12. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jtusci.2014.04.006]
- [114] Gu H, Chen X, Chen F, Zhou X, Parsaee Z. Ultrasound-assisted biosynthesis of CuO-NPs using brown alga Cystoseira trinodis: Characterization, photocatalytic AOP, DPPH scavenging and antibacterial investigations. Ultrason Sonochem 2018; 41: 109-19. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2017.09.006] [PMID: 29137732]
- [115] Joghee Suresh. Green synthesis and characterization of zinc oxide nanoparticle using insulin plant (Costuspictus D. Don) and investigation of its antimicrobial as well as anticancer activities Adv Nat Sci: Nanosci. Nanotechnol 2018; 9: 015008 (8pp).
- [116] Senthilkumar SR, Sivakumar T. Green tea (Camellia sinensis) mediated synthesis of zinc oxide (ZnO)

#### 156 Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications

#### Sharma et al.

nanoparticles and studies on their antimicrobial activities. Int J Pharm Pharm Sci 2014; 6: 461-5.

[117] Das MP, Rebecca LJ. Evaluation of antibacterial efficacy of biogenic zinc oxide nanoparticles on cotton fabrics. Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research 2017; 9(12): 2553-7.

# **Promises of Nanobiosensors in Pathogen Detection**

Anurag Jyoti<sup>1,\*</sup>, Neha Shrivastava<sup>1</sup>, Vikas Shrivastava<sup>1</sup> and Rajesh Singh Tomar<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Amity Institute of Biotechnology, Amity University Madhya Pradesh, Maharajpura Dang, Gwalior, M.P., India

Abstract: Rapid and accurate identification of pathogens has always been challenging. There are a number of methods for the detection of pathogens, but still they face critical challenges. In general, rapidity, sensitivity, and accuracy are the important criteria that limit the applicability of classical methods. Nanomaterials-based biosensors have been proven to be effective for the early and accurate quantification of pathogens. Interactions between target pathogen and nanomaterials are very important, as they provide a measurable signal in biosensors. Nanobiosensors are effective in detecting pathogenic bacteria in various samples, including food, water, blood, and other matrices. In this chapter, we intend to discuss the existence and importance of electrochemical-based biosensors for quantification.

Keywords: Bacterial Sensing, Electrochemical, Nanobiosensors, Pathogenic bacteria.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Bacteria, fungi, and protozoans are infectious agents that cause disease. Viruses and prions are molecular scale infectious agents, enter the body causing infection and lead to millions of deaths annually worldwide [1, 2]. The most prominent pathogens include bacteria such as *S. aureus* and *E. coli*, and viruses like influenza virus which bring exotoxins, mycotoxins and enterotoxins. They vary in several regards, like in contagiousness, virulence, transmittable dose and mode of spread. For example, the world is at present facing a global pandemic linked with the COVID-19 virus, for which infectious dose and virulence data are still promising.

Food products are the actual provision for a healthy life and are the strong transmitting media of more than 200 known diseases [3]. Drinking water is also a major source of contamination by microorganisms that have increased fast in

\* Corresponding author Anurag Jyoti: Amity Institute of Biotechnology, Amity University Madhya Pradesh, Maharajpura Dang, Gwalior, M.P., India, Tel: +91-7898805402; E-mail: ajyoti@gwa.amity.edu

Juhi Saxena, Abhijeet Singh and Anupam Jyoti (Eds.) All rights reserved-© 2023 Bentham Science Publishers recent years [4, 5]. Community concern has significantly increased regarding water and food safety in the past decades.

Therefore, it is of primary importance to observe these microorganisms for the avoidance of infections, the maintenance of human health at large and to comply with quality standards.

Different pathogen recognition techniques have been developed in recent times. Traditional methods containing immune-diffusion, latex agglutination, immune-precipitation, *etc.* were multi-step and time-consuming, taking several days to confirm the presumptive results [6, 7]. This has made them less appropriate for the fast and direct study of pathogens.

Some other techniques such as Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA), and Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) were frequently opted to detect pathogens but they could be time-consuming, sensitive and expensive to the given qualitative and quantitative information [8 - 10]. PCR technique not only requires expensive reagents for routine analysis but also bears the problem of false positives by amplifying nonviable cells [11]. Hence, it is required to develop suitable detection methods that authorize an accurate, rapid, and sensitive investigation to monitor pathogens.

Biosensors are integrated devices, developed to quantify and measure biomarkers particularly for infectious pathogens since they exhibit the advantages of selectivity, simplicity, rapidity, and high sensitivity [12, 13]. A biosensor has two elements, a transducer and a bioreceptor, which have great importance. A transducer converts the chemical recognize and combine the target biomolecules. On the basis of signals, biosensors, and transducers are divided into colorimetric, fluorescent, electrochemical, SERS biosensors, and so on.

## **Electrochemical-based Biosensors**

Electrochemical biosensors can perform chemical or biological analysis with simplified sample preparation and facilitate high sensitivity in lesser time. Electrochemical biosensors are made up of three elements, with signal transduction element, target recognition element, and electrochemical signal output elements. Combined with electrocatalytic activity, electronic properties and nanoparticles with a huge surface area [14], nanoparticles-based electrochemical biosensors have achieved significant attention for pathogen detection [15]. Nanoparticles offered an appropriate microenvironment for the immobilization of biomolecules to support the transfer of electrons between electrodes and immobilized biomolecules and enlarge the surface area of

#### Pathogen Detection

electrodes for target identification. Therefore, electrochemical-based biosensors have a unique advantage of fast response, high sensitivity and ease of operation in thick media compared with conventional methods. Based on monitored electric parameters, electrochemical biosensors could be divided into voltammetric biosensors, amperometric biosensors, and impedimetric biosensors, and potentiometric biosensors.

## Voltametric Biosensors

Voltammetric biosensors supervise the current changes caused by the reduction or oxidation reaction of the electrochemically energetic analytes, which can be studied by the unstable potential in the electrochemical system [16].

Shoaie&Omidfar (2018) prepared a voltammetric biosensor for rapid detection of *E. coli* based on AuNPs and a polyaniline customized screen-printed carbon electrode with a LOD down to 4 CFU/ml. The low LOD biosensors have great applications of AuNPs and polyaniline, which may significantly increase the surface area and conductivity for immobilized biomolecules [17].

Likewise, Zhu *et al.* (2014) developed a unique amperometric biosensor based on the rolling circle amplification (RCA) approach for *Salmonella* detection in milk samples. The *Salmonella* DNA was first arrested on the electrode surface by a DNA-AuNPs probe. After a chain of amplification processes, the DNA-AuNPs identified the RCA product and formed an enzymatic amperometric signal. The range for target DNA detection by proposed biosensors was from 10aM to 10pM and the LOD down to 6.76 aM [18].

Nze *et al.* (2019) also developed a technique for separating and electrochemically identifying *E. coli* in ground meat also developed by. In this technique, antibody-coated magnetic beads and hydrodynamic cavitation are used for the separation of immunomagnetic samples, which significantly amplified the detection potential of the biosensors [19].

In 2017, Chen *et al.* developed a voltammetric biosensor for the detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* DNA. If nanoparticles are incorporated with DNA amplification approach, this can improve the detection limit of biosensors.

Metal-based nanoparticles, such as MWCNTs and GO carbon-based NPs, have also been commonly applied in electrochemical biosensors because of their excellent electron transfer properties and high surface area.

## **Amperometric-based Biosensors**

Amperometric biosensors are working on the principle that the number of

transferred electrons is linear with respect to the concentration of the analyte [20]. A sensitive and simple amperometric immunosensor was proposed by Zhu *et al.* (2013), for simultaneously identifying three analytes, with carcinoembryonic, alpha-fetoprotein and *Streptococcus suis* Serotype 2 [21].

The biosensor uses a graphene sheet, AuNPs, *etc.* functionalized as a tracer for the second antibody. On the surface of the electrode, the antibodies were first immobilized to prepare a sandwich structure with the tracer in the occurrence of the target. The LODs were reduced to 4.2pg/ml with a linear range from 0.012 to 50 ng/ml for streptococcus suis Serotype 2.

Villalonga *et al.*, (2019) designed a core-shell MNP-based amperometric biosensor for fast recognition of *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* in wine. Fe<sub>3</sub>O and SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles were customized with antibodies for arresting *Brettanomyces bruxellensis*. The amperometric indicator rises with the increased concentration of *B. bruxellensis* and the series of the amperometric biosensor started from 10 to  $10^6$ CFU/ml with 5 CFU/ml LOD down [22].

## CONCLUSION

Nano therapies are far more effective than any other traditional chemotherapy in the detection and treatment of ovarian cancer. They are quite effective because of their potential to target a specific tissue and also to examine the living body of animals for adequate durations of time.

## **CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION**

Not applicable.

## **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The author declares no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to express our sincere acknowledgment to Dr. Ashok Kumar Chauhan, President, RBEF parent organization of Amity University Madhya Pradesh (AUMP), Dr. Aseem Chauhan, Additional President, RBEF and chairman of AUMP, Gwalior, Lt. Gen. V.K. Sharma, AVSM (Retd.), Vice Chancellor of AUMP, Gwalior for providing necessary facilities, their Valuable support and encouragement throughout the work.

## REFERENCES

[1] Dye C. After 2015: Infectious diseases in a new era of health and development philos. Trans R Soc

Lond B Biol 2014; 369((1645)).

Scharff RL, Besser J, Sharp DJ, Jones TF, Peter GS, Hedberg CW. An economic evaluation of PulseNet: A network for food born disease surveillance. Am J Prev Med 2016; 50(5) (Suppl. 1): S66-73.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2015.09.018] [PMID: 26993535]

- [3] Arora P, Sindhu A, Dilbaghi N, Chaudhury A. Biosensors as innovative tools for the detection of food borne pathogens. Biosens Bioelectron 2011; 28(1): 1-12.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2011.06.002] [PMID: 21763122]
- [4] Jyoti A, Tomar RS. Detection of pathogenic bacteria using nanobiosensors. Environ Chem Lett 2017; 15(1): 1-6.
  [http://dv.doi.org/10.1007/s10211.016.0504.vl]

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10311-016-0594-y]

- Jyoti A, Vajpayee P, Singh G, Patel CB, Gupta KC, Shanker R. Identification of environmental reservoirs of nontyphoidal salmonellosis: aptamer-assisted bioconcentration and subsequent detection of *salmonella typhimurium* by quantitative polymerase chain reaction. Environ Sci Technol 2011; 45(20): 8996-9002.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es2018994] [PMID: 21875107]
- [6] Liu Y, Duan Y. 2006.Biosensors for pathogen detection.
- [7] Jofré A, Martin B, Garriga M, et al. Simultaneous detection of Listeria monocytogenes and Salmonella by multiplex PCR in cooked ham. Food Microbiol 2005; 22(1): 109-15. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2004.04.009]
- [8] Hayes PS, Graves LM, Ajello GW, et al. Comparison of cold enrichment and U.S. Department of Agriculture methods for isolating Listeria monocytogenes from naturally contaminated foods. Appl Environ Microbiol 1991; 57(8): 2109-13. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/aem.57.8.2109-2113.1991] [PMID: 1768082]
- [9] Giletto A, Fyffe JG. A novel ELISA format for the rapid and sensitive detection of staphylococcal enterotoxin A. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 1998; 62(11): 2217-22.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1271/bbb.62.2217] [PMID: 9972242]
- [10] Jyoti A, Ram S, Vajpayee P, et al. Contamination of surface and potable water in South Asia by Salmonellae: Culture-independent quantification with molecular beacon real-time PCR. Sci Total Environ 2010; 408(6): 1256-63. a [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2009.11.056] [PMID: 20035972]
- Jyoti A, Pandey P, Singh SP, Jain SK, Shanker R. Colorimetric detection of nucleic acid signature of shiga toxin producing *Escherichia coli* using gold nanoparticles. J Nanosci Nanotechnol 2010; 10(7): 4154-8. b
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1166/jnn.2010.2649] [PMID: 21128394]
- [12] Lan L, Yao Y, Ping J, Ying Y. Recent advances in nanomaterial-based biosensors for antibiotics detection. Biosens Bioelectron 2017; 91: 504-14.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2017.01.007] [PMID: 28082239]
- [13] Bhunia AK. One day to one hour: How quickly can foodborne pathogens be detected? Future Microbiology, 9(8), 935-946. Chuang, H., Macuch, P., Tabacco, M.B. 2001. Optical sensors for detection of bacteria.1. General concepts and initial development. Anal Chem 2014; 73: 462-6.
- [14] Geagea R, Aubert PH, Banet P, Sanson N. Signal enhancement of electrochemical biosensors via direct electrochemical oxidation of silver nanoparticle labels coated with zwitterionic polymers. Chem Commun (Camb) 2015; 51(2): 402-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C4CC07474B] [PMID: 25407013]
- [15] Rotariu L, Lagarde F, Jaffrezic-Renault N, Bala C. Electrochemical biosensors for fast detection of food contaminants – trends and perspective. Trends Analyt Chem 2016; 79: 80-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2015.12.017]

#### 162 Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications

- [16] Chillawar RR, Tadi KK, Motghare RV. Voltammetric techniques at chemically modified electrodes. J Anal Chem 2015; 70(4): 399-418.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1134/S1061934815040152]
- [17] Shoaie N, Forouzandeh M, Omidfar K. Voltammetric determination of the Escherichia coli DNA using a screen-printed carbon electrode modified with polyaniline and gold nanoparticles. Mikrochim Acta 2018; 185(4): 217.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00604-018-2749-y] [PMID: 29594544]
- [18] Zhu D, Yan Y, Lei P, *et al.* A novel electrochemical sensing strategy for rapid and ultrasensitive detection of Salmonella by rolling circle amplification and DNA–AuNPs probe. Anal Chim Acta 2014; 846: 44-50. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2014.07.024] [PMID: 25220140]
- [19] Nze UC, Beeman MG, Lambert CJ, Salih G, Gale BK, Sant HJ. Hydrodynamic cavitation for the rapid separation and electrochemical detection of Cryptosporidium parvum and Escherichia coli O157:H7 in ground beef. Biosens Bioelectron 2019; 135: 137-44. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2019.04.002] [PMID: 31005765]
- [20] Tomassetti M, Serone M, Angeloni R, Campanella L, Mazzone E. Amperometric enzyme sensor to check the total antioxidant capacity of several mixed berries. Comparison with two other spectrophotometric and fluorimetric methods. Sensors (Basel) 2015; 15(2): 3435-52. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/s150203435] [PMID: 25654720]
- [21] Zhu Q, Chai Y, Yuan R, *et al.* Amperometric immunosensor for simultaneous detection of three analytes in one interface using dual functionalized graphene sheets integrated with redox-probes as tracer matrixes. Biosens Bioelectron 2013; 43: 440-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2012.12.030] [PMID: 23376803]
- [22] Villalonga ML, Borisova B, Arenas CB, et al. Disposable electrochemical biosensors for Brettanomyces bruxellensis and total yeast content in wine based on core-shell magnetic nanoparticles. Sens Actuators B Chem 2019; 279: 15-21. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2018.09.092]

## **CHAPTER 8**

# **Breaking the Barriers of Nanotoxicological Assessments: The Importance of Available Models and Future Perspectives**

Abhinoy Kishore<sup>1</sup>, Indranil De<sup>1</sup>, Prashant Sharma<sup>1</sup> and Manish Singh<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Nano Science and Technology, Mohali, India

**Abstract:** Nanoparticles (NPs) and nanotechnology have penetrated every walk of life. The nanotechnology-based products include pharmaceuticals, cosmetics, electronic goods, food, food packaging, and household products of daily use. The unique physicochemical properties of nanoparticles also make them a potent toxicant. The evidence suggests that nanoparticles are used in humans' neurological disorders, pulmonary disorders, and other ailments. The situation is alarming as NPs may make their way to the human fetus. The regulations for checking the use of NPs are still in their early stages. The NP toxicity has not only affected the human race but the entire Biosphere. The chapter discusses the different assays and models to study nanotoxicity. The models used in deciphering the molecular mechanism are primarily in vitro models, particularly 2D and 3D cell cultures of primary, cancerous and normal cell lines. 2D cultures are monolayers, while 3D cultures can be spheroids and organoids derived from stem cells. Cell culture models serve to be a good assessment model but due to lack of systemic complexity, results may not be explicitly extrapolated to humans. In order to fill the gap, in vivo models are available. In vivo models are helpful in assessing the systemic toxicity in organisms. The in vivo models are further categorized as models to study human nanotoxicity and the models to study nanoecotoxicity. Out of the plethora of models, certain specific models are briefly discussed here. The ethical regulations for the usage of animal models are stringent which sometimes make it challenging to acquire animal models. Such challenges can be overcome by developing futuristic models like a lab or animal on a chip, and other computation models which may make nanotoxicological assessments easy and accurate, thereby helping in making efficient regulatory policies for NPs usage in various consumer products safeguarding the mankind and the biosphere.

**Keywords:** Cell viability, Cytotoxicity, Ecotoxicity model, *In vitro* models, *In vivo* models, Nanoparticles (NPs), Nanotoxicity.

Juhi Saxena, Abhijeet Singh and Anupam Jyoti (Eds.) All rights reserved-© 2023 Bentham Science Publishers

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author Manish Singh: Institute of Nano Science and Technology, Mohali, India; E-mail: manish@inst.ac.in

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The advances in nanotechnology have been unprecedented in the last two decades. The technology is penetrating every aspect of human life in the form of nanomedicines, disease diagnostics, food preservatives, cosmetics (sunscreen), detergents, personal wears, vehicles, paints, surface coatings and electronic goods. Nanoparticles (NP) are everywhere. "Nanotechnology product Database," a website that maintains the data of nanotechnology based products worldwide, shows currently there are 9422 products that are being manufactured by 2797 companies set in 64 countries across the globe [1]. The number of such products has increased more than seven times in the last ten years as it was just 1317 in 2011.

The database shows that the electronics, cosmetics, medicine and textile industries are amongst the top industries having maximum numbers of nanotechnology enabled-products [1]. This ever increasing trend shows how fast we are dumping our environment with such nanotech enabled products containing various NPs whose fate and effects on the human and the biosphere is drastically unknown. NPs are more reactive as compared to their larger counterparts with similar particle mass due to their smaller size, higher surface area, and high tensile strength. The characteristics that make them such an advanced technology, also make them a threat to us and our Biosphere. The term 'nanoparticle' was coined in 1970s but its potential to be a toxicant was acknowledged in the year 2004 when the term 'Nanotoxicity was used for the first time [2]. The immense increase in the uses of NPs in consumer products has enhanced the chances of human exposure due to which the instances of toxic outcomes have also increased. There are studies which highlight the neurological, pulmonary, vascular, and genetic toxicities in humans caused by NPs' exposure. For example, in a clinical study performed over 22 human subjects, chronic exposure to  $Fe_3O_4$ NP of size less than 20nm is reported to be neurotoxic. Age-associated biomineralization of  $Fe_3O_4$  in the brain is manifested as Alzheimer's disease [3]. In another study, 37 human subjects showed symptoms of neurodegenerative disorders due to an enhancement in ROS levels upon exposure to  $Fe_3O_4$  with a size ranging up to 150 nm [4]. To investigate the pulmonary toxicity of nanomaterials, Khatri et al. studied the effects of acute exposure to NPs (30-40 nm) from photocopiers in 9 healthy volunteers. These nanoparticles can trigger immune responses in the upper airways, resulting in systemic oxidative stress with the generation of pro-inflammatory cytokines [5]. In a study dealing with vascular dysfunction, an incidental exposure of diesel fumes consisting of NP (<100 nm) showed increased systolic blood pressure in 16 human subjects, which might be due to vasodilation induced by oxidative stress [6]. Genotoxicity associated with exposure to silver NPs is also reported in mononuclear leukocytes Breaking the Barriers of Nanotoxicological

in 76 subjects employed in the silver jewellery industry. The genotoxicity was attributed to oxidative stress induced by silver NPs [7].

The situation is alarming as NPs are not only affecting human health, but also being accumulated in our ecosystem. The release of NPs in water bodies and landfills is 69000 and 189200 metric tons per year [8], respectively. The biological magnification of NPs is still in its early stages of research. In the early 1960s, pesticides and chemical fertilizers came up with a lot of hope and promise in solving hunger issues by increasing plant yield. However, after decades of prolonged usage, we now know that these toxic chemicals enroute to our biological system and cause various diseases ranging from mild allergies and hormonal disorders to severe genotoxicity and cancers [9 - 11].

The human race would certainly not want to be caught off guard in the case of NPs, and that is why it is important to study the behaviour of NPs in terms of toxicity for which we need to device a vast setup of model systems along with the robust test batteries. The fate of NPs in the environment depends upon the aggregation, disaggregation, chemical interaction, and change in their surface properties. There is very little research available regarding these aspects of various NPs in both biological and ecological systems. Due to a lack of robust knowledge about the prospective harms of using these NPs in various consumer products like cosmetics and other routinely used stuffs, developing countries like India fail to make stringent policies for regulating the usage of NPs in consumer products. Thus, the area needs appropriate model systems for nanotoxicity evaluation in order to decipher the potential threats as well as to form stringent regulations.

Here in this chapter, we would discuss the present advances in nanotoxicology research in terms of various assays and various available models for the assessment of toxicity of such NPs along with the future perspectives just for the ease of the young researchers because very few such articles are available that illustrate all these assays and models in one write-up.

## NANOTOXICITY CAUSES AND MECHANISM

Nanoparticles enter the human body *via* oral ingestion, inhalation, occular exposure, deposition on the skin, and intravenous administration. NPs then translocate *via* the bloodstream to distant organs and tissues. While translocating NPs may interact with serum proteins, thus resulting in the structural changes of interacting proteins, causing them to accumulate around the NP (protein corona formation) and may change the protein's functionality. Subsequently, NP may also trigger certain pathways that lead to immunotoxicity, loss-of-function in proteins, new antigenic site formation, and may hinder gene expression [12, 13]. Some of

Kishore et al.

the main mechanisms include NPs attachment to the cell membrane, dissolution to toxic ions, and oxidative stress (Fig. 1).

**Membrane Damage**: The cell membrane is the first line of protective barrier against the potential toxic effect of nanoparticles. NPs may directly interact with the cell membrane *via* electrostatic attraction, and this interaction can lead to NPs uptake by endocytosis without being involved in any specific receptor-mediated interaction [14]. It can physically damage the cellular boundary by removing the lipid membrane. In addition, it can initiate or disrupt internal signalling pathways [13, 15].



Fig. (1). Schematic representation of NPs toxicity inside the cell.

**Dissolution to Toxic Ions:** The dissolution of toxic elements from NPs is an essential mechanism whether that dissolution occurs after binding to an organism

or in the surrounding environment. There are different ways through which released ions can cause toxicity. Several ions can bind to proteins and enzymes, thus critically impairing their functions and inhibiting cellular metabolism [16]. Metal ions may directly interact with and damage the phospholipid membrane and/or genetic material and alter the gene expression of the organism [17 - 19].

**Oxidative Stress:** After internalizing the membrane, nanoparticles or their ions can generate excessive amounts of reactive oxygen species (ROS). The amount of ROS generation depends on the shape, size, and charge on the surface of the NPs. Because of the strong oxidation potential of NPs, the excess ROS induced by them can cause damage to biomolecules and organelle structures, and lead to protein oxidative carbonylation, lipid peroxidation, DNA/RNA breakage, and membrane structure destruction, which further cause necrosis, apoptosis, or even mutagenesis [20].

# *IN VITRO* SCREENING METHODS AND ASSAYS FOR NANOPARTICLE CYTOTOXICITY

As new nanomaterials are developing, rapid screening of their biological and health impacts is required to assess their possible risk to provide insight into proper handling and care. Presently a wide variety of cytotoxicity and cell viability assays are in use in the field of nanotoxicology and pharmacology. An ideal assay for *in vitro* viability and/or cytotoxicity determination should be rapid, safe, reliable, efficient, and time and cost-effective. Also, it should not interact with the test compound. The choice of assay method is also crucial in the assessment of the interaction type. Therefore, the assay method should be chosen with caution, considering the mechanism of action of the test compound. The tissue or cell type used in the study also influences the results of cytotoxicity and/or cell viability assays. Therefore, different methods should be tried and compared to determine cytotoxicity and/or cell viability in *in vitro* studies to increase the reliability of the obtained results. Most commonly used assays for determining cytotoxicity are cell viability assays, oxidative stress or ROS assay and apoptosis assays.

*In Vitro* Cell Viability Assays: Nanomaterials can affect cell health and metabolism *via* various mechanisms for example, destruction of cell membranes, prevention of protein synthesis, permanent binding to receptors, oxidative stress, and enzymatic reactions, leading to cell death. Therefore, there is a need for cost-effective, reliable and reproducible short-term cytotoxicity and cell viability assays to decipher the potential as well as the mechanism of toxicity [21]. *In vitro* cell viability and cytotoxicity assays with cultured cells are extensively used for toxicity testing of NPs. These assays can determine number of viable and dead
cells at the end of the experiment. Various cytotoxicity and cell viability assays are used in the field of nanotoxicology based on their detection principle. For example i) Lightmicroscopy based dye uptake or exclusion assays using various dyes/stains such as trypan blue, hematoxyline, eosin, congo red, erythrosine b *etc*. ii) Colorimetric assays such as MTT assay, XTT assay, MTS assay, WST-1 assay, WST-8 assay, LDH assay, SRB assay, NRU assay and crystal violet assay. iii) Fluorometric assays like Alamar Blue assay (resazurin) and CFDA-AM assay and iv) Luminometric assays such as ATP assay and real-time viability assays.

**ROS** Assays Oxidative stress, an imbalance between the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and a cell's antioxidant defences, is associated with human diseases as well as aging. Oxidative stress in the cell can be determined by quantifying the glutathione, changes in ROS, and the ratio of reduced to oxidized glutathione as indicators of cell health. ROS is most common marker for the determination of oxidative stress. Generation of ROS within the cytoplasm beyond natural levels is measurable via introduction of ROS sensitive dyes such nonionic. nonpolar, membrane-permeable fluorophore as the 2'.7'dichlorofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA). After internalization in the cell, it converts into the nonfluorescent polar analog dichlorofluorescein (DCFH) by the cellular esterase enzyme. Hydroxyl radicals and cellular ROS oxidize DCFH into highly fluorescent dichlorofluorescein (DCF), which is monitored using fluorescence microscopy or flow cytometry, or fluorescence based multiwell plate reader [22].

**Apoptosis Assays** Usually, the toxicants cause toxic outcomes in the form of apoptosis, which has a vital role in aging, development, and diseases. Apoptosis is originated by a tightly regulated signalling cascade that involves various apoptosis related proteins (*e.g.* Bax, Bad, Bcl2, Caspases *etc*) which play their specific roles in the execution of apoptosis under the influence of certain toxicants. Key features of apoptosis include cell shrinkage, membrane blebbing, chromosome condensation, nuclear fragmentation, DNA laddering, and the eventual engulfment of the cell by phagosomes. An apoptosis assay depends on detecting and quantifying such cellular events like caspase activation, mitochondrial damage, cell surface exposure of phosphatidylserine (PS), and DNA fragmentation and these events can be detected by Caspase-Glo, JC-1, Annexin V, and TUNEL method respectively [22]. These methods are mainly based on Colorimetric or Fluorometric principles of detection.

# MODELS

Despite increasing exposure to NPs and their potential toxicity, there is no sufficient data to predict its hazardous impact on humans as well as on biosphere.

To formulate any regulation for the potential future threat, there must be a robust database on nanoparticle toxicity, and to achieve it, a series of suitable models are needed. Currently, the toxicity assessments depend upon cellular models i.e. *in vitro*, as well as the animal models *i.e., in vivo*.

**Cellular or** *in vitro* **Models:** NP has potential to induce toxicity at molecular, systemic, and ecosystem levels. According to regulatory bodies, NPs undergo toxicity screening before it reaches for human usage. The first phase of the screening is performed in *in vitro* models. *In vitro* models are comparatively easier in terms of ethical and regulatory guide lines, cost and maintenance, as compared to *in vivo* models. Based on the exposure paradigm, relevant cell types can be selected and grown in both 2D and 3D culture setups.

**2D Cell Culture** This is the commonly used culture setup. Most of the cell types are grown as a monolayer in 2D space. Cells are grown in artificial nutrient media supplemented with growth factors (generally animal serum). Different cell types are grown in different media, *e.g.*, MEM, DMEM, RPMI *etc*.

**Cancerous and Normal Cell Lines** The cell line could be cancerous or normal based on the origin. Cancerous cell line derived from cancerous tissues and has potential to divide infinite times. Normal cell lines are primary cells transformed into immortal cells by inducing certain mutations *via* radiation, chemicals, or viruses. Cancerous cells have a different microenvironment, acidic pH, activated replication pathway, overburdened translational machinery, and a changed phenotype, in such an environment, NPs react differently. In the acidic environment of cancerous cells, ZnO NP releases Zn ions that kill cancerous cells but not normal cells [23, 24]. Similarly, Ag NPs coated with polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) can induce the apoptotic pathway in cancerous cells (HepG2: human hepatoma cells)) but not in normal cells (L-O2 cells (normal hepatic cells) [25]. Also, it has been reported that SiO<sub>2</sub>, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, and TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs can kill 16HBE (immortalized human bronchial epithelial cells) but not A549 cells (human non-small-cell lung carcinoma cells) [26].

**Primary Cell Culture System**: The primary culture cells are isolated from the desired organ or part of the organ by harvesting the animal. Such cells can also be isolated from human biopsy tissues or placenta. Such isolated primary cells are then cultured in artificial media having various growth factors. The cells in primary culture are isometric with actual organs and serve as a good model system but, the method is a bit lengthy, technically challenging, and prone to contamination. Also, the whole method depends upon the availability of the desired tissue or model. Apart from this, primary cells have a limited lifespan due to the inability to proliferate indefinitely.

**Stem Cell Culture System** Stem cells are undifferentiated cells that can form any type of cells on proper activation *via* suitable signals. There are embryonic stem cells (ES), adult stem cells, and induced pluripotent stem cells (iPS). Embryonic stem cells (ES) are derived from the innermost cell of an embryo (blastocyst), and they can develop into any cell form indefinitely. Adult stem cells are derived from the tissues and have the limited self-renewable ability. iPS cells are somatic cells induced to pluripotent stem cells by activating a cascade of genes. The Stem cell has an advantage over primary cells as it can be passaged like immortal cells.

Limitation of 2D Cell Culture: Cells in 2D culture setup lie in dishes in the form of monolayers, whereas, in the actual living body, it remains in 3D space interacting with neighbouring cells, extracellular matrix (ECM), and a number of physiological factors. These interactions shape the cells' functionality and help to maintain homeostasis of the *in vivo* system, which remains missing to a certain extent in the 2D cell cultures. 2D culture also lacks a complex ECM, which is sometimes very important for the transportation of the toxicants/NPs across the cells. So, it can be understood that though the 2D cell culture model provides an effective yet simple cause-and- effect environment, it still fails to mimic the actual environment of the *in vivo* systems. Probably due to these differences, there sometimes occurs a sharp contrast in toxic outcomes assessed in in vitro v/s in vivo systems. For example, the toxicity studies of quantum dots [27], magnetic NPs [28], carbon nanotubes [29], and fullerenes [30] performed using in vitro 2D cell culture setup showed low viability of cells, but when similar experiments were conducted in the *in vivo* models, there were no adverse effects [31 - 34]. So, to overcome this limitation of the 2D culture setup, 3D culture setups can be used as alternative or midway options between 2D culture setup and *in vivo* models.

**3D Cell Culture System**: In this cell culture system, a permissive environment is provided for cells to interact among themselves and the surrounding extracellular matrix in three dimensions. 3D cell culture used to screen for small molecule drugs or genetically manipulated to understand disease pathways. Compared to 2D cultures, 3D cell cultures more precisely predict the effectiveness or toxicity of drug treatment. The 3D cell culture can be of two types: Spheroids and Organoids.

**Spheroids Culture:** They are generated by spontaneous aggregation of cells *via* non-covalent interaction between surface integrins and ECM. The NP toxicity varies a lot in 3D culture as compared to 2D. NP has to pass through layers of cells in 3D culture, which is absent in 2D culture. One of such experiments showed that gold NP stabilized by cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) can induce significant morphological change in 2D HepG2 culture but did not cause any effect in 3D culture [35]. Spheroids culture lacks vasculature and different

types of cells and organ level complexities.

**Organoids Culture**: They are derived from primary tissue or stem cells (embryonic, induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) and adult stem cell (ASC)). The organoids ensembles various types of cells, thus exhibiting organ functionalities. Organoid culture involves usage of various growth factors and is a time-consuming process that takes 2-3 months, depending on the type of tissue to generate [36, 37].

**Readouts for the Toxicity**: The morphological observation for alive cells is complicated in 3D culture as compared to 2D. In 3D culture, the dead individual is represented as small blobs. But the biochemical analysis of the viability of the cells is identical for both 2D and 3D cell cultures, and the assays like LDH, MTT *etc.* are used for assessing the cell viability.

**Limitations of 3D Culture Models:** Though 3D culture systems are a bit closer to the physiological systems as compared to 2D culture setups, but still the 3D organoids lack the systemic complexity of the animal model and hence, NP toxicity may not be predicted accurately. These limitations can be overcome in complex organism level *in vivo* model systems.

Animal or in vivo Models: As the scientific community has started acknowledging the threat of NPs, it becomes necessary to assess the ill effects of such NPs on mankind, but studying such effects directly on human being is not ethically viable; that is why we need appropriate and easy to use model systems. We have also discussed that the *in vitro* cellular models are suitable for assessing the toxicity of NPs but in a controlled environment, whereas, it is crucial to assess the toxicity of such NPs in complex biological systems, for which it is necessary to use suitable animal models from where the results can be extrapolated for establishing the safety guidelines for human usage of NPs. Here in this section, we will discuss about such commonly used *in vivo* models of toxicity. There are several well-established in vivo models ranging from simpler organisms like C. elegans to the complex organisms like mice, rabbits and monkeys. The selection criteria of an organism as a model are the resemblance at the systemic level with humans, ease in maintenance and handling, ease of availability, and the extent of limitations put on by ethical and regulatory bodies. The simple multicellular models are modified according to particular human organs or related pathologies studies.

**Zebrafish:** The Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) is a freshwater fish of the family Cyprinidae, order Cypriniformes. Due to simple maintenance and ease in genetic manipulation, George Streisinger (University of Oregon, 1972) used Zebrafish for the first time as a model for developmental toxicity studies since all vertebrates

have highly conserved embryos. The Zebrafish embryo (ZFE) is considered a common, well-established *in vivo* aquatic model for NP toxicity [38 - 40]. The model has several advantages like ease of culturing and maintenance. The embryo is transparent, which is advantageous to monitor the fluorescently tagged proteins or dye under a microscope. The adult to offspring ratio is significant, and hence larger litter is available for the study. The PubMed search "Zebrafish as nanoparticle toxicity model" results in 272 papers in the last 11 years (2010-2021). Several Nanoparticle toxicological studies show systemic toxicity, cytotoxicity, genotoxicity, immunotoxicity, and neurotoxicity response in Zebrafish [41, 42]. The exposure of silver NPs has been reported to damage the integrity of gills, developed goblet cell hyperplasia, vacuolization and partial loss of microvilli, and inhibition of Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ATPase pump in the intestine [41 - 44]. Cadmium and TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs has also been found to cause DNA damage in Zebrafish *via* ROS generation [45, 46].

*Caenorhabditis Elegans: Caenorhabditis elegans* (*C. elegans*) is a soil-dwelling nematoid that is wildly used as a model for molecular genetics, developmental, and neurobiology. In 1963, Sydney Brenner was the first researcher to use C. elegans as model animals to study developmental biology. In 1998, C.elegans became the first multicellular organism to have its whole genome sequenced. It is an instrumental tool to study the toxicant's effect on fundamental biological phenomena. The model has several advantages: it is economical to culture, has a small body, and is transparent (easy to study gene expression and localization of NP) [47]. The number of offspring per adult is very high (300:1) and the life cycle is approximately three weeks. They are quickly adaptable to the lab condition and which helps in exposure study. They are non-infectious to humans. There are several nanotoxicological studies performed on the model. The PubMed search "Caenorhabditis elegans as nanoparticle toxicity model" results in 80 papers in the last 11years (2010-2021). Few of the studies are briefly discussed here. On exposure to Graphene Oxide (GO), there is upregulation of genes related to antimicrobial peptides [48]. NP like Graphene oxide and Cadmium induced abnormal immune response by causing immune cell death [48, 49]. Further Exposure to Silica NP has been reported to impair reproduction, development, and movement. It also affected serotonergic neurotransmission resulting in neurodegeneration in the nematodes [50].

**Drosophila Melanogaster:** Drosophila melanogaster (D. melanogaster) is also known as the fruit fly. The first reported document of D.melanogaster use in the laboratory was William Castle's group at Harvard in 1901. Thomas Hunt Morgan did his pioneer work on heredity using D. melanogaster. D. melanogaster has developed into a prominent model to understand how the cascade of gene expression orchestrates single-cell embryo development into a multicellular

#### Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications 173

organism. Though the model is old, its utilization for nanotoxicity is recent. The PubMed search "Drosophila melanogaster as nanoparticle toxicity model" results in 50 papers in the last 11 years (2010-2021). D. melanogaster is very easy and economical to culture, and it can grow at 18-25 °C. The model culturing requires a commeal-molasses-yeast-agar medium, a stereo dissecting microscope with a light source, and a CO<sub>2</sub> anesthetizing station with a block and blowgun. The model has a short life cycle of 10 days. Also, it has simple genetic architecture  $(\sim 15,000 \text{ genes harboured on four chromosomes})$ , mutants are easy to make, can easily transport, and are freely available [51]. The adult D. melanogaster has organs equivalent to mammals' hearts, lungs, kidneys, gut, and reproductive tract [52, 53]. The models can be used to determine and characterize molecular mechanisms and cellular pathways of NP toxicity. In this regard, E. Demir has reviewed the various studies in which D. melanogaster was used to investigate the genotoxicity and cytotoxicity of potential nanopesticides with metallic nanoparticles such as Ag, Si, Co, Au, and TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs [54]. The mentioned NPs can cause somatic mutation, gene mutation, impaired fertility, and longevity. The fly is also used as a model to study nanoparticle immunotoxicity [55].

**Rat** (*Rattus*): Rat has been an important animal model for ages. The Brown Rat, Rattus norvegicus, was first used for fasting experiments two centuries ago. The first scientific documentation was made in 1856 by J. M. Philipeaux for adrenalectomy in albino rats. Rat is physiologically and genetically similar to humans and can mimic human diseases as well. The familiar strains are Brown-Norway (BN), Sprague-Dawley (SD), and Wistar rat. The most significant advantage of using rats as the model is its legacy. The plethora of research on rats make it the most accepted model for nanotoxicity studies. The PubMed search "Rat as nanoparticle toxicity model" results in 996 papers in the last 11 years (2010-2021). The rat model is used for research on various aspects of nanotoxicology. The nanotoxicity studies conducted on rat models show that the exposure of various NPs like ZnO, TiO<sub>2</sub>, and Ag NPs may cause complications in the gastrointestinal tract [56 - 58]. The smaller nanoparticles can trigger more intense reactions [59]. Silica NPs are reported to cause pulmonary lesions, lung inflammation, and damages to alveoli and lung tissue in Wistar rats [60]. Few neurotoxicity studies reported that Ferric oxide and Copper oxide NPs could cause a decrease in the nerve cell body accompanied with damages to the dopaminergic terminal and neuronal vasculature [61, 62].

**Mice (***Mus* **spp):** Mice is a standard animal model and is practiced for a long time. Mice were first used for biomedical research in 1678 by William Harvey for reproduction and blood circulation studies. There are hundreds of strains available for research. The most common of them are C57BL/6 and BALB/c mice—the whole-genome sequencing of the mouse (C57BL/6 strain) completed in 2002. The

Kishore et al.

mouse has 85% gene similar to humans. Like a rat, mice also have many research documents available covering all human disease models and verified protocols. Mouse and rat usage depends upon the study's requirement. The mouse has a slight edge over the rat in terms of shorter life span, smaller size, abundant availability of genetically modified strains (CD-1, SCID, A/J, ICR, NOD, C3H, and many more). The mouse model has been in use for nanotoxicology studies. The PubMed search "mice as nanoparticle toxicity model" results in 3003 papers in the last 11 years (2010-2021). The tons of data available on the toxicity of NPs on various human aspects. The toxicity of NP can be observed at a systemic level. The role of ZnO and TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs has been studied on insulin resistance and plasma glucose levels in mice [63, 64]. The single-walled and multiwalled carbon nanotubes, when administered intravenously, resulted in embryolethal and teratogenic in mice [65]. Nickel NPs cause acute lung inflammation and mechanical injury on prolonged exposure [66]. On exposure to carbon nanotubes (CNT), there was a decrease in sperm viability, and count, accompanied by damaged testis in mice [65]. The prime reason for the cytotoxicity of NPs was oxidative stress [67].

**Models for Ecotoxicity:** The NPs may enter the ecosystem either directly through nanopesticides or indirectly through NPs containing consumer products. It is essential to understand the routes of entry and the fate of various nanomaterials in the environment, and it is also imperative to understand its harmful effect on organisms, from cells to complex communities. The nanoecotoxicity studies are challenging as it is sometimes difficult to differentiate whether the nanoparticle is engineered or has a natural origin (volcanoes, leaching by water bodies). The behavior of NPs varies with the kind of media it is interacting and the bioavailability or bioaccumulation at each trophic level. The complexicity of ecotoxicity caused by nanoparticles' demands appropriate models for its study. There are many models out of which, few are discussed here briefly.

*Artemia salina: Artemia salina*, commonly known as Brine shrimp, is a microcrustacean that grows in hypersaline environments. The organism is widely used in ecotoxicology experiments. The PubMed search "*Artemia salina* as nanoparticle toxicity model" results in 14 papers in the last 11 years (2010-2021). It is an entry-level trophic model for aquatic systems. Its cultivation, and the cyst production are easy in controlled lab conditions. Artemia-based assays and protocols are also readily available [68]. Studies show that Ag NPs are toxic at nanomolar concentration for artemia. The toxicity manifest via DNA damage, apoptosis, and aggregation of nauplii (first larval stage) at the gut region. LC<sub>50</sub> for the Ag NP is 10 nm. The exposure of Ag NP can cause mobilization defects, oxidative stress with increased ROS production and decreased Superoxide dismutase expression [69].

**Daphnia:** Daphnia magna and Daphnia galeata are common water flea used in ecotoxicity studies. Daphnia is a small planktonic crustacean having a size of 0.2-0.6 mm. It is a member of order Anomopoda. It is a well-established model for nanoparticle toxicity for the ecosystem. The PubMed search "Daphnia as nanoparticle toxicity search" results in 90 papers in the last 11 years (2010-2021). The short life span and ease of culture at lab conditions make it an excellent study model. It forms an essential connection in the food web, which is highly significant in higher trophic levels. This could be a good source of measuring ecotoxicity as wild Daphnia from boreal lakes has been reported to shows toxicity against Ag NPs. In lake conditions,  $LD_{50}$  was ranged between 34-292 µgL<sup>-1</sup> [70]. However, in the lab conditions of 48 hrs window, the  $LD_{50}$  range is 27 and 247 µgL<sup>-1</sup> [71]. Another group showed that nanoplastic could cause reproductive disorder in Daphnia species [72].

**Plants**: Plants represent one of the significant trophic levels in the food chain in any ecosystem. With the increase in usage of nanomaterials in nanopesticide and other nanotechnology enabled stuffs, bioaccumulation and biomagnification of NPs have increased many folds [73, 74]. The nanoparticles come in direct contact with the plant and lead to absorption [75, 76]. The studies related to plant interaction with nanoparticles are few. Here, the authors will discuss a few plants used for NP's morphological, physiological, and genotypic toxicological impact. The plants used for studies vary upon the viability in the geographic location. Several studies on *Cucurbita pepo* (genus Cucurbita) were done as it is easy to cultivate and maintain. The NPs like multiwalled carbon nanotubes, Ag, Cu, ZnO, and Si were studied [77]. Except Cu NPs, no other NPs have been found to show germination defects. The plant biomass reduces in the presence of Ag NP. The plant's root length is also a good readout for nanotoxicity. The rare earth oxide NPs of Cerium (Ce), Lanthanum (La), Ytterbium (Yb), Gadolinium (Gd) can cause a reduction of root length in the Lettuce plant [78]. Arabidopsis thaliana, a weed, is used mainly as a plant model for toxicity studies. A study, assessing the effects of Ag NPs in A. thaliana, has reported the upregulation of 286 genes and downregulation of 81 genes. The genes upregulated were generally related to metals and oxidative stress genes. The down-regulated genes belonged to pathogens and hormonal stimuli [79]. Germination of seeds has also been found affected by Ag NPs in A.thalliana. The size and mode of Ag NP availability affected germination. The 75 µgml<sup>-1</sup> Ag NP dose is toxic for seed germination in soil but not in hydroponics culture [77]. A.cepa has also been studied for the toxicity of TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs. The exposure of 6 mM and 8 mM TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs caused a significant reduction in root elongation [80]. There are several other plant species which have been studied for NP toxicity. Triticum aestivum, Solanum lycopersicum, Nigella sativa, Salvia mirzayanii, Alyssyum homolocarpum, Sinapis *alba*, and many other plant species have been used for NP toxicity studies [81].

# **FUTURE PERSPECTIVES**

The currently used models of nanotoxicity assessments are primarily old models which were developed even before the term nanotoxicity was coined. However, they are good enough to serve the purpose of establishing the toxicity paradigm of currently available NPs, yet their limitations cannot be ruled out as the toxicity assessments of the NPs have their own challenges due to the distinct behaviours of various NPs. The models, especially the *in vivo* ones, are challenging to work upon, as they differ in setup, regulations, and ethical clearance, which is a long and time-consuming process. The maintenance can also be an issue as it is quite costly. The reproducibility of experiments and the data is also a significant concern because it is challenging to have the same set of model animals which behave identically. In vitro cellular models do have the answer for such issues as they are mostly identical, and the culturing and maintenance are relatively cheaper and easy to handle, but they are far from the actual complex environment of the animal system, and cannot beat the accuracy and extrapolatability of the toxicity data obtained from animal experiments. So here it can be inferred that both in vitro and in vivo models do have their pros and cons, and to overcome their respective limitations, there is a need to look for new scientifically validated model systems which possess the ease of handling as well as the accuracy for extrapolation. The quest for such futuristic model systems has resulted in few perspectives like lab or animal on chip or the use of artificial intelligence in computational models.

Lab on a Chip/Organ on Chip: Lab-on-chip has been introduced as a means to mimic laboratory experiments in miniaturized conditions. This concept gained much attention from researchers, which soon moved up to applications such as organs-on-chip. The organ-chips are developed to mimic the physiological conditions and mechanical forces that cells experience in the human body. The chips are lined with living human cells and their tiny microfluidic channels reproduce blood and/or air flow just as in the human body. Microfluidic devices consist of chambers with inlets and outlet for seeding, culturing, sampling, and assaying the cells. The chip's transparency allows the analyst to see the organ's functionality, behaviour and response at the cellular and molecular level. Chips are consistently being developed that cover almost all organs present in the human body. Microfluidic devices that are commercially available are made up of poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS). PDMS is considered biocompatible as it does not have deleterious effects on cells and tissues. It is transparent, permeable to gases, exhibits low autofluorescence, and is cheaper [82].

Organism on a Chip: Organisms on chips are microfabricated devices capable of

precisely manipulating single simple organism and their environment. It is expected that these devices will play a major role in the investigation of nanoparticle toxicity involving small-scale model organisms. Particularly, *C. elegans* is the first animal that has been successfully grown in microfluidic chamber due to its small size (35–40 mm in diameter, 1 mm in length). This device offers several advantages over conventional approaches as they can: maintain well controllable micro-environments, create reproducible experimental conditions, automate tedious experimental protocols and enable high-throughput studies [83, 84].

**Computational Models**: Previously mentioned models for toxicity assessments are both time-consuming and costly. Computational modelling or computational toxicology is an emerging alternative to the other biological models, which applies advanced mathematical and statistical approaches for analysing the available scientific data to get an insight about the mechanisms by which any toxicant or NM causes the damages, and eventually, provides the capability of predicting the adverse outcomes of the NMs/toxicants in the human and the environment [85].

In the last few decades, scientists and researchers have determined the effects of various chemicals and toxicants including several NMs as well, using various biological models. Recently the majority of such data are made accessible to the public through various data sharing projects like Toxicity Reference Database (ToxRefDB) [85, 86]. This vast scientific knowledge is used by the computational models which use computer programs to simulate and study complex systems using an algorithmic approach. Simulation is done by adjusting the variables alone or in combination with observing the results. The computational model allows researchers to conduct thousands of simulated experiments by computer [86]. Applications of these models to predictive toxicology will be important in prioritizing NMs for further testing and uncovering mechanistic information that is valuable in customizing testing programs for each NM in an informed way and supporting the risk assessments. Computational methods also seem likely to be effective in other areas of the risk evaluation process, especially in estimating the degree of variability in response to the human population, supporting more sophisticated aggregate exposure assessment, and providing a pragmatic approach to evaluating the risks posed by cumulative exposure to mixtures of compounds [85].

# CONCLUSION

The inception of nanotechnology has instigated a huge spike in the manufacturing of nanomaterials-based consumer products. Engineered nanoparticles have unique

Kishore et al.

properties like small size, large surface to volume ratio, high tensile strength, etc. which are advantageous to ease our lives, but they could be disadvantageous to humankind too. There are chances for nanomaterials to pose another threatening experience as happened with the wide usage of pesticides which created a serious environmental nuisance. It is important to study nanomaterials' mechanism of toxicity and predict the fate of those materials on physiological systems. The appropriate toxicological models are needed for studying the effects of nanomaterials. In-vitro cellular models are the primarily used models for the assessment of nanotoxicity at the molecular level. The traditional 2D cultures comprise cancerous cell lines, derived continuous cell lines, stem cells, and primary cell lines. The recently developed 3D *cultures* like spheroid and organoid cultures help in better mimicking the actual tissue-like environment, but these *in* vitro models lack the systemic complexity of humans, and hence the experimental results cannot be extrapolated to complete accuracy. To negate this problem, in vivo models are available, comprising invertebrate and vertebrate organisms that can mimic (wholly or partially) the human systemic complexity. These are established biological models, and nanotoxicologists use them for routine nanotoxicity investigations. The ethical clearance for the use of animal models is a big challenge for researchers. The usage of animals for toxicity studies needs a vast number of regulatory clearances, thus posing an obstruction for efficient nanotoxicological studies. A few futuristic models like a lab on a chip (microfluidics-based) and animal on-chip are the need of the hour to overcome these challenges.

Apart from human toxicity, the nanoparticles can be ecotoxic at various trophic levels. The nanoecotoxicity investigation is very complex and challenging. The toxicity at different ecosystem levels needs to be investigated thoroughly with the help of available model organisms like Daphnia and Artemia, and with other plant models. Contrary to these, prudently designed machine learning-based computational or *in-silico* models might help understand the complex interplay of nanoparticles and their interaction, bioaccumulation, and the resultant toxicity.

Ultimately the nanotoxicology data obtained by using these model systems will help to formulate stringent rules to curb the indiscriminate usage of the toxic NMs, thereby minimizing the toxic effects of such NPs on humans and the overall Biosphere.

# **CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION**

Not applicable.

# **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The author declares no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Nanotechnology Products Database. 2021.
- [2] National Nanotechnology Initiative. 2021.
- [3] Pankhurst Q, Hautot D, Khan N, Dobson J. Increased levels of magnetic iron compounds in Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimers Dis 2008; 13(1): 49-52. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3233/JAD-2008-13105] [PMID: 18334756]
- Maher BA, Ahmed IAM, Karloukovski V, *et al.* Magnetite pollution nanoparticles in the human brain. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2016; 113(39): 10797-801.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1605941113] [PMID: 27601646]
- [5] Khatri M, Bello D, Gaines P, *et al.* Nanoparticles from photocopiers induce oxidative stress and upper respiratory tract inflammation in healthy volunteers. Nanotoxicology 2013; 7(5): 1014-27. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/17435390.2012.691998] [PMID: 22632457]
- [6] Mills NL, Miller MR, Lucking AJ, et al. Combustion-derived nanoparticulate induces the adverse vascular effects of diesel exhaust inhalation. Eur Heart J 2011; 32(21): 2660-71. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehr195] [PMID: 21753226]
- [7] Aktepe N, Kocyigit A, Yukselten Y, Taskin A, Keskin C, Celik H. Increased DNA damage and oxidative stress among silver jewelry workers. Biol Trace Elem Res 2015; 164(2): 185-91. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12011-014-0224-0] [PMID: 25575665]
- [8] Gupta GS, Shanker R, Dhawan A, Kumar A. Impact of nanomaterials on the aquatic food chain Nanoscience in Food and Agriculture 5. Springer 2017; pp. 309-33.
- Bolognesi C. Genotoxicity of pesticides: a review of human biomonitoring studies. Mutat Res Rev Mutat Res 2003; 543(3): 251-72.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5742(03)00015-2] [PMID: 12787816]
- [10] Alavanja MCR, Ross MK, Bonner MR. Increased cancer burden among pesticide applicators and others due to pesticide exposure. CA Cancer J Clin 2013; 63(2): 120-42. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3322/caac.21170] [PMID: 23322675]
- [11] Sharma N, Singhvi R. Effects of chemical fertilizers and pesticides on human health and environment: a review. Int J Agric Environ Biotechnol 2017; 10(6): 675-80. [http://dx.doi.org/10.5958/2230-732X.2017.00083.3]
- [12] Engin AB, Hayes AW. The impact of immunotoxicity in evaluation of the nanomaterials safety. Toxicology Research and Application 2018; 2.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/2397847318755579]
- [13] Hussain S, Garantziotis S, Rodrigues-Lima F, Dupret J-M, Baeza-Squiban A, Boland S. Intracellular signal modulation by nanomaterials. Nanomaterial 2014; pp. 111-34.
- [14] Contini C, Schneemilch M, Gaisford S, Quirke N. Nanoparticle-membrane interactions. J Exp Nanosci 2018; 13(1): 62-81.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17458080.2017.1413253]
- [15] Qiu TA, Clement PL, Haynes CL. Linking nanomaterial properties to biological outcomes: analytical chemistry challenges in nanotoxicology for the next decade. Chem Commun (Camb) 2018; 54(91): 12787-803.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C8CC06473C] [PMID: 30357136]

- Barras F, Fontecave M. Cobalt stress in Escherichia coli and Salmonella enterica: molecular bases for toxicity and resistance. Metallomics 2011; 3(11): 1130-4. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c1mt00099c] [PMID: 21952637]
- [17] Beer C, Foldbjerg R, Hayashi Y, Sutherland DS, Autrup H. Toxicity of silver nanoparticles—Nanoparticle or silver ion? Toxicol Lett 2012; 208(3): 286-92. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2011.11.002] [PMID: 22101214]
- [18] Tripathi DK, Tripathi A, Shweta, et al. Uptake, accumulation and toxicity of silver nanoparticle in autotrophic plants, and heterotrophic microbes: a concentric review. Front Microbiol 2017; 8: 07. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00007] [PMID: 28184215]
- [19] Winterbourn CC. Toxicity of iron and hydrogen peroxide: the Fenton reaction. Toxicol Lett 1995; 82-83: 969-74.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-4274(95)03532-X] [PMID: 8597169]
- [20] Yu Z, Li Q, Wang J, et al. Reactive oxygen species-related nanoparticle toxicity in the biomedical field. Nanoscale Res Lett 2020; 15(1): 115. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s11671-020-03344-7] [PMID: 32436107]
- Yang W, Wang L, Mettenbrink EM, DeAngelis PL, Wilhelm S. Nanoparticle Toxicology. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 2021; 61(1): 269-89.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-pharmtox-032320-110338] [PMID: 32841092]
- [22] Savage DT, Hilt JZ, Dziubla TD. In vitro methods for assessing nanoparticle toxicity. Nanotoxicity. Springer 2019; pp. 1-29.
- [23] Sasidharan A, Chandran P, Menon D, Raman S, Nair S, Koyakutty M. Rapid dissolution of ZnO nanocrystals in acidic cancer microenvironment leading to preferential apoptosis. Nanoscale 2011; 3(9): 3657-69. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c1nr10272a] [PMID: 21826307]
- [24] Fan P, Yang C, Wang L, et al. ZnO nanoparticles stimulate oxidative stress to induce apoptosis of B16F10 melanoma cells: In vitro and in vivo studies. Biomed Phys Eng Express 2021; 7(6): 065014. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2057-1976/ac251f] [PMID: 34500439]
- [25] Xue Y, Wang J, Huang Y, et al. Comparative cytotoxicity and apoptotic pathways induced by nanosilver in human liver HepG2 and L02 cells. Hum Exp Toxicol 2018; 37(12): 1293-309. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0960327118769718] [PMID: 29658330]
- [26] Sukhanova A, Bozrova S, Sokolov P, Berestovoy M, Karaulov A, Nabiev I. Dependence of nanoparticle toxicity on their physical and chemical properties. Nanoscale Res Lett 2018; 13(1): 44. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s11671-018-2457-x] [PMID: 29417375]
- [27] Cho SJ, Maysinger D, Jain M, Röder B, Hackbarth S, Winnik FM. Long-term exposure to CdTe quantum dots causes functional impairments in live cells. Langmuir 2007; 23(4): 1974-80. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/la060093j] [PMID: 17279683]
- [28] Pisanic T. II J. Blackwell, VI Shubayev, RR Fiñones, S. Jin. Biomaterials 2007; 28: 2572. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2007.01.043] [PMID: 17320946]
- [29] Magrez A, Kasas S, Salicio V, et al. Cellular toxicity of carbon-based nanomaterials. Nano Lett 2006; 6(6): 1121-5.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nl060162e] [PMID: 16771565]
- [30] Sayes CM, Gobin AM, Ausman KD, Mendez J, West JL, Colvin VL. Nano-C60 cytotoxicity is due to lipid peroxidation. Biomaterials 2005; 26(36): 7587-95. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2005.05.027] [PMID: 16005959]
- [31] Zhang Y, Chen W, Zhang J, Liu J, Chen G, Pope C. In vitro and in vivo toxicity of CdTe nanoparticles. J Nanosci Nanotechnol 2007; 7(2): 497-503. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1166/jnn.2007.125] [PMID: 17450785]

- [32] Sayes CM, Marchione AA, Reed KL, Warheit DB. Comparative pulmonary toxicity assessments of C60 water suspensions in rats: few differences in fullerene toxicity *in vivo* in contrast to *in vitro* profiles. Nano Lett 2007; 7(8): 2399-406. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nl0710710] [PMID: 17630811]
- [33] Liu Z, Davis C, Cai W, He L, Chen X, Dai H. Circulation and long-term fate of functionalized, biocompatible single-walled carbon nanotubes in mice probed by Raman spectroscopy. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2008; 105(5): 1410-5. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0707654105] [PMID: 18230737]
- [34] Kwon JT, Hwang SK, Jin H, et al. Body distribution of inhaled fluorescent magnetic nanoparticles in the mice. J Occup Health 2008; 50(1): 1-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1539/joh.50.1] [PMID: 18285638]
- [35] Lee J, Lilly GD, Doty RC, Podsiadlo P, Kotov NA. *In vitro* toxicity testing of nanoparticles in 3D cell culture. Small 2009; 5(10): NA. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/smll.200801788] [PMID: 19263430]
- [36] McCauley HA, Wells JM. Pluripotent stem cell-derived organoids: using principles of developmental biology to grow human tissues in a dish. Development 2017; 144(6): 958-62. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.140731] [PMID: 28292841]
- [37] Spence JR, Mayhew CN, Rankin SA, et al. Directed differentiation of human pluripotent stem cells into intestinal tissue in vitro. Nature 2011; 470(7332): 105-9. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature09691] [PMID: 21151107]
- [38] Brun NR, Lenz M, Wehrli B, Fent K. Comparative effects of zinc oxide nanoparticles and dissolved zinc on zebrafish embryos and eleuthero-embryos: Importance of zinc ions. Sci Total Environ 2014; 476-477: 657-66. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.01.053] [PMID: 24508854]
- [39] Chen D, Zhang D, Yu JC, Chan KM. Effects of Cu2O nanoparticle and CuCl2 on zebrafish larvae and a liver cell-line. Aquat Toxicol 2011; 105(3-4): 344-54. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2011.07.005] [PMID: 21839701]
- [40] Asharani PV, lianwu Y, Gong Z, Valiyaveettil S. Comparison of the toxicity of silver, gold and platinum nanoparticles in developing zebrafish embryos. Nanotoxicology 2011; 5(1): 43-54. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/17435390.2010.489207] [PMID: 21417687]
- [41] Griffitt RJ, Hyndman K, Denslow ND, Barber DS. Comparison of molecular and histological changes in zebrafish gills exposed to metallic nanoparticles. Toxicol Sci 2009; 107(2): 404-15. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfn256] [PMID: 19073994]
- [42] Griffitt RJ, Weil R, Hyndman KA, et al. Exposure to copper nanoparticles causes gill injury and acute lethality in zebrafish (Danio rerio). Environ Sci Technol 2007; 41(23): 8178-86. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es071235e] [PMID: 18186356]
- [43] Osborne OJ, Lin S, Chang CH, et al. Organ-specific and size-dependent Ag nanoparticle toxicity in gills and intestines of adult zebrafish. ACS Nano 2015; 9(10): 9573-84. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.5b04583] [PMID: 26327297]
- Sheng L, Wang L, Su M, et al. Mechanism of TiO 2 nanoparticle-induced neurotoxicity in zebrafish (D anio rerio). Environ Toxicol 2016; 31(2): 163-75.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/tox.22031] [PMID: 25059219]
- [45] Kim K-T, Zaikova T, Hutchison JE, Tanguay RL. Gold nanoparticles disrupt zebrafish eye development and pigmentation. Toxicological Sciences 2013; 133(2): 275-88.
- [46] Park HG, Yeo MK. Comparison of gene expression changes induced by exposure to Ag, Cu-TiO<sub>2</sub>, and TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles in zebrafish embryos. Mol Cell Toxicol 2013; 9(2): 129-39. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13273-013-0017-0]

- [47] Avila D, Helmcke K, Aschner M. The *Caenorhabiditis elegans* model as a reliable tool in neurotoxicology. Hum Exp Toxicol 2012; 31(3): 236-43.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0960327110392084] [PMID: 21148196]
- [48] Shakoor S, Sun L, Wang D. Multi-walled carbon nanotubes enhanced fungal colonization and suppressed innate immune response to fungal infection in nematodes. Toxicol Res (Camb) 2016; 5(2): 492-9.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C5TX00373C] [PMID: 30090363]
- [49] Lee S, Kim Y, Choi J. Effect of soil microbial feeding on gut microbiome and cadmium toxicity in Caenorhabditis elegans. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 2020; 187: 109777. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2019.109777] [PMID: 31670241]
- [50] Zhang F, You X, Zhu T, *et al.* Silica nanoparticles enhance germ cell apoptosis by inducing reactive oxygen species (ROS) formation in <i>Caenorhabditis elegans</i>. J Toxicol Sci 2020; 45(3): 117-29. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2131/jts.45.117] [PMID: 32147635]
- [51] Rand MD, Montgomery SL, Prince L, Vorojeikina D. Developmental toxicity assays using the Drosophila model. Current protocols in toxicology 2014; 59(1): 1-20. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/0471140856.tx0112s59]
- [52] Vecchio G. A fruit fly in the nanoworld: once again Drosophila contributes to environment and human health. Taylor & Francis 2015.
- [53] Pappus SA, Mishra M. A drosophila model to decipher the toxicity of nanoparticles taken through oral routes 2018.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-72041-8 18]
- [54] Demir E. Drosophila as a model for assessing nanopesticide toxicity. Nanotoxicology 2020; 14(9): 1271-9.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17435390.2020.1815886] [PMID: 32969292]
- [55] Vecchio G, Galeone A, Brunetti V, et al. Concentration-dependent, size-independent toxicity of citrate capped AuNPs in Drosophila melanogaster. PLoS One 2012; 7(1): e29980. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0029980] [PMID: 22238688]
- [56] Corbo C, Molinaro R, Parodi A, Toledano Furman NE, Salvatore F, Tasciotti E. The impact of nanoparticle protein corona on cytotoxicity, immunotoxicity and target drug delivery. Nanomedicine (Lond) 2016; 11(1): 81-100. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2217/nnm.15.188] [PMID: 26653875]
- [57] Ze Y, Hu R, Wang X, et al. Neurotoxicity and gene-expressed profile in brain-injured mice caused by exposure to titanium dioxide nanoparticles. J Biomed Mater Res A 2014; 102(2): 470-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jbm.a.34705] [PMID: 23533084]
- [58] Orr SE, Gokulan K, Boudreau M, Cerniglia CE, Khare S. Alteration in the mRNA expression of genes associated with gastrointestinal permeability and ileal TNF-α secretion due to the exposure of silver nanoparticles in Sprague–Dawley rats. J Nanobiotechnology 2019; 17(1): 63. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12951-019-0499-6] [PMID: 31084603]
- [59] Seiffert J, Hussain F, Wiegman C, et al. Pulmonary toxicity of instilled silver nanoparticles: influence of size, coating and rat strain. PLoS One 2015; 10(3): e0119726. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0119726] [PMID: 25747867]
- [60] Yang H, Wu QY, Li MY, Lao CS, Zhang YJ. Pulmonary toxicity in rats caused by exposure to intratracheal instillation of SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. Biomed Environ Sci 2017; 30(4): 264-79. [PMID: 28494836]
- [61] Imam SZ, Lantz-McPeak SM, Cuevas E, *et al.* Iron oxide nanoparticles induce dopaminergic damage: *in vitro* pathways and *in vivo* imaging reveals mechanism of neuronal damage. Mol Neurobiol 2015; 52(2): 913-26.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12035-015-9259-2] [PMID: 26099304]

- [62] Ouni S, Askri D, Jeljeli M, Abdelmalek H, Sakly M, Amara S. Toxicity and effects of copper oxide nanoparticles on cognitive performances in rats. Arch Environ Occup Health 2020; 75(7): 384-94. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/19338244.2019.1689376] [PMID: 31876265]
- [63] Hu H, Guo Q, Fan X, et al. Molecular mechanisms underlying zinc oxide nanoparticle induced insulin resistance in mice. Nanotoxicology 2020; 14(1): 59-76. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17435390.2019.1663288] [PMID: 31519126]
- [64] Hu H, Li L, Guo Q, et al. RNA sequencing analysis shows that titanium dioxide nanoparticles induce endoplasmic reticulum stress, which has a central role in mediating plasma glucose in mice. Nanotoxicology 2018; 12(4): 341-56. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17435390.2018.1446560] [PMID: 29510645]
- [65] Ema M, Hougaard KS, Kishimoto A, Honda K. Reproductive and developmental toxicity of carbonbased nanomaterials: A literature review. Nanotoxicology 2016; 10(4): 391-412. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/17435390.2015.1073811] [PMID: 26375634]
- [66] Mo Y, Jiang M, Zhang Y, et al. Comparative mouse lung injury by nickel nanoparticles with differential surface modification. J Nanobiotechnology 2019; 17(1): 2. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12951-018-0436-0] [PMID: 30616599]
- [67] Farshad O, Heidari R, Zamiri MJ, et al. Spermatotoxic effects of single-walled and multi-walled carbon nanotubes on male mice. Front Vet Sci 2020; 7: 591558. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2020.591558] [PMID: 33392285]
- [68] Blaise C. Microbiotesting: an expanding field in aquatic toxicology. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 1998; 40(1-2): 115-9.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/eesa.1998.1651] [PMID: 9626545]
- [69] Hu Y, Liu R, Li J, Yue Y, Cheng W, Zhang P. Attenuation of collagen-induced arthritis in rat by nicotinic Alpha7 receptor partial agonist GTS-21. BioMed research international 2014.
- [70] Conine AL, Rearick DC, Xenopoulos MA, Frost PC. Variable silver nanoparticle toxicity to Daphnia in boreal lakes. Aquat Toxicol 2017; 192: 1-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2017.09.004] [PMID: 28898784]
- [71] Cui R, Chae Y, An YJ. Dimension-dependent toxicity of silver nanomaterials on the cladocerans Daphnia magna and Daphnia galeata. Chemosphere 2017; 185: 205-12. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.07.011] [PMID: 28697426]
- [72] Cui R, Kim SW, An YJ. Polystyrene nanoplastics inhibit reproduction and induce abnormal embryonic development in the freshwater crustacean Daphnia galeata. Sci Rep 2017; 7(1): 12095. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-12299-2] [PMID: 28935955]
- [73] Asztemborska M. Alumina nanoparticles and plants: Environmental transformation, bioaccumulation, and phytotoxicity Phytotoxicity of Nanoparticles. Springer 2018; pp. 335-45.
- [74] Maharramov AM, Hasanova UA, Suleymanova IA, Osmanova GE, Hajiyeva NE. The engineered nanoparticles in food chain: potential toxicity and effects. SN Applied Sciences 2019; 1(11): 1362. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s42452-019-1412-5]
- Yan A, Chen Z. Impacts of silver nanoparticles on plants: a focus on the phytotoxicity and underlying mechanism. Int J Mol Sci 2019; 20(5): 1003.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms20051003] [PMID: 30813508]
- [76] Shrivastava M, Srivastav A, Gandhi S, *et al.* Monitoring of engineered nanoparticles in soil-plant system: A review. Environ Nanotechnol Monit Manag 2019; 11: 100218. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enmm.2019.100218]
- [77] Stampoulis D, Sinha SK, White JC. Assay-dependent phytotoxicity of nanoparticles to plants. Environ Sci Technol 2009; 43(24): 9473-9.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es901695c] [PMID: 19924897]

- [78] Ma Y, Kuang L, He X, *et al.* Effects of rare earth oxide nanoparticles on root elongation of plants. Chemosphere 2010; 78(3): 273-9.
   [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.10.050] [PMID: 19897228]
- [79] Field B, Fiston-Lavier AS, Kemen A, Geisler K, Quesneville H, Osbourn AE. Formation of plant metabolic gene clusters within dynamic chromosomal regions. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2011; 108(38): 16116-21.
  [http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1109273108] [PMID: 21876149]
- [80] Ghosh M, Bandyopadhyay M, Mukherjee A. Genotoxicity of titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>) nanoparticles at two trophic levels: Plant and human lymphocytes. Chemosphere 2010; 81(10): 1253-62. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.09.022] [PMID: 20884039]
- [81] Cox A, Venkatachalam P, Sahi S, Sharma N. Silver and titanium dioxide nanoparticle toxicity in plants: A review of current research. Plant Physiol Biochem 2016; 107: 147-63. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.05.022] [PMID: 27288991]
- [82] Schneider MR, Oelgeschlaeger M, Burgdorf T, et al. Applicability of organ-on-chip systems in toxicology and pharmacology. Crit Rev Toxicol 2021; 51(6): 540-54. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10408444.2021.1953439] [PMID: 34463591]
- [83] Chronis N. Worm chips: Microtools for C. elegans biology. Lab Chip 2010; 10(4): 432-7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/B919983G] [PMID: 20126682]
- [84] Kim JH, Lee SH, Cha YJ, et al. C. elegans-on-a-chip for in situ and in vivo Ag nanoparticles' uptake and toxicity assay. Sci Rep 2017; 7(1): 40225. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep40225] [PMID: 28067319]
- [85] Rusyn I, Daston GP. Computational toxicology: realizing the promise of the toxicity testing in the 21st century. Environ Health Perspect 2010; 118(8): 1047-50. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1001925] [PMID: 20483702]
- [86] Bioengineering NIoBIa. Computational Modeling 2021.

# **SUBJECT INDEX**

## A

Abiotic stresses 78 Acid 6, 48, 50, 52, 53, 56, 65, 68, 69, 103, 104, 127, 137 abscisic 50 gibberellic 50 glyoxylic 56 hyaluronic 127 jasmonic 50, 69 lactic 127 nucleic 6, 103, 104 phosphatase 53, 65 salicylic 69 teichoic 137 thiobarbituric 48, 52 tricarboxylic 56 Acinetobacter baumannii 113, 115 Actinomycetes 103, 144 based synthesis 144 Activation 49, 101, 116, 168, 170 caspase 168 energy 101 Activities 14, 20, 43, 48, 53, 61, 63, 65, 66, 70, 118, 125, 158 antioxidant 65 antioxidative 70 catalytic 20 electrocatalytic 158 intrinsic enzyme 118 metabolic 53, 66 of ascorbate peroxidase 48 of catalase and ascorbate peroxidase 61 peroxidase 48 photolytic 14 photo-reduction 43 protein transport 125 Adsorption 11, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 22, 41.62.64 energy 13 of heavy metals 16 of polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons 16

Age-associated biomineralization 164 Agents 6, 12, 13, 16, 17, 22, 96, 97, 100, 102, 112, 117, 126, 129, 142 bio-inspired 97 capping 96, 142 stabilising 16 stabilizing 96, 100, 102 therapeutic 117, 126 Allergic responses 112, 123 Allium sativum 68 Alyssyum homolocarpum 176 Alzheimer's disease 164 Amino-functionalized mesoporous silica nanoparticles 16 Amorphous iron nanoparticles 18 Amperometric biosensors 159, 160 Antibacterial 44, 117, 125, 128, 134, 136, 140, 141, 142, 144, 145, 146, 147 activity 44, 117, 134, 136, 140, 141, 142, 144, 145, 146, 147 effects 125 therapy 128 Anti-inflammatory effects 118 Antimicrobial 104, 114, 135, 142, 144, 146 activity 104, 114, 135, 144, 146 agent 142 Antioxidant(s) 49, 54, 58, 60, 61, 69, 71, 104 assay 60 enzyme 49, 54, 58, 61, 69 reduced 49 Apoptosis 1, 5, 6, 122, 126, 141, 167, 168, 174 cellular 6 targeted tumor 126 Apoptotic pathway 169 Applications 15, 120, 125, 134 adsorption 15 biomedical 125 electronic 120 therapeutic 134 Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) 52 Artemia-based assays 174

Juhi Saxena, Abhijeet Singh and Anupam Jyoti (Eds.) All rights reserved-© 2023 Bentham Science Publishers

Artificial intelligence 176 Ascorbate 48, 61, 68 glutathione cycle 68 peroxidase 48, 61 *Aspergillus* 144, 145 *flavus* 145 *niger* 144 Assays 163, 165, 167, 168, 171 colorimetric 168 real-time viability 168 ATP assay 168

## B

Bacillus subtilis 104 Bacteria 102, 103, 113, 115, 116, 129, 134, 135, 136, 137, 139, 142, 143, 144, 146, 147, 157 antibiotic-resistant 134 drug-resistant 147 Bactericidal effects, intrinsic broad-spectrum 125 **Biogenesis process 66** Biogenic metal nanoparticles 144 **Biomagnification 175** Biomass accumulation 63 Biosensors 5, 94, 129, 157, 158, 159, 160 electrochemical-based 157, 158, 159 potentiometric 159 voltammetric 159

# С

Cabbage-germination 68 Callugenesis 52 *Camellia sinensis* 147 Cancer 1, 2, 3, 117, 119, 121, 122, 165 aggressive epithelial 1 cell membrane-coated nanoparticles 122 cervical 2 diagnosis, ovarian 1 gynaecological 1, 2 *C. elegans* 172 Chemicals 12, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 105, 141 halogenated 12 purification 98 toxic 141 vapor deposition (CVD) 99, 100, 101, 102, 105 Chemotherapeutic drugs 119 Chemotherapy 1, 2, 8, 160 traditional 8, 160 Chip's transparency 176 Chitosan 127 Chloramphenicol acetyltransferases 113 Chlorosis 65, 67 Cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase (CAD) 60 Coated liposomal nanobiotic formulations 126 Commercial chemical fertilizers 89 Computational 177 methods 177 toxicology 177 Conditions 46, 50, 51, 59, 64, 69, 78, 136, 137 acidic 136, 137 dynamic climatic 78 hydroponic 69 Conductivity, hydraulic 44, 62 Contaminants 12, 14, 15, 36 chlorinated 15 Crop productivity 88, 90, 94 horticulture 94 Crops, fertilizer-responsive 92 Crystal violet assay 168 Cumumis sativus 59 CVC reaction 101 Cytokines, pro-inflammatory 164 Cytotoxicity 119, 142, 163, 167, 172, 173, 174 assays 167

## D

Damage, mitochondrial 168 Database 164, 169 nanotechnology product 164 Degradation 18, 19, 20, 60 oxidative 19

#### Saxena et al.

#### Subject Index

photocatalytic 18, 19 Dehydrated root system 64 Dehydrogenase 53 Delivery 3, 5, 106, 115, 119, 121, 135 nanostructure-mediated medication 119 targeted medication 115 therapeutic 5 transdermal 119 Dental tissue regeneration 119 Diseases 2, 115, 121, 123, 124, 127, 135, 168 autoimmune 123 infectious 121, 124, 127 DNA 1, 5, 43, 47, 59, 60, 69, 125, 136, 139, 141, 159, 168, 174 amplification approach 159 damage 141, 174 fragmentation 47, 59, 168 genomic 60, 69 repair 1, 47 Downstream processing 103 Drosophila melanogaster 172, 173 Drug 114 associated nano systems 114 Drug delivery 5, 115, 120 method 120 system 5 vehicles 115 Drug resistance 1, 3, 113, 119, 128 mechanisms 113 microbial 128 reduced 3

## E

Effective bactericidal activity 52 Efficacy 3, 13, 17, 18, 19, 22, 97, 116, 123 antimicrobial 116 dye removal 22 Electrochemical biosensors 158, 159 nanoparticles-based 158 Electrochemical system 159 Electrolyte leakage index (ELI) 46 Electrolytic deposition 99 Electron beam lithography 98

#### Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications 187

Electron microscopy 39 transmission 39 Endocytosis 59, 136, 166 Endodermis 41, 70 Energy, kinetic 102 Enterococcus 113, 115, 144, 146 faecalis 144, 146 faecium 113, 115 Enterotoxins 157 Environmental remediation technologies 12 Enzymatic hydrolysis 126 Enzyme(s) 45, 49, 50, 54, 57, 66, 68, 69, 103, 113, 126, 138, 158, 167, 168 activities, antioxidant 50, 54, 66, 69 aminoglycoside-modifying 113 cellular esterase 168 inhibition 138 linked immunosorbent assays 158 Escherichia coli 104

### F

Ferric reductase activity 58 Ferrilizer(s) 11, 36, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 106 conventional 89, 90, 93, 94, 106 inorganic 89, 90 mineral 89, 91 use efficiency (FUE) 91, 92, 93 Fluorescence microscopy 168 Fluorometric assays 168

### G

Gas 15, 50, 59, 90, 100, 102, 177 absorption 15 greenhouse 90 Gene(s) 46, 54, 59, 60, 62, 66, 67, 140, 170, 172, 173, 174, 175 down-regulated 66, 175 mutation 173 tocopherol biosynthetic 54 Genotoxic effects 60, 66 Genotoxicity 43, 63, 69, 119, 164, 165, 172, 173

induced 69 Germination inhibition 70 Glutathione oxidation 63 Green-synthesis processes 144 Growth inhibition 58 Guaiacol peroxidase 48

### Η

Heavy metals 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 22, 38, 44, 69, 139 toxic 38 High process homogenization (HPH) 100 Hormonal disorders 165 Hydrophilic 1, 4 corona 1, 4 medications 4 Hydrophobic medications 123

### Ι

Immune system 115, 121, 123 Immunological responses 116 Immunomodulatory effect 123 Inert gas condensation (IGC) 102 Infections, urinary tract 113, 115 Inflammatory syndromes 124 Influenza virus 157 Infrared spectroscopy 105 Insect-induced biodegradation 38 Intrusion of nanotechnology 77

### K

Klebsiella pneumoniae 113, 115

# L

*Listeria monocytogenes* 129 Luminometric assays 168 Lung inflammation 173 Lymphocytes 121

# М

Manures 89, 91 farmyard 89 green 89 organic 89, 91 Mechanisms 17, 18, 19, 20, 78, 112, 115, 134, 135, 136, 138, 139, 165, 167, 177, 178 antibacterial 136, 138, 139 biochemical 78 Membrane filtration 17 Metabolic processes 89 Metabolism 46, 56, 64, 139, 167 dicarboxylate 56 microbial 139 pathways 46 Metabolites 56, 58, 65 secondary 56, 58, 65 Metal nanoparticles 19, 99, 101, 102, 112, 113, 117, 135, 136, 141, 147 Metal transmembrane transporters 59 Methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) 126 Mitigation, disease 129 Monocytogenes 142, 143 Mutagenesis 167 Mutagenic DNA lesions 44, 57

# Ν

Nanobiotics 124, 126 liposomal 126 metallic 124 Nanobiotic therapy 125, 126 antitumor 126 Nanolithography 140 Nanomaterials 12, 13, 14, 15, 22, 36, 37, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 157, 174, 178 based biosensors 157 silica-based 14 Nano-remediation technology 12 Native phosphorous mobilizing enzymes 68 Neurodegeneration 172 Neurodegenerative disorders 164 Neurological disorders 163

Saxena et al.

#### Subject Index

Non-invasive techniques 5 Nutrient(s) 39, 41, 59, 61, 62, 68, 70, 71, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 106 absorption 93, 94 mineral 71 use efficiency 92, 106 Nutrient management 94 methods, traditional 94 system, traditional 94

### 0

Oil 11, 20 micro emulsion 20 spills 11 Orthogonal analysis methods 123 Ovarian 1, 2, 3 cancer 1, 2, 3 tumour pathology 2 Oxidation reaction 159 Oxidative 47, 61, 167 carbonylation 167 damage 47, 61 Oxidative stress 60, 62, 64, 65, 137, 138, 139, 140, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 174, 175 mechanism 139 responses 60 Oxide nanoparticles 6, 14, 18, 20, 95, 139 magnetic cobalt 18 magnetic iron 6 manganese 95 titanium 14, 20

# Р

PCR technique 158 Phagocytosis 136 Photocatalytic 20, 104 activity 20, 104 agent 20 Photophosphorylation 43 cyclic 43 non-cyclic 43 Photosynthesis 51, 64, 68, 91, 95

#### Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications 189

Physical vapor deposition (PVD) 98 Phytotoxicity 36, 44, 45, 52, 60, 70, 94 Plant DNA 57 Plant growth 43, 44, 45, 51, 52, 53, 57, 58, 60, 63, 64, 65, 68, 70, 71 inhibiting 44 Plasmolysis 142 Pneumonia 143, 147 Polyamine-modified cellulose 16 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 46, 158 Polymeric nanoparticles 119, 135 biodegradable 119 Polymers 7, 13, 16, 119, 127, 137 biodegradable 119 Production, secondary metabolite 77 Properties 5, 12, 14, 15, 37, 61, 70, 100, 115, 118, 119, 121, 124, 134, 135, 136, 139, 142, 147 antibacterial 115, 134, 136, 139, 142, 147 anticancer 118, 135 anti-infection 115 antimicrobial resistance 115 water disinfectant 14 Protein(s) 5, 6, 43, 44, 59, 60, 66, 71, 95, 96, 122, 123, 125, 137, 165, 167, 168 peptidoglycan 137 synthesis 137, 167 toxin 59 transmembrane 122 Pulmonary disorders 163 Pyrolysis 99, 100

# Q

Quantitative reverse-transcriptase 46

# R

Reactions, polymerase chain 46, 158 Reactive oxygen species (ROS) 43, 44, 45, 61, 62, 63, 116, 135, 136, 139, 140, 142, 143, 167, 168 Rutherford backscattering spectroscopy (RBS) 39

### S

Salmonella 141, 146 typhimurium 141, 146 typi 146 Salvia mirzayanii 176 Small unilamellar vesicles (SUVs) 126 Soil 49, 64, 65, 93 dehydrogenase 65 enzyme activities 49, 64 fertility 93 microbes 93 protease 64 Solanum lycopersicum 176 Sol-gel process 100 Sreptomyces viridogens 145 Streptococcus faecalis 146 Stress 58, 63, 69, 164 hormone 69 inducing oxidative 63 osmotic 58 response 69 systemic oxidative 164 Superoxide dismutase 56 Superparamagnetic iron oxide NPs (SPIONs) 118 Surgical treatment 6 Susceptibility, magnetic 20 Symplastic pathway 70 Synthesis 13, 14, 94, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 103, 104, 105, 124, 128, 134, 144 algae-based 144 fungi-based 144 hydrothermal 100, 101 metallic nanoparticle 100 metal nanoparticle biogenic 96 microbe-mediated 144 transition metal nanomaterial 104

### Т

Targeted 5, 92 fertilizer application 92 imaging agents 5 Techniques 12, 17, 88, 91, 95, 98, 99, 101, 102, 105, 114, 116, 119, 140, 158, 159 antibiotic-coated 116 lithographic 98 nanomaterial-based 140 nanoparticles-based 116 sensing 119 spectroscopic 114 ultrasonic 105 Therapies 1, 2, 5, 118, 123 conventional systemic 2 hormone 2 hyperthermia 118 nanoparticle-based 123 photodynamic 1, 5 photo thermal 1, 5 Thermotherapy 117 Total 57, 58, 62, 65 phenolic content (TPC) 57, 58 reducing power (TRP) 57, 58, 62, 65 Toxic effects 12, 54, 64, 128, 178 Toxicity 1, 2, 121, 164 chemical 121 genetic 164 pulmonary 164 systematic 1, 2 Transcript-derived fragments (TDFs) 46 Translocation, elemental 71 Translocation factor 42, 53 Tumours 1, 2, 4, 6, 7 metastatic 1, 2 ovarian 1 **TUNEL** method 168

# V

Vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) 125 Vascular dysfunction 164

#### Saxena et al.

Subject Index

W

Waals forces 138

# X

X-ray absorption spectroscopy 42

Nanobiotechnology: Principles and Applications 191



# Juhi Saxena

Dr. Juhi Saxena is presently serving as Assistant Professor at Department of Biotechnology, University Institute of Biotechnology, Chandigarh University, SAS Nagar, India. She has obtained Ph.D. from Manipal University, Jaipur, India. Her areas of research are microbial biotechnology and nanobiotechnology. Till to date, she has published 21 research articles (Total Impact Factor = 63.6). Her total citations are 350, h-index 10, and i-10 index 10 (till to date). She is a life member of Indian Science Congress Association. She is a reviewer of various international journals of high repute.



# **Abhijeet Singh**

Dr. Abhijeet Singh is presently serving as Professor in Department of Biosciences, Manipal University Jaipur, India. He has obtained Ph.D. from University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, India. His areas of research are Molecular biology, Animal cell culture, Bio-nanotechnology. Till to date, he has published 68 research articles. His total citations are 1434, h-index 15, and i-10 index 20 (till to date). He has handled five research projects as PI/ Co-PI funded by various agencies. He is a life member of Society for Environment and Development, Mycological Society of India, Indian Botanical Society, and Society for Materials Chemistry. He is a reviewer of various international journals of high repute.



# Anupam Jyoti

Dr. Anupam Jyoti is presently serving as Head of Department at Department of Biotechnology, University Institute of Biotechnology, Chandigarh University, SAS Nagar, India. He has obtained Ph.D. from CSIR-Central Drug Research Institute, Lucknow, India. His areas of research are sepsis and free radical signaling. Till to date, he has published 53 research articles (Total Impact Factor = 220.2, Research article (179.2), Abstract (41). His total citations are 1434, h-index 18, and i-10 index 24 (till to date). He has handled three research projects as PI/ coordinator in funded by DST-SERB, ICMR, and DST. He is a life member of Indian Science Congress Association. He is a reviewer of various international journals of high repute.